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ABSTRACT
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by

Leena Sathe
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Under the Supervision of Dr. Madhusudan Dey

Protein synthesis is a fundamental life process. Protein synthesis regulates cellular metabolism, cellular growth, the cell cycle, and cell morphogenesis. Technical advances in molecular biology, advanced high-throughput sequencing technologies and recent developments in crystallographic methodologies have aided in better understanding of the process of protein synthesis. Current knowledge of protein synthesis provides us with an overview of the initiation, elongation, and termination steps, general regulatory mechanisms, and molecular functions of the ribosomal rRNA and proteins. However, even with all this information we are far from understanding the detailed sequence of molecular interactions involved in the process of protein synthesis. We have snapshots of different stages of protein synthesis (initiation, elongation, and termination) but, we are still missing the intricate details responsible for linking these snapshots and creating a complete picture depicting the mechanism of protein synthesis. This thesis is focused on understanding the mechanism of protein synthesis using the yeast HAC1 mRNA as a model mRNA in yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae.

The messenger RNA (mRNA) bears the genetic information that is decoded in the process of protein synthesis. The fate of a messenger mRNA is decided by regulatory elements present in
the mRNA that are known as *cis*-acting elements. They influence not only translation of the mRNA, but also mRNA splicing, mRNA localization, mRNA processing, and mRNA degradation. One of the *cis*-regulating factors is the RNA secondary structure. The RNA secondary structure controls the translation of an mRNA. The precise mechanism of which is not clearly understood. This thesis is aimed at uncovering the regulation of protein synthesis by the RNA secondary structure.

Proteins in their native conformation either spontaneously attain the folded conformation or they are folded by chaperone proteins in the cytoplasm or in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER). Sometimes the ER experiences an overload of unfolded proteins which results in a condition termed as “ER stress”. Under the stress conditions, unfolded protein response (UPR) pathways are activated which result in expression of assorted transcription factors. These transcription factors modulate the cellular transcriptome and proteome to alleviate the ER stress conditions.

Our model mRNA from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* encodes a transcription factor that is expressed under conditions of ER stress. *HAC1* mRNA contains a cytoplasmic intron (252 nucleotides) that base-pairs with the 5’- untranslated region (5’-UTR) of the mRNA. This base-pairing interaction inhibits the translation of the *HAC1* mRNA under physiological conditions. We use *HAC1* mRNA and the inherent base-pairing interaction to uncover new insights into the mechanism of translational regulation by the RNA secondary structure.

*HAC1* pre-mRNA is composed of a 5’-untranslated region (5’-UTR, 68-nucleotides (nt)), an exon1 (661-nt), an intron (252-nt), an exon2 (57-nt), and a 3’-UTR (462-nt). Under conditions of ER stress, an endonuclease Ire1 cleaves the intron from the *HAC1* pre-mRNA to relieve the translational block of the mRNA. The two exons are spliced by tRNA ligase and the mature *HAC1* mRNA produces Hac1 protein which is an active transcription factor. We have
shown that the base pairing interaction between 5’-UTR and intron inhibits translation initiation of HAC1 mRNA [1].

We performed a random genetic screen to identify an intragenic suppressor mutation(s) that would overcome the translational block in HAC1 mRNA. We identified a point mutation in the base-pairing interaction that relieved the translational block in HAC1 mRNA. Further mutational analyses of the base-pairing interaction suggested that it is critical for the regulation of HAC1 mRNA translation. We also showed that insertion of an in-frame AUG start codon upstream of the RNA secondary structure releases the translational block, demonstrating that an elongating ribosome can disrupt the interaction. Moreover, overexpression of translation initiation factor eIF4A, a helicase, enhances production of Hac1 from an mRNA containing an upstream AUG start codon at the beginning of the base-paired region. Together, we showed that the RNA secondary structure regulates translation initiation of HAC1 mRNA [1].

To dissect the translation initiation block further we shifted the RNA secondary structure from its normal position (which is cap-proximal) to one away from the mRNA cap structure (a cap-distal position) by inserting an unstructured RNA sequence. We observed that genetically engineered HAC1 mRNA with the cap-distal secondary structure resulted in translation of the Hac1 protein. This result suggested that the cap-proximal RNA secondary structure possibly inhibits the interaction of the pre-initiation complex (PIC) with the HAC1 mRNA.

Further analyses of the yeast transcriptome and translatome suggest that the HAC1 gene locus expresses two overlapping transcripts; referred to here as “HAC1a” like the one described above, and “HAC1b”, a newly identified variant. Interestingly, the newly identified HAC1b mRNA overlaps with the exon1 of HAC1a. Yeast transcriptome analyses show that it is composed of a long 5’-UTR (~400-nt), an open reading frame (693-nt) and a short 3’-UTR (124-nt). We
characterized the role of the $HAC1b$ transcript in the context of the ER stress response. We observed that $HAC1b$, like $HAC1a$ mRNA, remains translationally silent. However, $HAC1b$ can activate the ER stress response as a functional Hac1 protein is synthesized in the absence of “Duh1” protein. “Duh1” is a component of the proteasome complex. These observations are consistent with the previous report that Duh1 targets the protein product from the un-spliced $HAC1$ mRNA for degradation.

Taken together, our results provide mechanistic insights into the translational regulation of $HAC1$ mRNA. In addition, we provide evidence that the transcript isoform of $HAC1$ mRNA might play a role in the ER stress response in yeast, $S. cerevisiae$. 
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1. Introduction

Genetic information is encoded in DNA. DNA undergoes transcription to synthesize RNAs (mRNA, tRNA, rRNA, miRNA, siRNA etc). Messenger RNA (mRNA) acts as the template in the process of protein synthesis i.e. translation. Translation is a complex process which requires a messenger RNA (mRNA), transfer RNAs (tRNAs), the ribosome and more than 25 initiation factors (see table 1). It is known to occur in four major steps: Initiation, elongation, termination, and ribosome recycling.

In eukaryotes, translation is known to occur in four steps: 1) Initiation, 2) Elongation, 3) Termination, and 4) Ribosome recycling. The translation initiation step is further divided into three steps: (I) loading of a complex of small ribosomal subunit (40S) and initiation factors, known as the 43S pre-initiation complex (43S PIC), (II) ribosomal scanning by 43S PIC and (III) AUG codon recognition by initiator methionyl tRNA (see the schematic diagram, Fig. 1).

Translation elongation is the iterative step in which amino acids are added to the growing polypeptide chain in the transpeptidation reaction catalyzed by ribosome. The elongation cycle occurs in three steps (I) selection of correct aa-tRNA, (II) peptide bond formation, and (III) translocation. Translation is terminated once the ribosome encounters the stop codon (UAA, UAG and UGA). Then, the newly synthesized polypeptide chain is released from the ribosome. Following release the entire translational machinery is disassembled and is recycled to start a new translation cycle in the last step of ribosomal recycling.

This thesis focuses on understanding the mechanisms of translational regulation using budding yeast, S. cerevisiae, as the model organism and yeast HAC1 mRNA as the model mRNA.
1.1 Mechanism of eukaryotic translation

A. Mechanism of the translation initiation using yeast as model system

Described below is the bird’s eye view of the translation initiation process. To begin translation in eukaryotes, translation initiation factor 4E (eIF4E) binds to 7-methylguanosine (m7G) cap of the mRNA along with the scaffold protein eIF4G, the RNA dependent helicase eIF4A, an activator protein eIF4B, and the poly(A)-binding protein (PABP); a complex known as the cap-binding complex (eIF4F) [1] [2]. The eIF4F; the cap-binding complex, recruits the small ribosomal subunit (40S), a ternary complex (TC) made of eIF2, GTP and initiator met-tRNA\textsubscript{i}\textsuperscript{met}, and other initiation factors (e.g., eIF1, eIF1A and eIF3, eIF5) to form a 43S-pre-initiation complex (43S-PIC). The 43S-PIC then scans along with the 5’-untranslated region (5’-UTR) in search of an AUG start codon, and the AUG codon is recognized by an anticodon on the met-tRNA\textsubscript{i}\textsuperscript{met} [3]. The codon-anticodon interaction triggers the dissociation of initiation factors, and GTPase eIF5B stimulates joining of the large ribosomal subunit (60S) to form an 80S complex in which the met-tRNA\textsubscript{i}\textsuperscript{met} base-paired to the AUG codon. The 80S ribosome then starts the elongation cycle by synthesizing the polypeptide chain [3] [4]. When the 80S ribosome encounters one of the three stop codons (UAA, UAG, UGA), the elongation cycle stops. The newly synthesized polypeptide is then released from the ribosome [5]. Following the release, the 80S ribosome dissociates into the small subunit (40S) and large subunit (60S). Subsequently, both subunits are recycled to begin a new translation cycle.

