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Travel Time Patterns of Students with Special Needs to Special Education Integrated Program-based Schools in Johor Bahru, Malaysia: An Initial Finding

Abstract
Education for all has been a global priority to ensure that all students have equal access to high-quality education regardless of disability or minority status. In Malaysia, the special education integrated programme (SEIP) is designed to close the inequality gap by integrating special education into existing government and vernacular schools. Numerous studies examine the travel patterns of regular students to school, resulting in a dearth of research on the travel patterns of special needs students to formal school. Thus, this paper uses spatial analysis to demonstrate the travel patterns of students with special needs to SEIP schools. This paper demonstrated that the majority of SEIP schools in the Johor Bahru district can be reached within a 5 to 10 minute drive. Individual travel time analyses between origin (home) and destination (current versus ideal school) indicate that the majority of secondary school students attend their ideal neighbourhood schools, but not primary school students. The average travel time is 12 minutes, with 89 percent of them travelling by car. The travel time clustering analysis revealed that the majority of students who commute to school live within a radius of 2 to 10 km and within a time range of 10 to 20 minutes. However, a small group of these special students commutes to school for 20 to 25 minutes each day. The preliminary findings can be improved and may aid in the design of carpool and transit schedules, as the majority of these students heavily rely on their cars for transportation. The effects of the lengthy commute to school could be further investigated, as these children are vulnerable and any negative impact on their mental, emotional, or physical development must be addressed.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Education is critical for individual development and nation-building, which may have an effect on growth in this sector. Education is a fundamental human right that fosters the development of a person's intellectual and personal qualities. Not only that, but it also aids in the job search process. Education is critical because it enables them to read and write, communicate, find work, and make choices (Singal et al. 2017). The purpose of education is to equip Malaysian society with the knowledge, skills, and fundamental values necessary to succeed in a highly competitive and globalised world, despite the impact of rapid advancements in science, technology, and information (Ganeswaran 2013).

The growing number of disabled children and their varying abilities raise serious concerns about how to provide an appropriate public education in the least restrictive environment for children on the spectrum. Special needs students are children who have been identified as having significant disabilities endorsed by a medical practitioner, an audiologist, an optometrist, or psychologist who has significant disabilities (Yan-li and Sofian 2018). Special needs students fall into six categories: those who have a hearing disability, a visual disability, a speech disability, a physical disability, a learning disability, or a combination of disabilities or difficulties. A student diagnosed with Autism, Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD), Dyslexia, Dyscalculia, or Dysgraphia is considered to have a learning disability (Awang Mat 2001).

Special education means a curriculum designed to meet exceptional children's unique needs (Hallahan and Kauffman 2006). There are three types of special education programs in Malaysia, which are placed in a specialized public school and integrated mainstream school. There are 34 special education schools which also known as Sekolah Pendidikan Khas. Whereas 16,293 students are under Inclusive Education Programme or Program Pendidikan Inklusif (PPI) and 69,628 students are under Special Education Integration Program (SEIP) or Program Pendidikan Khas Integrasi (PPKI) in the mainstream school settings (MOE 2019).

A few decades ago, a pressing issue was the schools' inability to provide facilities and trained teachers to teach students with learning disabilities, particularly in mainstream schools (Utusan Online 2009). Parents of children with learning disabilities have been unable to enrol them in mainstream education following kindergarten due to a shortage of qualified teachers capable of handling and accepting these children (Lim 2015). For instance, students with autism spectrum disorder may struggle with sensory processing disorder, which causes them to struggle to adapt to a noisy environment or maintain eye contact. They may have difficulty communicating with or interacting with their friends and family. Additionally, they struggle to comprehend certain instructions and directions conveyed through the vocal and facial expressions of someone interacting with them. This type of social interaction may result in challenging behaviours and expose the individual to bullying and depression.

This issue presents difficulties for children with learning disabilities, and teachers often struggle to understand their needs. Nonetheless, skill development may aid children with learning disabilities in surviving in this difficult real world (Mohamad Taib 2009). Frequently used instructional strategies with non-disabled students may be ineffective with disabled students (Kuparinen 2017). As a result, the Malaysian Ministry
of Education (MOE) has established a programme for students with learning disabilities at the primary level in all states (MOE 2019). The Ministry of Education's (MOE) empowerment of the Zero Reject Policy to ensure that all children, including undocumented and special needs children, have access to general education (The Star 2019). This policy has encouraged more special needs children to enrol in public schools nearby which are attuned to their disabilities, whether in Integrated Special Education Programmes and Inclusive Education Programmes in mainstream schools or in Special Education Schools (Abdul Rashid 2018).

