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Random book banning thoughts and more...
From Illinois Family Institute

1) Book banning in the library is a myth and not at the door of school or public libraries. **Banning begins at the door of the publishers.** Publishers decide what will be printed and circulated on their publishers' book lists. Librarians simply buy what they want from the list. Since no library is exactly the same as another, all that occurs in any library is the choice in selection. Hence, censorship is a myth as the issue at hand is **book selection** and not banning.

2) When taxpayers' money is spent for library books/materials, taxpayers expect the best to be bought... **They do not expect money to be spent on porn-laden reading material.** Common decency demands such, as it is innate to all human beings.

3) Despite what is claimed, libraries do stand in "loco parentis" as do all other public entities serving children. We certainly expect the local park to take care of minors and watch out for them. To do otherwise is to neglect their duty to the taxpaying citizen. Staff is on hand to protect children from injury and assault, and not just let them play.

4) The public library has the same responsibility to protect children from assault -- only in this case it is the assault of their mind as with porn-laden books like "Deal With It" or "The Perks of Being a Wallflower." When the state gives out driver's licenses, they have an obligation to protect us all on our streets and roads. The same is true with a child's library card, The library has an obligation to "Do No Harm" to young minds and emotions as they move around the library using their card as the right of passage to do so.

5) The issue in public libraries is not about parent rights. This pits one parent against another. The issue on hand is rather this important question: Is it right to sexualize children 11-12-13-14 years of age or younger and have taxpayer dollars subsidizing it?