In eukaryotes the small 40S ribosomal subunit is made of the 18S ribosomal RNA (rRNA) and 33 ribosomal proteins. The cryo-EM structure of the 40S subunit reveals that its shape resembles a sitting bird [6] [7] mimicking the shape of its head, beak, neck, and foot (see Fig. 2). Initiation factors eIF1 and eIF1A cooperatively bind to the 40S ribosome and open the mRNA
entry channel, thus maintaining the 40S ribosome in a scanning competent state, or “open conformation”[8][9][10][11] (see Fig 2A). The mRNA passes through the entry channel and then through the ribosomal P-site. The met-tRNA\textsubscript{met} of the 43S-PIC then starts inspecting the mRNA base-by-base in search of a start codon (AUG) in a process called “ribosomal scanning” [3][4].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Subunit</th>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Molecular weight (kDa)</th>
<th>Function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>elf1</td>
<td>SU1</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>AUG recognition, promotes 43S PIC formation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>elf1A</td>
<td>TIF11</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>Promotes 43S PIC formation, ribosomal scanning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>elf2</td>
<td>α.</td>
<td>SU2</td>
<td>34.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>β</td>
<td>SU3</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>AUG recognition, RNA binding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>γ</td>
<td>GCD11</td>
<td>57.9</td>
<td>AUG recognition, GTP binding, interaction with tRNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>elf2B</td>
<td>α.</td>
<td>GCN3</td>
<td>34.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>β</td>
<td>GCD7</td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td>Regulatory subunit plays role in GEF function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>γ</td>
<td>GCD1</td>
<td>65.7</td>
<td>Promotes GEF function of catalytic subunit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>δ</td>
<td>GCD2</td>
<td>70.9</td>
<td>Regulatory subunit plays role in GEF function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ε</td>
<td>GCD6</td>
<td>81.2</td>
<td>Catalytic subunit of GEF function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>elf3</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>TIF32</td>
<td>110.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>b</td>
<td>PRT1</td>
<td>88.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>c</td>
<td>NIP1</td>
<td>93.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>g</td>
<td>TIF35</td>
<td>30.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>i</td>
<td>TIF34</td>
<td>38.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>j</td>
<td>HCR1</td>
<td>29.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>elf4A</td>
<td>TIF1</td>
<td>45.1</td>
<td>ATPase, RNA helicase, interacts with elf4G in cap-binding complex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TIF2</td>
<td>44.6</td>
<td>ATPase, RNA helicase, interacts with elf4G in cap-binding complex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>elf4B</td>
<td>TIF3</td>
<td>48.5</td>
<td>Forms cap binding complex, promotes elf4A activity, interaction with Rps20p at the head of the 40S ribosomal subunit alters the structure of the mRNA entry channel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>elf4E</td>
<td>CDC33</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>Binds to m\textsuperscript{3}G cap, forms a cap binding complex with elf4G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>elf4G</td>
<td>TIF4631</td>
<td>107.1</td>
<td>Forms cap binding complex by interacting with elf4E, elf4A and B, recruits 43S PIC on mRNA by interacting with elf3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TIF4632</td>
<td>103.9</td>
<td>Forms cap binding complex by interacting with elf4E, elf4A and B, recruits 43S PIC on mRNA by interacting with elf3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>elf5</td>
<td>TIF5</td>
<td>45.2</td>
<td>AUG recognition, stimulates elf2 GTPase by associating with 43SPIC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>elf5B</td>
<td>FUN12</td>
<td>112.3</td>
<td>GTPase promotes subunit joining</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Translation initiation factors in yeast: The subunits, gene name, molecular weights, and functions of each factor are given in the table. This table is adapted and modified from the Ref (Genetics, 2016 May;203(1):65-107)
Fig 1: Steps of Eukaryotic translation initiation

(A) Cartoon depiction of the eukaryotic mRNA, initiation factors and ribosome. Ternary complex TC is formed by eIF2-GTP-Met tRNA\(^{Met}\). A protein complex consisting of eukaryotic initiation factors (eIFs) eIF1, eIF1A, eIF3 and eIF5 is shown. The 80S ribosome is composed of small subunit (40S) and large subunit (60S). Cap-binding complex (eIF4F) composed of eIF4G, eIF4E, eIF4A and eIF4B. It binds to the m7G cap of the mRNA. (B) Loading of 43S-pre-initiation complex (PIC) (40S ribosome, TC and protein complex) near the mRNA cap. 43S-PIC together with mRNA is called 48S-PIC (C) Scanning of 43S-PIC along the 5'-UTR of the mRNA in search of the AUG start codon. (D) AUG codon recognition by an anticodon on the initiator tRNA. (E) dissociation of all initiation factors immediately after AUG codon recognition. (F) Large ribosomal subunit (60S) joins small subunit. 80S ribosome starts translation elongation.
During ribosomal scanning, the GTPase eIF5 provides energy by hydrolyzing a GTP molecule to GDP and (Pi). However, P_i is not released from the GDP molecule \[3\] [12].

**Figure 2. Cryo-EM structure of yeast 40S ribosome:** (A) The cryo-EM structure of Apo 40S looks like a sitting bird. Mimicking its h; head, b; beak, n; neck and lf; left foot and rf; right foot. When rotated by 150 ° a latch near mRNA entry channel is observed, which is a non-covalent interaction between body and head. (B) Latch (circled in red) is formed by non-covalent interactions between head and body. It is in open conformation when 40S is bound by eIF1 and eIF1A. This is scanning competent state of 40S. Once the eIF1 and eIF1A dissociate the latch is closed and this is the scanning arrested conformation of 40S. The images are taken from the reference Passmore et al, 2007 (Mol Cell. 2007 Apr 13;26(1):41-50), edited and labeled.
Interaction with the AUG codon by an anticodon on met-tRNA$_{\text{met}}$ triggers a conformational change from the scanning competent, open conformation to the scanning arrested or “closed complex”[3][4] (see Fig 2B). Such conformation change leads to the release of all initiation factors and previously hydrolyzed $P_i$[3][4][13]. Then, eIF5B stimulates the joining of 60S and 40S subunit to form an 80S complex.

B. Mechanism of translation elongation using yeast model system

Following the identification of the AUG codon, initiation factors must dissociate in order to facilitate the joining of the 60S ribosomal subunit to the 40S. Codon-anticodon interaction results in the release of the GDP bound form of eIF2 which has low affinity for the Met-tRNA$_{\text{met}}$. The 60S subunit is then recruited by and complexed with the GTPase, eIF5B. Subunit joining is followed by GTP hydrolysis and the release of eIF5B-GDP. eIF1A is subsequently released whereas initiation factors, “eIF1” and “eIF3” remain attached to the 80S ribosome [14] [15]. Multiple questions regarding the complex mechanism behind the subunit joining remain unanswered.

Once the 80S ribosome is formed, it starts the elongation step of translation. The schematic representation of the elongation cycle is shown in Fig. 3 and the yeast elongation factor are shown in Table 2. The mechanism of translation elongation is very much conserved in bacterial and eukaryotic systems as opposed to the process of translation initiation. The elongation factors are abbreviated as eukaryotic elongation factors (eEFs). The eEF1A is orthologous to the bacterial elongation factor EF-Tu which forms a complex with the aminoacyl-tRNA and GTP (eEF1A-GTP-aminoacyl-tRNA). This complex delivers the aminoacyl tRNA to the A (acceptor) t-RNA binding site on the 80S ribosome. The codon-anticodon interaction stimulates hydrolysis of GTP followed by the release of the GDP bound eEF1A.
The eEF1B recycles the GDP-eEF1A to GTP-eEF1A which binds to the next cognate aminoacyl-tRNA. eEF1B has two subunits, “β” and “γ”. The “β” subunit is the catalytic Guanine exchange factor whereas the “γ” is the non-catalytic regulatory subunit.

Hydrolysis of GTP associated with eEF1A is immediately followed by the peptide bond formation at the peptidyl transferase center (PTC), which is located within rRNA elements of the large ribosomal subunit. The crystal structure of the yeast 80S ribosome and the T. thermophila 60S ribosome show conservation of the rRNA elements in the PTC suggesting that the mechanism of the peptide bond formation is universal [15]. Peptide bond formation pushes the acceptor end
of the tRNA in the ribosomal E site and ribosomal P site whereas the anticodon ends remain at the ribosomal P site and ribosomal A sites, respectively.