Effective pedagogy and environmental factors, such as a well-equipped classroom, have been identified as critical criteria for promoting access to special needs children (Lee et al. 2008; Nasir and Efendi 2016). Several studies have examined the difficulties inherent in teaching and learning, as well as the school facilities required to successfully implement their education access (Mohd Yasin 2016; Nasir and Efendi 2016).

In Malaysia, parents of mainstream students have limited options for where to send their children. There is a policy that requires residents to register a public school within their neighbourhood to ensure accessibility; this may help reduce the number of school dropouts. In Malaysia, the majority of government and semi-government schools offer a Special Education Integrated Program (SEIP) for students with special education needs (SEN). The Zero Reject Policy, which was endorsed in 2019, has resulted in a significant increase in the enrollment of special needs students (SNS) in government schools, from 83,598 in 2018 to 88,427 in 2019 (Othman and Matore 2019; TheSunDaily 2019), with the goal of ensuring no rejection in terms of school enrollment (Chin 2020). Thus, parents of students with disabilities may enrol their children in schools located outside their neighbourhood. Thus, the purpose of this paper is to present preliminary findings about the travel patterns of students with special needs between their homes and schools in Johor Bahru district, Malaysia, using spatial analysis.

This study is critical because little attention has been paid in the literature to SNS travel patterns to school, despite the active encouragement of UNESCO through three significant United Nations conferences, including the Jomtien Conference on Education for All in 1999 and the Dakar Framework for Action in 2000, and the Malaysian government through the Special Education Regulations and the Special Education Act (Chin 2020). Numerous local studies focus on the implementation challenges associated with providing equal education to SNS in order for them to access formal education in Malaysia (Ganeswaran 2013; Nasir and Efendi 2016, Chin 2020). This is the first study to examine the travel time patterns of SNS to schools in Malaysia, specifically in SEIP-based schools. The majority of research on travel time patterns and assessment has been conducted on mainstream students, and thus is not specific to these SNS groups. A few studies have suggested that a longer daily commute may have an effect on a student's performance and engagement. This includes tardiness to school, inability to participate in after-school activities (Blagg et al. 2018), sleep deprivation (Prandhan and Sinha 2017), and obesity (Blagg et al. 2018). (Richard, 2014). Therefore, it is critical to understand their travel time before additional analysis can be conducted to determine the impact of travel on their academic and social performance, as well as mental and physical development. Additionally, many studies in transportation planning that attempt to demonstrate travel time between spaces lack spatial visual
representation, which results in a vague local interpretation by readers, especially when describing a specific origin and destination. As a result, a few spatial visualisation tools based on the QGIS software were used to aid in the understanding of the details.

1.1 SPECIAL EDUCATION NEEDS (SEN) IN MALAYSIA

The first initiatives in Malaysia to establish a programme for special needs children focused on visually impaired and deaf and hard of hearing students. The blind school was established in the 1920s, followed by the deaf school (Ganeswaran 2013). The Education Act 1996 and the Special Education Regulation 1997 have been endorsed by the government, marking a watershed moment in Malaysia's special education needs. The Ministry of Education’s Special Education Division is responsible for managing special education programmes. The Special Education Integration Program (SEIP) is a public school programme in which children with a variety of learning disabilities are grouped together. Students who are fully functioning, referred to as educable, are permitted to enrol in semi- or fully inclusive programmes where they can learn alongside typical students. SEIP’s primary objective is to ensure that all children have an equal opportunity to receive an education. In other words, the government is committed to advancing the international agenda of removing barriers between disabled children and individuals or communities. Additionally, this action may help prevent violence, abuse, or bullying in and around the school environment.

In 2019, Malaysia's Ministry of Education implemented a Zero Rejection Policy for students with disabilities. The Zero Rejection Policy serves as a foundation for strengthening the country's existing framework for education for disabled children. It ensures that students with disabilities receive an equal education in general education settings through the Special Education Integration Programme (SEIP) or Inclusive Education Program (Ahmad, 2018). This policy complements Malaysia's existing special education policy and demonstrates Malaysia's commitment to providing an inclusive, high-quality education to all children regardless of their individual difficulties or diversity (Chin 2020).

1.2 TRAVEL TIME TO SCHOOL

Travel time is one of performance metrics in transportation planning. Jia et al. (2020) define travel time as the time required for a person to move between two distinct spatial positions. In other studies, it is interpreted as an indication of delay in terms of the route’s capacity to carry traffic volume in comparison to alternative routes (Raqib et al. 2005). Travel time has been used in traffic management systems to determine the level of congestion in individual traffic corridors (Ashara et al., 2020), as well as in highway traffic monitoring (Quiroga and Bullock 1998). This parameter is critical for forecasting traffic demand (Wang and Xu 2011) and predicting the arrival of public and private transport, as well as supply chain transportation (Kumar et al. 2017). Suwardo et al. (2010), for example, use an Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average (ARIMA) model to predict bus travel time from time series data, which is beneficial for providing
Accurate bus schedules. Table 1 summarises the findings of several studies on the average travel time to school in several countries.

