

3-19-2009

## West Bend Library - more imbalance - but we're not surprised

Ginny Maziarka

Follow this and additional works at: [https://dc.uwm.edu/west\\_bend\\_library\\_challenge](https://dc.uwm.edu/west_bend_library_challenge)



Part of the [Library and Information Science Commons](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

Maziarka, Ginny, "West Bend Library - more imbalance - but we're not surprised" (2009). *West Bend Community Memorial Library (Wisconsin)*, 2009. 167.

[https://dc.uwm.edu/west\\_bend\\_library\\_challenge/167](https://dc.uwm.edu/west_bend_library_challenge/167)

This Blog Post is brought to you for free and open access by UWM Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in West Bend Community Memorial Library (Wisconsin), 2009 by an authorized administrator of UWM Digital Commons. For more information, please contact [open-access@uwm.edu](mailto:open-access@uwm.edu).

# West Bend Library - more imbalance - but we're not surprised

So, we found this intriguing...

The SHARE library system at the West Bend Community Memorial Library has books that shed positive light on Planned Parenthood (in the adult section), but.....hmmmm....nothing that lends the truth to the PP agenda....

But we're not surprised.

An interesting article from [Plan2Succeed.org](http://Plan2Succeed.org) -

## Censorship- The ALA's Bait and Switch Shell Game

Every fall the ALA has its annual "Banned Book" listing! Anyone who formally (meaning in writing) requests a book be removed and pursues this with the Library Board of Trustees is automatically labeled a "Censor."

The ALA has in place the step by step procedures for handling these "censors." By labeling a person, like me, a censor and making the issue a 1st Amendment question for debate and scrutiny in the public eye, the "censor" is locked in a fierce argument with the army of ALA backers including the ACLU, Playboy lawyers, average citizens, etc., who don't want their 1st Amendment right stripped in the local library. This ploy leaves the inexperienced, lonely "censor" in the bait and switch game of citizens engaged in a "my rights vs. your rights" battle. As the argument makes the rounds on radio, TV, and newspaper, the library escapes the heat and the two sides become the issue ...

When there is a justified reason to question a book (e.g., "Show Me") for being in the children's section of the local library, the heated debate may force the library to create its own in-house committee to review the material. (Note: There is never an independent review of the questioned material but the review is by librarians who are pledged to support the Library Bill of Rights. Once reviewed a recommendation may be given to the Board of Trustees for action. In our local library because of the books questioned while I was on the Board, the Trustees gave full authority to the hired Library Director to resolve the issue. But the end result predictably is: the material stays and is not removed unless there is a legal liability for the library, which is rare.

**Ignored in the debate is the librarian who put the book on the shelf.** The process of putting books on the shelf in library circles is called "Selective Review." But in reality censorship may be taking place in the local library if the librarian is stacking the shelves with the ALA's agenda. **By labeling everyone who questions the "Selective Review" process a "Censor," the librarian escapes the label and can continue to stack the shelves in favor of the ALA issues expressed at their annual meetings!**