This is the hybrid transition stage which requires eEF2-GTP for the translocation of the ribosome. The GTP hydrolysis and release of Pi allows the movement of mRNA and tRNAs with respect to the ribosome. The GTP hydrolysis triggers a conformational change which is thought to unlock the ribosomal subunits allowing the mRNA and tRNA movements and lock the subunits immediately after translocation. eEF2 is an ortholog of bacterial EF-G.

The ribosome performs translational elongation unidirectionally and by rotating its subunits referred to as “ratcheting” of the ribosome [15]. Fig. 4 A and B show the transition from the nonratcheting state (before translocation) to the ratcheting state (immediately after translocation) of the ribosome [16]. In the ratcheted state of the yeast 80S ribosome, the head of the 40S subunit rotates counter-clockwise towards the mRNA exit channel (See Fig. 4 A and B).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Subunits</th>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Molecular weight (kDa)</th>
<th>Function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>eEF1A</td>
<td>TEF1</td>
<td>TEF2</td>
<td>50.045</td>
<td>Binds and recruits aminoacyl tRNA to the ribosomal A-site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>eEF1B</td>
<td>β</td>
<td>EFB1</td>
<td>22.603</td>
<td>Guanine exchange factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>eEF1B</td>
<td>γ</td>
<td>TEF4</td>
<td>46.52</td>
<td>Non-catalytic subunit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>eEF2</td>
<td></td>
<td>EFT1 EFT2</td>
<td>93.2</td>
<td>Ribosome translocation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>eEF3</td>
<td>YEF3</td>
<td>HEF3</td>
<td>115.9</td>
<td>Fungal specific elongation factor, ATPase, binds to E near E site on ribosome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>eIF5A</td>
<td>HYP1</td>
<td>ANB1</td>
<td>17.12</td>
<td>Required for translation elongation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>eRF1</td>
<td>SUP 45</td>
<td></td>
<td>49.8</td>
<td>Identifies stop codon, mimics tRNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>eRF2</td>
<td>SUP35</td>
<td></td>
<td>76.5</td>
<td>GTPase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Rli1</td>
<td>RLI1</td>
<td></td>
<td>68.3</td>
<td>APTase</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. The translation elongation and termination factors in yeast: Elongation factors are shown in dark blue color and termination factors are shown in black color. The no of subunits, gene names, molecular weights, and functions are listed for all the factors. The table is adapted and modified from Ref [5] (Genetics, Vol. 203, 65–107 May 2016).
This rotation of the 40S ribosome results in the altering of interactions (bridges) between the 40S and 60S ribosomal subunits. The 5S rRNA and ribosomal proteins enveloping the rRNA also undergo structural rearrangements at this stage to accommodate the alteration of the “bridge” interactions. The proposed model of the “ratcheting” of the ribosome suggests that it is a multistep process and the structural rearrangements are followed by rotation of the head which unlocks the mRNA and tRNA from the 40S ribosomal subunit [7] [16].

Interestingly, eEF3 is a fungal specific elongation factor and has no bacterial or human ortholog. It has an ATPase domain with two ATP binding cassettes (ABC). eEF3 binds to the ribosome near the E site and dissociation of it requires ATP hydrolysis [5][15]. Detailed comparative studies have been performed on bacterial 70S and yeast 80S ribosomal crystal structures to understand the requirement of eEF3 in yeast translation elongation. In the recent work it was observed that “stm1” protein binds to the head of the yeast 80S ribosome which negatively regulates the eEF3 association with the ribosome [15].

Figure 4 Ratcheting and nonratcheting states of 80S ribosome: (A) Top view of 80S ribosome indicating transition from nonratched state to ratched state. (B) Solvent view of 80S ribosome indicating transition from nonratched state to ratched state. This Figure is obtained from Ref (SCIENCE VOL 330 26 NOVEMBER 2010)
C. Mechanism of translation termination using yeast model system

Translation is terminated when one of the stop codons (UAA, UAG, and UGA) is recognized by a termination factor, “eRF1”, at the A site of the ribosome. In termination of translation eRF1 binds to the ribosome along with the GTP-bound form of the eRF2 protein. eRF1 has three functionally important domains; (I) the N-terminal domain which mimics tRNA and contacts the stop codon at the ribosomal A site, (II) central domain which hydrolyses the peptidyl-tRNA bond, and (III) the C-terminal domain which interacts with eRF2 [5] [15].

eRF2 bound GTP is hydrolyzed upon interaction and recognition of a stop codon by eRF1. Hence, the interaction of eRF1 and eRF2 is critical for the translational termination. Upon GTP hydrolysis, eRF2 is released from the ribosome and eRF1 is bound by “Rli1” an ATPase. Binding of Rli1 to eRF1 promotes the hydrolysis of the bond between the polypeptide chain and peptidyl-tRNA. The polypeptide is then released followed by dissociation of the 60S ribosomal subunit from the 80S upon ATP hydrolysis by Rli1[5]. Finally, the small ribosomal subunit 40S is dissociated from tRNA and mRNA by recycling factors, “Tma20”, “Tma22”, and “Tma64” the mechanism of which is conserved in yeast and human system.
D. Eukaryotic ribosome

The yeast small ribosomal subunit (40S) is composed of the 18S rRNA and 33 ribosomal proteins [17]. The yeast large ribosomal subunit (60S) is composed of 23S rRNA and 5.8S rRNA with 46 ribosomal proteins. The 18S rRNA forms the structural core of the small subunit 40S and provides attachment sites for the mRNA and tRNA. The tertiary structure of the 40S ribosome resembles a bird, as described above and shown in Fig. 2 and 5.

The secondary structure of 18S rRNA is depicted in Fig. 5A. The structural domains are color coded which include 5’ domain, 3’ domain, 3’ major domain, and central domain (see Fig 3A) [18]. The helices of the 5’ domain (h1-h18) form the shoulder of the sitting bird, the right foot, and part of the body. Specifically, h16 and h17 form the right-side border of the body labelled here as “shoulder”. The central domain forms the platform having nine helices folded in the shape of a “W”. The 3’ major domain forms the head and beak part of the sitting bird which contains 15 helices loosely packed with few inter-helical contacts. The 3’ minor domain has only two helices h44 and h45 which form the body of the bird and interact with the large ribosomal subunit. The h44 is longest helix and runs from the bottom of the head of the bird to the bottom of the body of the bird [17] [18] [19]. The tertiary structure of this 18S rRNA is conserved with the bacterial 16S, however the 16S rRNA lacks the eukaryotic specific (ES) regions. ES3 A and B are in 5’ domain. ES6 and ES7 are in the central domain and ES9 and ES12 are in 3’ major and 3’ minor domains, respectively. The h16 is located just below the beak and it plays a role in connecting the head to the body when the 40S is bound by eIF1 and eIF1A. This interaction creates the “latch” which forms the mRNA entry channel, depicted in Fig. 2B.
A total of 33 ribosomal proteins are associated with the 40S ribosomal subunit (see Table 3). Ribosomal proteins from the three domains of life had independent nomenclature system. Interestingly it was in 2014 that a universally accepted, systematic nomenclature was developed for all known ribosomal proteins. The universal ribosomal proteins, conserved throughout three domains of life, have the prefix “u”. Exclusive bacterial proteins have the prefix “b”, and proteins belonging to either eukaryotes, archaea, or both have the prefix “e” [20]. Ribosomal proteins are abbreviated as “rp”. The proteins associated with the small ribosomal subunit are donated as “S” e.g. rpS, and the proteins associated with the large ribosomal subunit are denoted as “L” i.e. rpL.

The ribosomal protein genes from different species of the three kingdoms of life are listed in the

Figure 5. Crystal structure of the yeast 40S ribosomal subunit: (A) Secondary structure of 18S rRNA from *S. cerevisiae*. Adapted and modified from the ref [18] (Genetics, Vol. 195, 643–681). The domains are colored, the central in orange, the 5’ domain in blue, 3’ major in green, and the 3’ minor in yellow color. (B) Ternary structure of 40S ribosome (PDB ID: 2XZM). (C) Front and back view of the 40S ribosome (PDB ID: 2XZM). The proteins are shown in gray color in cartoon representation. The rRNA color scheme is consistent with that of the 18S rRNA domains.
Ribosomal Protein Gene Database (RPG database) with their DNA and protein sequences, chromosomal locations, and their corresponding orthologs.

Out of the 33 ribosomal proteins of the 40S ribosome, 18 are absent in the 30S (prokaryotic) small ribosomal subunit. In the 30S, the beak of the bird is constituted of solely rRNA however, in eukaryotes the proteins “erpS10” and “erpS12” are bound to the beak of the bird along with h33. [17]. Figure 6 shows the structures of ribosomal proteins of the 40S ribosomal subunit.