Table 1. The summary of average travel time to school in several countries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Travel Time</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia</td>
<td>Average travel time for all/school trips (car) (25/20 minutes) Average travel time for all/school trips (bus) (60 minutes) Average travel time for all/school trips (train) (45/40 minutes)</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Based on survey of average time to work and school (N=1200)</td>
<td>Nurddenn et al, (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vietnam</td>
<td>29.55 minutes</td>
<td>2018-2020</td>
<td>Based on survey of average time to work and school (N=155)</td>
<td>Numbeo.com</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandung, Indonesia</td>
<td>76.7% (1-20 minutes) 23.3% (21-30 minutes) 39% walking</td>
<td></td>
<td>Based on survey of high school students (N=400)</td>
<td>Badriyah et al., (2021)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>12.5 minutes (elementary) Junior high school (16.5 minutes) Senior high school (18.89 minutes) Average (14.36 minutes) Max 60-90 minutes</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td>Based on IFLS data that represent 83 percent of Indonesia’s population living in 13 of 26 provinces covering the islands of Java, Sumatra, Bali, West Nusa Tenggara, Kalimantan, and Sulawesi</td>
<td>Parhah (2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>Car (16 minutes) Chartered van (30 minutes) Public bus (30 minutes) MRT (60 minutes)</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Based on general household survey</td>
<td>SingaporeStat (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>30 minutes (2011) 41 minutes (2016)</td>
<td>2011 and 2016</td>
<td>Based on survey 5 years survey of average time to work and school (N=200,000)</td>
<td>Statista Research Department (2020)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Elementary school (21.08 minutes) middle school (24.02 minutes) high school (26.76 minutes)</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>Based on the 2017 National Household Travel Survey data (N = 58.6 million)</td>
<td>Lidbe et al., (2020)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Because travel time is frequently associated with spatial movement from one location to another, the capability of Geographic Information Systems (GIS) via the components of spatial visualisation and analysis can contribute to a more complete understanding and analysis. Mustapha et al. (2016) present the spatial distribution of primary schools using GIS, whereas Richard and Ogba (2016) use spatial analysis to select suitable sites for new schools using weighted overlay.

Numerous studies, on the other hand, that demonstrate travel time in specific contexts employ descriptive and statistical models and present data in the form of tabular and visual graphs. For instance, see Nurdden et al. (2007), Parnah (2018), Lidbe et al. (2020), Holmgren and Ivehammarr (2020), and Badriyah et al. (2020). Without spatial visualisation, the tabular results may be difficult to interpret spatially and to provide additional understanding of the spatial and travel connections between real-world locations such as origin and destination. Nonetheless, a few studies, such as Kumar et al. (2017) and Yahya et al. (2019), have used Google Maps to present the prediction of bus arrival time and travel time to the closest facility, respectively. Quiroga and Bullock (1998) and Ashara et al. (2020) used GIS to store and manage databases, analyse and visualise reports in tabular and thematic maps of highway traffic segments in order to monitor congestion levels and areas prone to congestion. Whereas GIS has been integrated with satellites for the purpose of tracking ships, referred to as the Automatic identification system (AIS), which is used to monitor vessel and speed movement (Jia et al. 2020).

Numerous studies devoted to the accessibility aspect, however, used spatial analysis, specifically network analysis, to demonstrate a target facility's accessibility. For instance, Qin et al. (2020) used spatial analysis to determine the spatial accessibility of a park in Beijing. Rekha et al. (2020) and Richard and Ogba (2016), respectively, analyse school accessibility in India and Nigeria. Accessibility is a term that is frequently used in transportation and land use planning and refers to easy access (Sikdar et al. 2002). When calculating accessibility, metrics such as distance travelled, travel time, labour costs, and transportation costs are considered (Kaszczyszyn and Sypion-Dutkowska 2019; Boisjoly et al. 2017). However, travel time pattern does not directly describe accessibility. Accessibility is frequently used to refer to people’s ability and opportunity to access services and activities (Kaszczyszyn and Sypion-Dutkowska 2019). The amount of time spent travelling is one of the performance indicators that affect accessibility (Todd 2020).
2 METHODOLOGY

2.1 Respondents Sampling

The study’s target population is a group of students with special needs (SEN) who have been diagnosed with a learning disability and are enrolled in a Special Education Integrated Program (SEIP) in a government or government-aided school in Johor Bahru. SEIP is offered in a majority of government-funded schools to ensure that those with SEN who are educable have access to education. According to Chin, (2020) educable means that a student is capable of managing himself or herself without assistance where high functioning student that is able to cope with mainstream curriculum will be encouraged to attend either inclusive or semi-inclusive program. Whereas moderate functioning student (uneducable) is encouraged to attend special education school and may be referred to a Department of Social Welfare-managed community-based rehabilitation centre (CBR). Physically handicapped children with mental disabilities and multiple disabilities may enrol in specific government-run special education schools. Most of students enrolled in SEIP have a learning disability, such as Down Syndrome, Mild Autism, Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD), or dyslexia. The SEIP eligibility criteria are critical in assisting the Ministry of Education in providing a common infrastructure, curriculum, and qualified teachers to assist educable SEN in accessing formal education.

The respondents in this study are parents/guardians who have registered their educable special needs children with the government, including government-aided SEIP schools in the Johor Bahru district. In Johor Bahru, the population of primary (elementary) and secondary students enrolled in SEIP is 611 and 608, respectively (MOE, 2021). In Johor Bahru, 485 primary and 473 secondary students were identified as having a learning disability.

Online questionnaires were distributed via Google Form to guardians of children with learning disabilities who were members of an NGO’s social media group, specifically the Facebook page of Pertubuhan Giat Johor Bahru. This non-profit organisation is an active member of the community and serves as a support group for parents of children diagnosed with a learning disability, specifically Autism, ADHD, or dyslexia. This questionnaire was distributed to Pertubuhan GIAT Johor Bahru from November 2018 to February 2019. The majority of respondents identified as having a learning disability, which includes Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) and Attention Deficit and Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) (ADHD).

The Google form link was shared on this Facebook page, and volunteers completed the online form. The data for this preliminary study were gathered through volunteered sampling via Facebook. The term “volunteer sampling” is also used to refer to convenience sampling. It is a purposive and non-random sampling technique, with the primary concern being the target population’s representativeness (Jupp, 2006), as well as the possibility of selection bias due to self-selected respondents (Awang, 2021). As a result, the sampling is not random, and the findings must be interpreted cautiously.
After approximately four months of distribution, 91 respondents returned questionnaires, but only 87 respondents’ data were used in the analysis. This study surveyed 9% of the total population (N=958) of educable SEIP students in Malaysia’s Johor Bahru district. According to Krejcie and Morgan (1970), a minimum sample size of 274 respondents is required for a 950 population. While the sample size was insufficient to represent the total population of students with learning disabilities in Johor Bahru, Malaysia, this study may provide a preliminary understanding of these students’ travel patterns to formal education. The questionnaire was distributed in conjunction with the ethical consent form. Four questionnaires returned were discarded due to missing addresses in the responses. The flow chart in Figure 1 illustrates the methodology used in this study.

2.2 Study Area

The study area is the Johor Bahru district, which is located in southern Peninsular Malaysia and has a population of 1,334,188. (mycensus 2021). Jelutong, Plentong, Pulai, Sungai Tiram, Tanjung Kupang, Tebrau, and Bandar Johor Bahru are its seven mukims. The city is 22 kilometres (14 miles) from Singapore, separated by the Tebrau...
Strait. Figure 2 depicts the location of Johor Bahru on a map of Malaysia.

Figure 2. Johor Bahru district in Peninsular Malaysia map

The schools targeted for this study were public and government-aided schools that provide Special Education Integration Programs (SEIP). According to statistics (MOE, 2019), 41 schools in the Johor Bahru district offer this programme. Twelve are secondary schools and six are primary vernacular schools. A total of 23 schools in Johor Bahru that offer the SEIP enrolled by the respondents. The district education office (PPD) in Johor Bahru and the Open Malaysia Portal Data were used to obtain school-related data (MOE, 2019). The distribution of schools offering SEIP in the Johor Bahru district is depicted in Figure 3.