Ribosome biogenesis is studied extensively to understand how rRNAs are assembled with ribosomal proteins to form an active ribosomal subunit. The process of ribosomal biogenesis is initiated by transcription of the precursor rRNA (pre-rRNA). The ribosomal proteins are synthesized in the cytoplasm and are transported to the nucleus for subunit assembly. During the assembly of the ribosomal subunit, the pre-rRNA is modified, folded, and the spacer sequences are removed in the presence of the ribosomal proteins. The final assembly of the subunit is completed in the cytoplasm [21]. Extensive deletion and mutational analyses performed in yeast strains have led to the understanding of the pre-rRNA processing required to assemble the 40S subunit. The analyses have revealed that there are at least four rRNA cleavage events; two events occurring at each 5’ and 3’ end of the pre-rRNA. Each cleavage event is coupled with the binding of the ribosomal proteins. Failure in the ribosomal protein association during the assembly results in blocking of the subsequent cleavage steps [21].

Mutations in the ribosomal proteins or the chaperone proteins which assist ribosomal subunit assembly can result in inherited human diseases and disorders referred to as “ribosomopathies” [22] [23]. Specifically, Diamond Blackfan Anemia (DBA), 5q-syndrome, Treacher Collins Syndrome (TCS), and Schwachman Diamond Syndrome are the most well-characterized ribosomopathies [23]. In addition to these disorders, disruptions in the ribosomal
assembly can detrimentally affect the cell cycle progression, growth and can result in malignant transformations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Proteins</th>
<th><em>H. sapiens</em></th>
<th><em>S. cerevisiae</em></th>
<th><em>E. coli</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>RPSA</td>
<td>RPSA</td>
<td>RPS0A</td>
<td>rpsB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>RPS2</td>
<td>RPS2</td>
<td>RPS2</td>
<td>rpsE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>RPS3</td>
<td>RPS3</td>
<td>RPS3</td>
<td>rpsC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>RPS3A</td>
<td>RPS3A</td>
<td>RPS1A</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>RPS4</td>
<td>RPS4X</td>
<td>RPS4A</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>RPS5</td>
<td>RPS5</td>
<td>RPS5</td>
<td>rpsG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>RPS6</td>
<td>RPS6</td>
<td>RPS6A</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>RPS7</td>
<td>RPS7</td>
<td>RPS7A</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>RPS8</td>
<td>RPS8</td>
<td>RPS8A</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>RPS9</td>
<td>RPS9</td>
<td>RPS9A</td>
<td>rpsD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>RPS10</td>
<td>RPS10</td>
<td>RPS10A</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>RPS11</td>
<td>RPS11</td>
<td>RPS11A</td>
<td>rpsQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>RPS12</td>
<td>RPS12</td>
<td>RPS12</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>RPS13</td>
<td>RPS13</td>
<td>RPS13</td>
<td>rpsO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>RPS14</td>
<td>RPS14</td>
<td>RPS14A</td>
<td>rpsK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>RPS15</td>
<td>RPS15</td>
<td>RPS15</td>
<td>rpsS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>RPS15A</td>
<td>RPS15A</td>
<td>RPS22A</td>
<td>rpsH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>RPS16</td>
<td>RPS16</td>
<td>RPS16A</td>
<td>rpsI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>RPS17</td>
<td>RPS17</td>
<td>RPS17A</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RPS18</td>
<td>RPS18</td>
<td>RPS18A</td>
<td>RPS18B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>RPS19</td>
<td>RPS19</td>
<td>RPS19A</td>
<td>RPS19B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>RPS20</td>
<td>RPS20</td>
<td>RPS20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>RPS21</td>
<td>RPS21</td>
<td>RPS21A</td>
<td>RPS21B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>RPS23</td>
<td>RPS23</td>
<td>RPS23A</td>
<td>RPS23B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>RPS24</td>
<td>RPS24</td>
<td>RPS24A</td>
<td>RPS24B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>RPS25</td>
<td>RPS25</td>
<td>RPS25A</td>
<td>RPS25B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>RPS26</td>
<td>RPS26</td>
<td>RPS26A</td>
<td>RPS26B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>RPS27</td>
<td>RPS27</td>
<td>RPS27A</td>
<td>RPS27B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>RPS27A</td>
<td>RPS27A</td>
<td>RPS31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>RPS28</td>
<td>RPS28</td>
<td>RPS28A</td>
<td>RPS28B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>RPS29</td>
<td>RPS29</td>
<td>RPS29A</td>
<td>RPS29B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>RPS30</td>
<td>RPS30</td>
<td>RPS30A</td>
<td>RPS30B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>RACK1</td>
<td>ASC1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. List of ribosomal proteins associated with small ribosomal subunit: The proteins are listed in the second column, the corresponding gene names from human, yeast, and E. coli system are listed in the next three columns respectively. This data was obtained from Ribosomal Protein Gene database (http://ribosome.med.miyazaki-u.ac.jp/)
**Figure 6. Ribosomal proteins associated with the yeast 40S subunit:** The N and C terminals are indicated for each protein. The proteins that are conserved in all kingdoms are shown in blue, the archaeal protein folds are shown in yellow, and the eukaryotic protein folds are shown in red. The figure is taken from the Ref [17] (Science 11 Feb 2011, Vol. 331, 730-736).