2.3 Data

This study uses two types of data: spatial and aspatial—the base map for Johor Bahru is derived from the cloud-based OpenStreetMap. Google Maps was used to extract the coordinates of schools that offer the SEIP programme. Table 2 summarises the data used in this study.
Table 2. Description of main data used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data</th>
<th>Sources</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Respondents demographic profile</td>
<td>Questionnaires</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enrolled school name</td>
<td>Questionnaires</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School coordinate</td>
<td>Google Map</td>
<td>WGS84 coordinate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respondent's house street name/residential name</td>
<td>Questionnaires</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home coordinate (origin)</td>
<td>Google Map</td>
<td>WGS84 coordinate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel time (minutes)</td>
<td>Questionnaires</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimate distance to school (km)</td>
<td>Questionnaires</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode of transport</td>
<td>Questionnaires</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The online questionnaire contains fifteen items. It is divided into two sections, each of which contains multiple choice, closed-ended, and one open-ended questions. It was designed in such a way that only direct, succinct, brief, and concise responses were required. The questionnaire should take between 20 and 25 minutes to complete. The questionnaire was divided into two sections; Part A was titled "Child's Profile" and included variables such as age, gender, type of disability, school name, mode of transport, distance travelled, and travel time to school. Part B was titled "Parent's Profile" and asked for the parent's home address (which could be in the street name or a residential area) and to identify any challenges with the current school.
2.3.1 Data Processing

The list of schools offering SEIP in the Johor Bahru district was extracted in excel format using the Open Data Portal Malaysia (MOE, 2019). The respondents' addresses (either street or residential name) and enrolled schools were converted to latitude and longitude using Google Maps pinpoint locations. The procedure was carried out in order to convert the features to a real-world coordinate system (latitude and longitude). Using QGIS 3.10.9, the points were then superimposed on the OpenStreetMap base map. The attribute data for this study are the respondent's details, which include mode of transport, distance between home and school, and travel time to school as provided by respondents. It was imported as a *.csv file into QGIS to accompany the home (origin) layer.

2.4 Spatial Analysis Tools

The isochrone map is created to determine the spatial reachability of existing SEIP-offering schools. There are several platforms and APIs available for creating isochrone maps, including iso4app (https://www.iso4app.net/), TravelTime (https://traveltime.com), and Oalley (https://www.oalley.net/app/). The Openrouteservice plugin for QGIS was used in this study (ORS). The growing availability of online routing software, including opensource platforms with limited credit, provides a number of advantages over other offline routing software, such as ESRI Network Analysis Extension, which is costly and requires regular updates to the road network database.

By utilising an online routing platform, the third-party routing provider processes and updates road network data, making it more comprehensive than relying on authoritative road network data (Wang and Xu, 2011; Huber and Rust, 2016). The tool is depicted in Figure 4. This tool makes use of open-source routing data from OpenStreetMap and Openrouteservice.org services. Users are not required to have their own road network dataset in order to compute the reachability of a single point using this tool. The isochrone algorithm can be run on the fly using open-source routing data that includes the road network, travel time, and distance. The travel time in OSM routing data is determined by the road type (see Table 3). Nonetheless, most studies indicate that travel times based on traffic conditions, particularly during off- and peak hours, are preferable. The maximum speed limit in OSM routing data is shown in Table 3. The type of road and the maximum speed limit combined with the unit of distance (km) over time (hour) can be used to estimate the travel time of each route segment. The isochrone function in ORS is based on the Dijkstra algorithm in its simplest form (Openrouteservice, 2020).
Figure 4. A snapshot of parameters to produce the isochrone map using Openrouteservice tool

Table 3. The maximum speed limit in OSM routing data (Openstreetmap, 2021)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Road type</th>
<th>Max Speed Limit (km/h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Highway (expressway)</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>intercity dual carriageways</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside built-up area</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inside built-up area</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To visualise the origin-distance relationship, in which the 'origin' is the student's home address and the 'destination' is the student's enrolled schools, a spatial analysis function known as Hub Lines is used. This tool connects points with a single straight line. This tool will map a point in one layer to another layer based on the table's matched foreign key. Two layers are used in this study: Schools and Students. 'school id' is the foreign field that connects the two layers. Another tool within QGIS Vector Analysis, Distance to Nearest Hub, was used to determine the closest destination from the respondent's origin (home) to their ideal school using the nearest distance value. The algorithm determines distances based on the location of a feature's centre. The output will display the feature that is closest to the origin, and the distance between features will be displayed as a straight line (as the crow-flies). Additionally, Attribute-based Clustering was used in this study (Eddie, 2016). It is a plugin for QGIS that enables the analysis of clusters (or groups of patterns) that may emerge from the combination of indicators within a single spatial dataset. It is a complement to the more conventional hotspot analysis, which makes an attempt to identify patterns based on the spatial location of objects. This analysis can be used to investigate patterns in multidimensional data in a two-dimensional space. The hierarchical clustering algorithm was used to generate four clusters (groups) from two accessibility indicators.
– travel time and distance from home to school. This algorithm creates clusters based on the 'm' dimensions; specifically, the travel time and distance to school. The travel time variable is more heavily weighted than the distance per trip variable.

Clustering is a type of unsupervised learning in which similar data behaviours are classified into a fixed number of k clusters. It is distinct from classification, which is referred to as supervised learning, in which the input and possible output are well-defined in terms of classes, whereas clustering is concerned with similarity (Kiani et al., 2015). Numerous clustering algorithms exist, including K-means (Dhamecha, 2021), classical hierarchical clustering (Vignati et al., 2018), and DBSCAN (Liu et al., 2019). Aljabery et al. (2020) compiled a list of over 30 clustering algorithms from the published literature.

Attribute-based clustering is a plugin for QGIS that implements a hierarchical clustering algorithm for the analysis of spatial data based on specific dimensions. According to Di Natale and Martinelli (2019), hierarchical clustering is a straightforward clustering algorithm that computes the mutual distance between all values in a collection of similarity matrices. Before calculating the distances between all of these groups, this algorithm considers each individual object to be a member of its own, distinct group. The distance used in Attribute-based Clustering in QGIS is not a real-world distance, as another tool for grouping by spatial location (e.g. K-means Clustering under the vector analysis tool) uses real-world coordinates to generate the cluster centroid. However, in QGIS's Weighted hierarchical clustering, the distance between groups is calculated using the following N-dimensional Euclidean distance space equation (Eddie, 2016);

$$d = \sqrt{w_1(a_1 - b_1)^2 + w_2(a_2 - b_2)^2 + \cdots + w_n(a_n - b_n)^2} \quad (1)$$

where \(a\) and \(b\) are two points defined as \(a = \{a_1 \ldots a_N\}\) and \(b = \{b_1 \ldots b_N\}\). The parameter \(d\) defines the distance and \(w\) is a weightage. As a result, a matrix of distances is created. At first, there are numerous clusters containing data points. As data points are gradually merged into clusters, those that are physically close to one another are merged into a similar group. When data points are grouped, they become indistinguishable and are replaced by another. The algorithm then repeats the search for the shortest distance by executing equation (1) and comparing the mean of temporary clusters before merging the points that are the closest association. Eventually, all similar and closest objects within an arbitrary distance are merged into a single cluster. Finally, depending on the number of expected groups defined by the user, distinct and significant clusters may persist.

3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This section summarises the findings of demographic and spatial analyses. The survey's findings are presented. Then, the spatial analysis's results are demonstrated.
3.1 Demographic Profile Analysis

The demographic profiles of 91 returned questionnaires were analysed in this study. However, only 87 responses were suitable for spatial analysis, as the remaining responses lacked an address. The 87 respondents represented 23 SEIP schools in the district of Johor Bahru.

According to the survey, 31% of respondents' child (referred to as 'student') is between the ages of 10 and 12, while another 31% is between the ages of 13 and 15. 25% of students are between the ages of 7 and 9, and 12% are between the ages of 15 and 17. In Malaysia, the primary and secondary school age groups are 7 to 12 years old and 13 to 17 years old, respectively. Students with special needs, on the other hand, are given additional years in primary school to develop their readiness, and thus do not follow the normal student's age. There were 68 percent of the students sampled in this study were male, compared to 31% of female students.

The majority of students in this study are solicited from educable qualified students who attended SEIP schools. They have been classified as a form of learning disability (89%). A small group of disabilities that includes speech impairment and multiple impairments. From the questionnaires, 80 of students (89%) are going to school by car, and the rest is using other modes of transportation including motorcycle, bus and walking.

Item 14 (i.e., what are the factors that influence your school choice) was created to help parents identify the criteria they used to choose their current school for their special child. The questionnaires include a list of check boxes to assist respondents in providing an answer. According to the responses, 25 respondents (28%) believe that school lessons are appropriate and appropriate for their children. Twenty-two respondents (22%) said they chose the school because it is close to home; 17.5 percent said they chose it because of the well-trained teachers and the fact that their children's progress is improving, which is consistent with the 17.5 percent of results. There are 13 percent who agree that school facilities are the reason, while others indicate only 2 percent.

Item 15 of a questionnaire (i.e., I have difficulty finding a school or programme that is a good fit for my child) was constructed to imply that there is a difficulty in selecting the best school (as indicated by a yes/no response). 51.6 percent of respondents agreed that they have difficulty finding the best school that offers SEIP for their child.