**Figure 7. X-ray structure of yeast 80S ribosome:** All the images are obtained and modified from the Ref [16] (Science vol 330 26 November 2010). (A) The *S. cerevisiae* 80S ribosomal view from E site (B) The *S. cerevisiae* 80S ribosomal view from A site. The tRNA from 40S is coloured light blue and the tRNA of 60S is coloured light yellow. The 40S ribosomal proteins are coloured dark blue and 60S ribosomal protein are coloured dark yellow. (C) Secondary structures of 18S rRNA, 5S rRNA, 23S rRNA, and 5.8S rRNA. (D) Top view of 80S ribosome indicating transition from nonratcheted state to ratcheted state. E. Solvent view of 80S ribosome indicating transition from nonratcheted state to ratcheted state.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>H. sapiens</th>
<th>S. cerevisiae</th>
<th>E. coli</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>RPL3</td>
<td>RPL3</td>
<td>RPL3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RPL3</td>
<td>RPL3</td>
<td>rplC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>RPL4</td>
<td>RPL4</td>
<td>RPL4A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RPL4</td>
<td>RPL4</td>
<td>RPL4B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>RPL5</td>
<td>RPL5</td>
<td>RPL5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RPL5</td>
<td>RPL5</td>
<td>rplR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>RPL6</td>
<td>RPL6</td>
<td>RPL6A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RPL6</td>
<td>RPL6</td>
<td>RPL6B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>RPL7</td>
<td>RPL7</td>
<td>RPL7A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RPL7</td>
<td>RPL7</td>
<td>RPL7B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RPL7</td>
<td>RPL7A</td>
<td>rpmD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>RPL7A</td>
<td>RPL7A</td>
<td>RPL8A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RPL7A</td>
<td>RPL7A</td>
<td>RPL8B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>RPL8</td>
<td>RPL8</td>
<td>RPL2A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RPL8</td>
<td>RPL2A</td>
<td>RPL2B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>RPL9</td>
<td>RPL9</td>
<td>RPL9A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RPL9</td>
<td>RPL9A</td>
<td>RPL9B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RPL9</td>
<td>RPL9A</td>
<td>rplF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>RPL10</td>
<td>RPL10</td>
<td>RPL10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RPL10</td>
<td>RPL10</td>
<td>rplP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>RPL10A</td>
<td>RPL10A</td>
<td>RPL1A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RPL10A</td>
<td>RPL1A</td>
<td>RPL1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>RPL11</td>
<td>RPL11</td>
<td>RPL11A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RPL11</td>
<td>RPL11A</td>
<td>RPL11B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RPL11</td>
<td>RPL11A</td>
<td>rplE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>RPL12</td>
<td>RPL12</td>
<td>RPL12A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RPL12</td>
<td>RPL12A</td>
<td>RPL12B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RPL12</td>
<td>RPL12A</td>
<td>rplK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>RPL13</td>
<td>RPL13</td>
<td>RPL13A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RPL13</td>
<td>RPL13A</td>
<td>RPL13B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>RPL13A</td>
<td>RPL13A</td>
<td>RPL16A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RPL13A</td>
<td>RPL16A</td>
<td>RPL16B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RPL13A</td>
<td>RPL16A</td>
<td>rplM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>RPL14</td>
<td>RPL14</td>
<td>RPL14A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RPL14</td>
<td>RPL14A</td>
<td>RPL14B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>RPL15</td>
<td>RPL15</td>
<td>RPL15A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RPL15</td>
<td>RPL15A</td>
<td>RPL15B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>RPL17</td>
<td>RPL17</td>
<td>RPL17A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RPL17</td>
<td>RPL17A</td>
<td>RPL17B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RPL17</td>
<td>RPL17A</td>
<td>rplV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>RPL18</td>
<td>RPL18</td>
<td>RPL18A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RPL18</td>
<td>RPL18A</td>
<td>RPL18B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>RPL18A</td>
<td>RPL18A</td>
<td>RPL20A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RPL18A</td>
<td>RPL20A</td>
<td>RPL20B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>RPL19</td>
<td>RPL19</td>
<td>RPL19A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RPL19</td>
<td>RPL19A</td>
<td>RPL19B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>RPL21</td>
<td>RPL21</td>
<td>RPL21A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RPL21</td>
<td>RPL21A</td>
<td>RPL21B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>RPL22</td>
<td>RPL22</td>
<td>RPL22A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RPL22</td>
<td>RPL22A</td>
<td>RPL22B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RPL23</td>
<td>RPL23</td>
<td>RPL23A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>RPL23A</td>
<td>RPL23A</td>
<td>RPL25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>RPL24</td>
<td>RPL24</td>
<td>RPL24A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>RPL26</td>
<td>RPL26</td>
<td>RPL26A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>RPL27</td>
<td>RPL27</td>
<td>RPL27A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>RPL27A</td>
<td>RPL27A</td>
<td>RPL28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>RPL28</td>
<td>RPL28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>RPL29</td>
<td>RPL29</td>
<td>RPL29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>RPL30</td>
<td>RPL30</td>
<td>RPL30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>RPL31</td>
<td>RPL31</td>
<td>RPL31A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>RPL32</td>
<td>RPL32</td>
<td>RPL32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>RPL34</td>
<td>RPL34</td>
<td>RPL34A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>RPL35</td>
<td>RPL35</td>
<td>RPL35A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>RPL35A</td>
<td>RPL35A</td>
<td>RPL33A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>RPL36</td>
<td>RPL36</td>
<td>RPL36A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>RPL36A</td>
<td>RPL36A</td>
<td>RPL42A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>RPL37</td>
<td>RPL37</td>
<td>RPL37A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>RPL37A</td>
<td>RPL37A</td>
<td>RPL43A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>RPL38</td>
<td>RPL38</td>
<td>RPL38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>RPL39</td>
<td>RPL39</td>
<td>RPL39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>RPL40</td>
<td>RPL40</td>
<td>RPL40A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>RPL41</td>
<td>RPL41</td>
<td>RPL41A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>RPLP0</td>
<td>RPLP0</td>
<td>RPP0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
X-ray crystal structure of the of the *S. cerevisiae* 80S ribosome at the resolution of 4.15Å° is shown in Fig. 7A and 7B. As explained earlier the ribosomal ratcheting movement during translation elongation involves rotation of 40S subunit. This is the most widely accepted mechanism for movement of 80S ribosome along mRNA during translation elongation. This X-ray crystal structure of 80S ribosome is consistent with the cryo-EM structures supporting the ratcheting of the ribosome during translation elongation [16]. The overall assembly and basic architecture of the 80S ribosome is similar to the prokaryotic ribosome and the landmark interactions between the small and large subunits are conserved. However, there are additional eukaryotes specific inter subunit interactions.

The molecular interactions near mRNA entry and exit channel of 80S ribosome highlights eukaryotic specific features [16]. The mRNA entry channel is formed by the interaction of h16 with the head region of the small ribosomal subunit. In prokaryotes the h16 is bent towards the body in contrast the h16 in eukaryotes is extended away from the body assuming an open conformation. In 80S ribosome the h16 is bare, without any interactions with the ribosomal proteins. This observation is consistent with the proposed model of ribosomal scanning where binding of initiation factors eIF1 and eIF1A keeps the mRNA entry channel in an open conformation (see Fig. 2) [16] [8] [24]. Similar interactions are assumed by IRES with the 80S

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>46</th>
<th>RPLP1</th>
<th>RPLP1</th>
<th>RPP1A</th>
<th>rplL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>RPLP2</td>
<td>RPLP2</td>
<td>RPP2A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>RPLP3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. List of ribosomal proteins associated with large ribosomal subunit: The proteins are listed in the second column, the corresponding gene names from human, yeast, and E. coli system is listed in the next three columns. This data was obtained from Ribosomal Protein Gene database (http://ribosome.med.miyazaki-u.ac.jp/)
ribosome in order to initiate the cap-independent translation [25][26]. Using this X-ray crystal structure eukaryotic mRNA exit channel was modeled. This structure predicted the presence of S28e above the mRNA exit channel in addition to the conserved S5 (S7p) protein. These are a few examples of the molecular interaction details obtained from the crystal structure of the yeast 80S ribosome.

E. The tRNA

There are two distinct tRNAs for amino acid methionine. One is specific for recognizing the start codon 5’-AUG-3’ and is known as “Met-tRNA\textsubscript{i\textsubscript{met}}”. Where the suffix “i” stands for the translation initiation step. The other tRNA recognizes the AUG codons occurring in the ORF. It is known as “Met-tRNA\textsubscript{e\textsubscript{met}}” where suffix “e” stands for the translation elongation. Both the tRNAs have an anticodon 5’-CAU-3’ however, they differ in the post transcriptional modification. Adenine at the position 64 in the T loop of tRNA is post-transcriptionally modified with an attachment of 2’-O-ribosyl phosphate [27]. The eEF1A contacts the T loop of the tRNA and any modifications at the A64 position in the T loop sterically hinders the interaction of the tRNA with the eEF1A. This steric hinderance prevents the interaction of Met-tRNA\textsubscript{i\textsubscript{met}} with the eEF1A. Rit1 enzyme in \textit{S. cerevisiae} modifies the tRNA.

Four genes encode for the \textit{Initiator Met tRNA (IMT1, IMT2, IMT3, and IMT4)} in \textit{S. cerevisiae}. Five genes encode for the \textit{Elongator Met-tRNA (EMT1, EMT2, EMT3, EMT4, and EMT5)}.

Functionally important features of Met-tRNA\textsubscript{i\textsubscript{met}} include base pairing between A1:U72, C3:G70 in the acceptor stem of the tRNA. Yeast cells in which the A1:U72 base pair of Met-tRNA\textsubscript{i\textsubscript{met}} when swapped with G1:C72, exhibit severe growth defects [27]. It is reported that the base
pairing A1:U72 is not only important for the association of Met-tRNA\textsubscript{\textit{met}} with eIF2 but also for the binding of TC to 40S ribosome [28].

The second most important and conserved interaction is between consecutive bases G29:C41, G30:C40, G31:C39. It is observed that mutations in G31:C39 interaction renders cells with compromised accuracy for start site selection. Similar results were obtained with mutation in C2:G70 base pairing. Both of the base pairings are partially responsible for the TC formation and binding of the TC to 40S ribosome [29].

1.2 Regulation of eukaryotic translation

Translation is an energy-consuming process thus, regulation is exerted at the initiation step of the translation. Regulation of translation initiation is pivotal in cell growth, cell morphogenesis, cell proliferation and cell differentiation. Deregulation of translation initiation results in uncontrolled cell growth; uncontrolled cell growth leads to cancerous growth [30]. Apart from cancer, deregulation of translation is implicated in progression of Alzheimer’s, and other inheritable diseases [31] [32] [33]. Cultivating the mechanistic understanding at the molecular level of translation and its regulation helps in identifying drug targets for the treatment of such diseases. Recent advances in the structural biology and crystallographic methodologies enable us to understand the detailed mechanism of translation initiation and its regulation.

Under conditions of cellular stress (oxidative stress, starvation, heat shock stress, and stress due to imbalance in protein homeostasis) global protein synthesis is downregulated but, simultaneously, translation of stress specific transcription factors is upregulated. Global protein synthesis is regulated by controlling formation of the cap-binding complex (eIF4F) and the ternary complex. The mechanisms of which are discussed in subsequent detail.
Transcript specific translation regulation can be attributed to the cis-acting elements of the mRNA present in the 5’ and 3’ untranslated regions (5’-UTR and 3’-UTR) as well as trans-acting factors such as transcript specific RNA binding proteins. One of the cis-acting factors is the presence of an RNA secondary structure in the 5’-UTR. This thesis is aimed at understanding the mechanisms of translational regulation by an RNA secondary structure. In addition to the RNA secondary structure other cis-acting elements such as (I) Nucleotide sequence around AUG codon (Kozak sequence), (II) Length of the 5’-UTR, and (III) upstream open reading frame (uORF) are also known to regulate translation. Regulation of translation by an RNA secondary structure is discussed as follows.

A. Translational regulation by an RNA secondary structure:

mRNA is generally single stranded and often folds on itself. This results in base-pairing of the mRNA with itself; known as mRNA secondary structure. RNA secondary structure present in the 5’-UTR negatively regulates its translation; exact mechanism of which is not clearly understood. Multiple factors decide the mechanism of inhibition of translation by RNA secondary structure including (I) the position of RNA secondary structure with respect to the mRNA cap, (II) the stability of the RNA secondary structure, and (III) the position of the RNA secondary structure with respect to the start codon. These factors are discussed here.

(I) The position of RNA secondary structure with respect to the mRNA cap: RNA secondary structures present within 45 nucleotides from the mRNA cap are classified as cap-proximal secondary structures and if they are present beyond 45 nucleotides they are called as cap-distal [34]. Genome wide analysis of the translational regulation by RNA secondary structure indicates that cap-proximal RNA secondary structures inhibit translation probably by preventing the loading of Pre-initiation complex (PIC) on the mRNA. This result is supported by another
study showing that the PIC occupies ~30 nucleotides on the mRNA [35] [36] [37]. Taken together, it can be deduced that cap-proximal RNA secondary structures possibly inhibit loading of PIC due to insufficient room on the 5’-UTR.

As opposed to the cap-proximal, the cap-distal secondary structure inhibits translation probably by impeding the scanning movement of PIC along the 5’-UTR. During the scanning step, initiation factors eIF1 and eIF1A keep the mRNA entry channel in an open conformation also called as scanning competent conformation (see Fig. 2B). Structural studies of the PIC indicate that the diameter of the mRNA entry channel can accommodate only single stranded mRNA [24]. Hence, the RNA secondary structure needs to unwind before entering the channel during the scanning step. Here, the stability of RNA secondary structure comes into play.

(II) The stability of RNA secondary structure: *In vivo* and *in vitro* studies show that, cap-distal RNA secondary structure with free energy of around -30 kcal/mol is melted by scanning PIC [38]. Moreover, the scanning PIC is able to initiate translation at the start codon (AUG) buried in the secondary structure [39]. Further studies show that secondary structures with free energy of around -50 kcal/mol to -60 kcal/mol significantly inhibit translation initiation *in vivo* as well as *in vitro*. Surprisingly, elongating ribosomes can scan pass such secondary structures [38]. Collectively, once attached to the mRNA, the PIC can melt the cap-distal secondary structures depending on their stability. Additionally, the melting of the secondary structure is not solely dependent on the helicase activity of PIC. eIF4A and Ded1 are two RNA helicases present in yeast that play a major role in unwinding the secondary structures.

(III) The position of the RNA secondary structure with respect to the start codon: The secondary structure present 10-12 nucleotides downstream of an AUG codon can positively affect the translation initiation from that start codon. This is because ribosomes spend more time on that
AUG due to stalling by the secondary structure. Such secondary structure is present mostly downstream of AUG not surrounded by the Kozak sequence (weak AUG). This mechanism aids in translation initiation from a weak AUG codon [40] [38]. Another example where RNA secondary structure positively regulates translation is in some viral RNAs.

Some viral RNAs have a stem loop structures in the 5’-UTR region which allows the PIC to directly access the start codon. These elements are called “internal ribosomal entry site” (IRES). IRES elements promote translation initiation in a cap independent manner as opposed to the secondary structures in the 5’-UTR region that inhibits translation initiation [41] [42] [43].

B. Role of RNA helicases in unwinding the RNA secondary structures:

eIF4A is an RNA helicase which forms a cap-binding complex with eIF4G (a scaffold protein) and eIF4E (a cap-binding protein) (see Fig.1 and Table 1). eIF4A exhibits RNA dependent helicase activity in the presence of ATP. Association of eIF4A with the eIF4G protein stimulates the ATPase activity of eIF4A.

eIF4A belongs to the family of DEAD box helicases. It has two RecA-like domains and a conserved Walker B motif (D-E-A-D-). eIF4A is a minimal DEAD box protein with only the conserved core [44]. eIF4A has N terminal and C terminal RecA-like domains called as “NTD and CTD” respectively, they are connected by an 11 amino acid long linker (see Fig. 8B). The ribbon representation of the crystal structure of yeast eIF4A is depicted in Fig 8B. The NTD (yellow), CTD (blue). The NTD has sequence motifs Q, I (walker A), Ia, Ib and II (DEAD). CTD contains sequence motifs III, IV, V, and VI [45]. The sequence and position of motifs in NTD and CTD are listed in Fig 8A.
eIF4A is a potential target of anti-cancer drugs. Silvestrol and Hippuristanol are two anti-cancer drugs which inhibit eIF4A activity [46] (shown in Fig 11). Pentamine A and FL3 are also effective in inhibiting translation by blocking eIF4A activity.

Ded1 is another DEAD box RNA-dependent helicase involved in the translation initiation of structured 5’-UTR of yeast mRNAs. The RNA unwinding activity of Ded1 is independent of the interaction with eIF4G in contrast to eIF4A [47]. Thus, it is observed that, eIF4A unwinds the cap-proximal RNA secondary structures as opposed to Ded1 which unwinds cap-distal RNA secondary structures [34] [48].

C. Translational regulation by RNA secondary structure bound by a suppressor protein:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Motif name</th>
<th>Motif sequence</th>
<th>Amino acid number</th>
<th>Function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q</td>
<td>GAxxPOxxQ</td>
<td>65-72</td>
<td>ATP binding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I/Walker A</td>
<td>ASQSGTGTK</td>
<td>97-102</td>
<td>RNA binding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>la</td>
<td>PTRELA</td>
<td>145-148</td>
<td>RNA binding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II/Walker B/ DEAD</td>
<td>DEAD</td>
<td>169-172</td>
<td>RNA binding</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 8. DEAD-box helicase eIF4A:** (A) The motifs of DEAD box helicase are listed in the table with corresponding conserved sequence and amino acid positions in the yeast eIF4A. The motifs from NTD and CTD are colored yellow and blue respectively. (B) The ribbon representation of crystal structure of the yeast eIF4A (PDB ID: 1FUU). The NTD is colored in yellow, CTD is colored in blue and the linker is colored in red.
In some cases, inhibition of translation is achieved not solely by RNA secondary structure but by a cognate suppressor protein binding to the secondary structure. This interaction of trans-acting protein with the RNA secondary structure prevents translation initiation by precluding the loading of PIC on the mRNA.

The iron regulatory protein is an exclusive example of a suppressor protein which binds to the secondary structure of mRNA and precludes the loading of the 43S PIC (see Fig. 9) [49] [50]. Ferritin mRNAs (ferritin H chain and ferritin L chain) have a stem-loop structure referred to as, iron responsive elements (IRE) in the 5'-UTR. Iron regulatory protein-1 (IRP-1) binds to the IRE (secondary structure) and precludes the loading of PIC on the ferritin mRNAs in iron deficient cells. Initial results showed that increasing the distance of the IRE (secondary structure) from the mRNA cap relieves translational inhibition. This result suggests that, increasing the distance of IRE from the mRNA cap provides enough room for the loading of 43S PIC on the mRNA [49]. Further experimental evidence clearly showed that binding of IRP-1(suppressor protein) to IRE (secondary structure) prevents recruitment of the PIC [50].

This is the sole, best studied and most well-established example of an RNA secondary structure and a suppressor protein binding together to inhibit translation initiation. However, the precise mechanism of how an RNA secondary structure regulates translation remains elusive. This thesis is aimed at understanding how RNA secondary structure regulates translation using yeast HAC1 mRNA as a model mRNA. The structure of HAC1 mRNA is discussed in the following section. Mechanism of translational regulation in HAC1 mRNA is discussed in chapter 2 and chapter 3.