3.2 The Travel Time Reachability Map of Special Education Integrated Program (SEIP)

According to official statistics (MOE, 2019), 41 schools in the Johor Bahru district offer SEIP (see Figure 3); 12 of them are secondary schools. The map demonstrates that the government has made an outstanding effort to allocate sufficient SEIP schools for students with special needs to attend either public or semi-public schools. Except for the new development area of Medini City, the distribution of existing schools has completely covered the area in Johor Bahru district. The reachability of selected schools is illustrated in Figure 5 using an isochrone travel time map created with
Openrouteservice. The maps illustrate the travel time between selected points (i.e., SEIP schools) in a series of buffering regions between 5 and 30 minutes. According to the map, the majority of SEIP schools are within 5 to 10 minutes (by car) of students who live in the surrounding neighbourhood. Figure 5a illustrates respondents' accessibility to SMK Taman Universiti 2 (secondary school) from their homes, which is approximately 5 to 10 minutes. However, as illustrated in Figure 5b, other schools such as SMK Gelang Patah, which is located in a sub-urban area, are within a 5- to 15-minute walk of SEIP, which is classified as a neighbourhood school. While Figure 5c indicates that the travel time to SK Desa Skudai (primary school) is between 5 and 10 minutes, one student travelled 15 minutes due to being enrolled in a non-neighborhood school.

The QGIS plugin tool used to create the isochrone map is called web ORS, and it is supplied by Openrouteservice, a third-party provider of Openstreetmap data based on the types of roads supplied by the crowd; thus, the finding should be interpreted cautiously. Nonetheless, Husen et al. (2018) concluded that the quality of Malaysia's road network via the OpenStreetMap platform is sufficient in comparison to suburban and rural areas.

Figure 5a: Isochrone map of SMK Taman Universiti 2 that offers Special Education Integrated Program in Johor Bahru district
Figure 5b. Isochrone map of SMK Gelang Patah that offers Special Education Integrated Program in Johor Bahru district

Figure 5c. Isochrone map of SK Desa Skudai (primary school) that offers Special Education Integrated Program in Johor Bahru district

3.3 Origin-Destination Home to School Distribution

Origin-Destination is used to track students' movement between their homes and their enrolled schools. Figure 6 depicts the route taken by each student from his or her home to the registered school.
A subset analysis was performed to compare the number of primary school students enrolled in nearby schools to those enrolled in non-neighborhood schools. The origin-destination of home to primary schools (black dotted line) is compared to the nearby ideal schools (red dotted line) using Euclidean distance. 75.8 percent of students in the 33 primary schools were enrolled in non-neighborhood schools, according to the analysis. Whereas, in SEIP secondary schools, 81 percent of students attended schools located within their neighbourhoods, according to the 32 respondents who participated in the survey. The number of SEIP schools for secondary students in Johor Bahru (N=12) and in the sample (n=9) are less compared to existing primary schools (including vernacular schools) (N=29) and in the sample (n=14). Perhaps the fact that secondary schools offer fewer options than primary schools explains this finding.
3.5 Travel Time Pattern to Access SEIP Schools

Previous section presented individual visual distances in straight lines as the crow flies using routing OpenStreetmap data provided by the ORS provider. Additional analysis is conducted to determine the reachability of schools based on travel time. The average travel time for primary and secondary students is 12 minutes, with 89 percent of them travelling by car.

The travel time and distance calculated in this analysis were based on respondents’ responses regarding the time and distance required to commute to school from their homes. Numerous studies of travel time patterns use questionnaires to collect data on the distance and time travelled (see Table 1). This method is the most convenient because respondents have completed the trips numerous times and can thus estimate the distance and travel time based on their daily experience. While these attributes can be gathered using GIS tools such as the QGIS ORS plugin and Google Map Directions from respondents’ home and school addresses, the travel time values are somewhat different. For instance, as illustrated in Figure 8, the upper map depicts the travel time calculated using ORS routing data (11 minutes) and the bottom map depicts a snapshot from the Google Map Direction menu (17 minutes) in comparison to the respondent’s data (travel time is 25 minutes). The differences in travel time values obtained from online routing tools can be investigated further, though we believe the
data provided by respondents accurately represents their daily commute experience in this study.

Further analysis is conducted to visualise the travel time clusters that take into account commuting time and distance travelled to and from school by the student. Two variables are used to identify potential clusters. In this analysis, the travel time variable is given greater weight than the distance variable. Travel time is valued more than physical distance (Parthasarathi et al. 2013). The clustering map depicted in Figure 9 depicts the individual travel time to SEIP schools. According to Figure 9, more than two-thirds of respondents (72%) commute to school within a 10- to 20-minute range of 2 to 10 kilometres. Another group (22 percent) arrived at nearby schools within five minutes (2-5 kilometres). There is a small group of students who must travel to school within 20 to 25 minutes for a distance of between 10 and 15 kilometres (5%) (orange coloured) and 2 to 10 kilometres for approximately 25 to 30 minutes (5%) (red coloured).
Discussions

As illustrated in Figure 3, the SEIP schools are distributed randomly throughout the Johor Bahru district, with the exception of Medini City, a new development area. In general, schools are within a 5- to 10-minute drive, and enrollment is sufficient for high-functioning children with learning disabilities such as autism, ADHD, or dyslexia to attend public schools. The government's approach of integrating special education into existing government schools has increased these children's chances of attaining educational equality. As is the case in other countries, such as the United Kingdom, enrollment in government schools is determined by the student's residence. As illustrated in Figure 5, this policy contributes to the excellent school reachability.