D. Cis-acting factors regulating translation initiation:
As discussed above, other *cis*-acting elements such as (I) Nucleotide sequence around the AUG codon (Kozak sequence), (II) Length of the 5’-UTR, (III) upstream open reading frame (uORF), and (IV) miRNA binding sites (in human system) are also significant in regulating translation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Disease</th>
<th>Cause</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>a-Thalassemia</td>
<td>Mutation at position -3 (A → C change) of the globin gene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Sporadic breast cancer</td>
<td>Mutation at position -3 (G → C change) of the BRCA1 gene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Androgen insensitivity syndrome</td>
<td>Mutation at position +4 (G → A change) of the androgen receptor gene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Ataxia with vitamin E deficiency</td>
<td>Mutation at position -1 (C → T change) of the α-tocopherol protein gene</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 5: Diseases caused due to mutations in the Kozak sequence:* Table gives a list of diseases with corresponding gene names and point mutations in the Kozak sequence.
(I) Nucleotide sequence around AUG codon (Kozak sequence): The AUG codon with a purine (A/G) at the position -3 and +4 is the preferred translation initiation site identified by Marylin Kozak. Named after her, the “Kozak consensus sequence” is defined as GCC(A/G)CCAUG(A/G). The AUG codon with the Kozak consensus sequence is said to be in a good context. During the scanning step, the AUG nearest to the cap is the preferred translation start site unless it is not in a good context [51]. Identification of the correct start codon depends on good context. Mutations around the start codon result in incorrect identification of the start codon. Mutations around the start codon of specific transcripts leads to diseased conditions as a result of said mutations (listed in Table 5) [52] [53]. Hence, while genetically engineering all the constructs expressing various mutant forms of HAC1 mRNA (discussed throughout the thesis), great precaution was taken to preserve the Kozak sequence around the stat codon AUG.

(II) Length of the 5’-UTR: A long 5’-UTR facilitates binding of multiple PIC at once, thus greatly increasing the rate of translation initiation. PIC occupies ~ 30 nucleotides on the mRNA [35] [36] [37]. Our bioinformatic analysis of yeast transcriptome shows that median length of yeast 5’-UTR is 54 nucleotides (see chapter 3, Fig 19). Our results discussed in chapter 3 shows that increasing 5’-UTR length provides sufficient room for loading of the PIC on the HAC1 mRNA.

(III) Upstream ORF (uORF): An ORF present in the 5’-UTR and upstream of the authentic start codon is called an upstream ORF (uORF). When an upstream AUG (uAUG) of the uORF is in-frame with the downstream AUG, the ribosome skips the uAUG during scanning. This is referred to as “leaky scanning”. Interestingly, mRNA is able to generate two proteins initiated at two different AUGs, one being shorter at the N terminal end [40] [54]. Translating uORFs mostly
inhibit translation of the downstream ORF because, translating ribosomes pauses on the uORF preventing the initiation complex to access the downstream AUG [13].

A unique mechanism of translational regulation is observed on general control non-derepressable 4 (GCN4) mRNA (encoding a transcription factor that activates amino acid biosynthetic genes). Four uORFs are present in the 5’-UTR of the GCN4 mRNA. GCN4 mRNA is thus, translationally repressed under physiological conditions because ribosomes fail to reinitiate translation after translating uORF-2 to uORF-4. The PIC requires ample ternary complex (TC) to reinitiate translation at uORF-2, uORF-3 and uORF-4. Under amino acid starvation conditions, the kinase “Gcn2” in yeast phosphorylates eIF2α-ser51. The phosphorylated form of eIF2α-ser51 acts as inhibitor of the guanine exchange factor (GEF), responsible for exchanging eIF2-GDP to eIF2-GTP, lowering the overall ternary complex (TC) concentration in the cell. Thus, under amino acid starvation conditions, concentration of TC falls and only 50% of the 43S PIC are bound by TC. The PIC translates the uORF-2, uORF-3 and uORF-4 and falls off before reaching the start codon of GCN4 transcript. The remaining 50% of PIC, which cannot reinitiate translation due to lack of TC, continues scanning the uORFs until it reaches the start codon of GCN4 transcript. Once 43S PIC are bound by TC, they start translating the GCN4 ORF. This is the mechanism of derepression of GCN4 translation. Low concentration of TC in the cell also shuts off global translation under stress conditions [13].

(IV) miRNA binding sites:

Micro RNAs (miRNA) are small non-coding RNA (ncRNA) which are 19-25 base pairs in length. miRNA regulates gene expression by either modulating mRNA translation or mRNA stability [55]. Mature miRNA attached to the RISC complex binds to the complementary nucleotides (6-8 nucleotides) in the 3’-UTR of the target mRNA. This interaction inhibits mRNA expression [56].
Translational silencing by miRNA is achieved by direct inhibition of the translation initiation or mRNA deadenylation, mRNA decapping, and degradation [55][57]. Recent reports suggest that miRNAs directly inhibit translation by preventing association of RNA helicase eIF4A (eIF4AI, eIF4AII or eIF4AIII) with the target mRNA in the human system [58][59] [60]. Apart from translation initiation, miRNAs are shown to inhibit translation immediately after initiation by rapid deadenylation and degradation in Drosophila and zebrafish systems. It has been proposed that miRNA inhibits subunit joining by interacting with eIF6 factor however, this was only observed in Caenorhabditis elegans and was not confirmed in any other system [61].

One miRNA can bind and regulate translation of multiple target mRNAs and target mRNA can have binding sites for more than one miRNA [61]. The recognized miRNAs in human system are rapidly increasing and are classified in miRNA families. miRNAs belonging to a family are simultaneously expressed, colocalized and regulate a set of genes such as immune system regulatory genes or growth and development genes [61].

Growth, development and metabolism requires temporal and tissue specific gene regulation. miRNA mediated regulation is involved at all the stages of growth and development. Deregulation of miRNA mediated regulation results in cancer development and progression [57].

E. Global regulation of translation:

Global regulatory mechanisms are exerted on the two crucial steps of translation initiation: formation of the (I) cap-binding complex (eIF4F), and the (II) Ternary complex. The detailed account of molecular mechanisms accompanied by regulatory mechanisms of the formation of eIF4F and ternary complex are discussed below.
(I)Formation of the cap-binding complex (eIF4F): The cap-binding complex binds to the m\textsuperscript{7}G cap at the 5’-end of eukaryotic mRNA. The cap-binding complex consists of proteins eIF4E, eIF4G, eIF4A and eIF4B. Out of which eIF4G acts as a scaffold protein on which the cap-binding complex is assembled. eIF4G has binding sites for the proteins: eIF4A, eIF4E, eIF5, Pab1 and the mRNA (Fig 10A). Yeast has two 4G proteins; eIF4G1 encoded by *TIF4631* and eIF4G2 encoded by *TIF4632*. Both are functionally redundant proteins which share only 51% identity [5]. Recent research indicates that a class of eIF4G binding proteins hinder the recruitment of the Preinitiation complex (PIC) on mRNA [62].

eIF4E binds to the m\textsuperscript{7}G cap of the mRNA. Interaction of eIF4E with eIF4G forms a ternary complex of m\textsuperscript{7}G-eIF4E-eIF4G. The crystal structure of this ternary complex in ribbon representation is shown in Fig. 10B. The m\textsuperscript{7}G cap is sequestered by the two tryptophan residues W58 and W104 of eIF4E. eIF4G (amino acids 393-460) (Fig. 10B) forms a bracelet shaped structure around eIF4E. This molecular bracelet is formed by five α-helices, which are conserved in human, rabbit and mouse eIF4G. The helix 4 has the well characterized eIF4E binding motif (YxxxFLL) [63].

![Figure 10. Cap-binding complex; eIF4F: (A) Schematic representation of the cap-binding complex: eIF4F. Image is taken from the review article by Tom E. Dever et al, 2016 (Genetics, Vol. 203, 65–107 May 2016) . (B) The crystal structure of ternary complex formed by m\textsuperscript{7}G-eIF4E-eIF4G is represented in the ribbon deoiction. The m\textsuperscript{7}G mRNA cap is shown in red color, the eIF4E is shown in white color and the eIF4G (amino acids 393-460) is shown in the rainbow color.](image)
Binding of eIF4G to eIF4E increases affinity of 4E towards the m\textsuperscript{7}G cap. Also, the large interaction surface between eIF4G/4E imparts a slow dissociation constant forming a stable solution structure [5] [63]. Experimental evidence suggests that not all the capped mRNAs exhibit identical affinity for eIF4E. Differential affinity towards eIF4E is attributed to the presence of mRNA secondary structure near the mRNA cap [64].

4E binding protein (4E-BP) sequesters the cap binding protein eIF4E. When eIF4E is sequestered by 4E-BP, ribosome recruitment is hindered, without which cellular mRNAs fail to initiate translation [1] [2] [65]. 4E-BP mediated translation is monitored by phosphorylation by mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) in human system [66]. Inhibitors have been designed to target eIF4E and mTOR pathway in order to prevent uncontrolled cancerous growth (Fig. 11) [67].