The comparison of the origin-destination of students from their homes to their current enrolled school and their ideal neighbourhood schools revealed that 81 percent of secondary school students attended SEIP schools located in their neighbourhoods. However, 75.8 percent of primary school students were enrolled in schools located outside their neighbourhoods. The average travel time to school from several countries is shown in Table 1. From the perspective of the journey to school, the findings indicate that the average travel time for primary and secondary students to SEIP schools is 12 minutes, with 89 percent of them travelling by car. This conclusion holds true for other Asian countries such as Indonesia (see Badriyah et al., 2021; Parhah, 2018) and Singapore (singstat.gov.sg), and in developed nations such as the United Kingdom (National Transport Survey, 2014). The average travel time is shorter than in other
countries such as Vietnam (Numbeo, 2020), Japan (Statista Research Department, 2020), and the United States (Lidbe et al., 2020), as well as in the capital city of Kuala Lumpur (Nurdden et al, 2005). However, the findings should be interpreted cautiously due to the sampling method and sample size used to determine the preliminary travel time to SEIP schools in Johor Bahru, Malaysia's second fastest growing city after Kuala Lumpur.

Although more than 70% of primary school students attend non-neighbourhood SEIP schools, travel time is still comparable to that of other countries' typical students. Is this average travel time acceptable for these special children? Additionally, the findings indicate that more than two-thirds of students (72 percent) commute to SEIP schools located within a 10- to 20-minute drive of their homes. A small percentage of students (10%) continue to commute daily for a lengthy journey (20 - 30 minutes) of between 2 and 15 kilometres, as illustrated in the clustering map in figure 9.

According to this study, parents register their children in current schools because they believe the lessons are appropriate and suitable for their children (28 percent); the school is located in their neighbourhood (22 percent); the teachers are well-trained (17.5 percent) and care about their child's development (17.5 percent); and the school has good facilities (13 percent). However, schooling in a non-neighborhood area may amplify the negative impact of extended travel time. As Richard (2014) argues, a lengthy commute by car or bus may decrease children's physical activity and contribute to weight gain. Additionally, it contributes to sleep deprivation by requiring students to spend more time travelling to and from school (Prandhan and Sinha, 2017), which results in poor academic performance (Tigre et al. 2017). As a result, the effect of the lengthy commute to school on students' emotional, mental, and physical development warrants additional research.

4 CONCLUSION

The purpose of this study is to examine the travel patterns of students with special needs who attend SEIP schools in Malaysia. As a result, this paper presents preliminary findings regarding the travel patterns of special needs students to SEIP schools. This paper established the SEIP school's reachability in the Johor Bahru district, which is typically within 5 to 10 minutes for students enrolled in neighbourhood schools. Individual travel time analysis based on origin (home) and destination (current versus ideal school) reveals that the majority (more than two-thirds) of secondary school students attend their ideal neighbourhood schools, but not primary school students. The travel time clustering analysis revealed that the largest cluster consists of students who commute to school in a range of 2 to 10 kilometres within 10 to 20 minutes. However, a smaller cluster of students is identified as travelling nearly half an hour each day to school.

The study's limitations include the fact that the respondents sample does not include all schools offering SEIP in the Johor Bahru district. The sample was drawn from volunteers and thus did not adequately represent the population of learning disabled students enrolled in SEIP schools. The travel time and distance estimates used in this study were based on respondents' perceptions and estimates during the survey. The
values may be slightly inaccurate due to human bias in interpreting the precise measurement. Additional research could include a more representative sample of SEIP-enrolled students with learning disabilities. The effect of the longer travel time and distance on the psychology, academic, and physical performance of these special children can be investigated further.

Without a doubt, access to high-quality education is critical for developing countries because it contributes significantly to poverty reduction, economic growth, and individual income growth. This preliminary study may serve as a foundation for further investigation, assisting responsible authorities, particularly the Ministry of Education, in comprehending and implementing strategies that would improve students’ transportation and educational services delivered to SEIP schools.
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