Pab1 binds to the poly A tail of the mRNA. Interaction of eIF4G with Pab1 and eIF4E circularizes the mRNA (Fig. 10A). mRNA circularization enhances the rate of translation by efficient recycling of the dissociated ribosomal subunits after each translation cycle. Yeast eIF4B is also part of eIF4G-eIF4A complex. Studies have revealed that interaction of eIF4G with eIF4A enhanced ATPase activity [68].

Taken together, the association of the cap-binding complex activates the mRNA for initiating translation. Hence, global translational regulatory mechanisms are exerted on the formation of the cap-complex. These mechanisms decrease pan translation of all cellular mRNAs.

(II) Formation and recycling of the Ternary complex (TC): The ternary complex is formed by initiator met-tRNA\textsubscript{met}, GTP, and eIF2. The ternary complex delivers the initiator met-tRNA\textsubscript{met} to the 40S ribosome.
eIF2 is a heterotrimeric protein with three subunits; α, β and γ. They are encoded by SUI2, SUI3, and GCD11 genes respectively. These genes were discovered in 1998 by Donahue while studying a histidine auxotrophic yeast strain. He replaced the AUG start codon of the HIS3 gene by AUU and studied the spontaneous mutations. He identified two suppressor mutations in two genes that allowed the translation of HIS3 mRNA from the third codon; coding for leucine. Those two genes were named as Suppressor of initiator codon (for both SUI2 and SUI3). eIF2γ is the keystone of TC. It binds to GTP and Met-tRNA<sub>i</sub><sup>met</sup>. The TC is recycled after every translation cycle.

The major regulation on translation initiation is exerted by the reversible phosphorylation of initiation factors, which leads to depletion of active initiation factors in the cell. The best studied example is eIF2α-ser51 phosphorylation under stress conditions [2] [69]. Association of the active TC with the small ribosomal subunit forms the pre-initiation complex (PIC) and is an essential step to initiate translation. Phosphorylated eIF2α fails to form a TC. Under low concentrations of
TC, PIC cannot initiate translation. This reduces the overall rate of translation in the yeast cell. In yeast, eIF2α is phosphorylated by a kinase “Gcn2”. In humans four kinases: PERK (under ER stress conditions), PKR (upon viral infections), HRI, and Gcn2 (amino acid starvation conditions) phosphorylate eIF2α-ser51 under various stress conditions as previously mentioned. This is the most effective mechanism to dampen global protein synthesis. This mechanism also supports the expression of required transcription factors under stress conditions. One of the most well studied examples is of _GCN4_ expression under amino acids starvation conditions.

1.3 Translational silencing in yeast _HAC1_ mRNA:

> **Endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress conditions** arise after accumulation of unfolded proteins in the lumen of the ER. Under conditions of ER stress the _unfolded protein response_ (UPR) pathway is activated which results in translation of _HAC1_ mRNA to produce Hac1 protein. The Hac1 protein is required by yeast to survive the ER stress conditions [70]. Hac1 protein is a bZIP transcription factor that binds to the _unfolded protein responsive element_ (UPRE) in the promoters of various UPR responsive genes. Hac1 activates the expression of UPRE under the ER stress conditions [71] [72].

> A unique mechanism is involved in regulating the translation of _HAC1_ mRNA. Even though _HAC1_ mRNA is present in the cells, its expression is regulated post-transcriptionally via an mRNA secondary structure formed by its 5′-UTR and an unconventional cytoplasmic intron [73]. Under conditions of ER stress an active kinase/RNase “Ire1”, cleaves the inhibitory intron at two positions [74] [75]. The two exons are then ligated by tRNA ligase. Then mature _HAC1^s_ mRNA undergoes translation to produce Hac1 protein. The Hac1 protein enters the nucleus, binds to the UPR elements, and activates gene expression (see Fig. 12).
It is known that RNA secondary structure in the 5’-UTR of an mRNA negatively regulates translation of that mRNA [51]. However, the precise mechanism of inhibition of translation is not known. The presence of an inherent secondary structure in the 5’-UTR of HAC1 mRNA makes it a model mRNA to study regulation of protein synthesis by an RNA secondary structure. In this thesis, we uncover the mechanism of translational regulation of HAC1 mRNA by an RNA secondary structure.

A. Yeast HAC1 as a model mRNA:

Yeast HAC1 mRNA is the model mRNA to study translational regulation. The structure of HAC1 mRNA is shown in Fig 13. HAC1 mRNA has a 5’-UTR of 69 nucleotides, Exon 1 of 661
nucleotides, Exon 2 of 57 nucleotides, a long intron of 252 nucleotides sandwiched between two exons, and long 3’-UTR of 461 nucleotides. The 17 nucleotides of the intron base-pair with the 5’-UTR to form an RNA secondary structure which keeps the HAC1 mRNA translationally silent under physiological conditions.

In rare cases, a 230 amino acid long Hac1 protein; known as Hac1\textsuperscript{u} (“u” stands for un-stress conditions) is obtained from exon 1. Under stress condition, the inhibitory intron is removed, and the two exons are ligated to mature HAC1 mRNA. This mRNA, when translated, produces a 238 amino acid long Hac1\textsuperscript{i} (“i” stands for induced conditions) which will be henceforth referred as, “Hac1\textsuperscript{i} protein”. The Hac1\textsuperscript{i} is an active transcription factor and can activate UPRE dependent transcription. The last 8 amino acids encoded by exon 1 are replaced by an 18 amino acid long tail encoded by exon 2 in Hac1\textsuperscript{i} (Fig. 13B) [76]. The N-terminal end of the Hac1 protein contains a DNA binding domain and C-terminal end contains a transcription activation domain.

Both IRE1 and HAC1 genes are essential for the yeast to survive under stress conditions (see UPR pathway in Fig 12). Conventionally, the stress conditions are mimicked in cells by treating them with either tunicamycin (Tm) or Dithiothreitol (DTT). DTT reduces the disulfide bonds in folded proteins while tunicamycin inhibits N-linked glycosylation of the proteins. Thus, both treatments likely result in accumulation of unfolded proteins in the lumen of ER mimicking ER stress conditions.
B. RNA secondary structure inhibits translation initiation in \textit{HAC1} mRNA:

It has been reported that the RNA secondary structure inhibits translation elongation in \textit{HAC1} mRNA \cite{73}. This conclusion was based on a key observation that the \textit{HAC1} mRNA was predominantly associated with the polyribosome. The proposed model suggests that, as soon as the \textit{HAC1} mRNA enters the cytoplasm, it is engaged by ribosomes, which start translating the transcript. When the intron enters the cytoplasm, it base-pairs with the 5'-UTR which traps the translating ribosomes on the mRNA. Thus, \textit{HAC1} mRNA is associated with polyribosomes and the RNA secondary structure inhibits translation elongation \cite{73}.
Using a random mutational screen as well as site-directed mutagenesis, we identified point mutations within the 5′-UTR*intron interaction site, which de-repress translation of the un-spliced HAC1 mRNA. We also show that insertion of an in-frame AUG start codon upstream of the RNA secondary structure releases the translational block. Moreover, overexpression of translation initiation factor eIF4A, a helicase, enhances production of Hac1 from an mRNA containing an upstream AUG start codon at the beginning of the base-paired region. Thus, our results suggest that translation of HAC1 mRNA is inhibited at the initiation stage [77]. These results are published, and they are discussed further in chapter 2 of this thesis.

C. RNA secondary structure inhibits either loading of PIC or helicase eIF4A on HAC1 mRNA:

In chapter 3, we aimed at deciphering the detailed mechanisms of translation initiation block. We asked the following questions: 1) What step of translation initiation is inhibited by RNA secondary structure? 2) Is there any suppressor protein likely involved in translational silencing of HAC1 mRNA? Our results suggest that the loading of pre-initiation complex (PIC) on the HAC1 mRNA is inhibited by the RNA secondary structure.

D. HAC1 mRNA transcript isoform plays a role in adaption to ER stress:

Recent genomic and transcriptomic studies suggest that Hac1 protein is produced from two overlapping mRNA isoforms. One isoform (dubbed here “HAC1a”) is the canonical mRNA (Fig 10). The second isoform (dubbed here “HAC1b”) is a newly discovered mRNA, containing a long 5′-UTR, exon1, and a short 3′-UTR. As reported earlier, intron of HAC1a binds to its 5′-UTR and keeps mRNA translationally silent. Like HAC1a, we find that, HAC1b remains translationally silent by an unknown mechanism. We find that HAC1b could translate an active transcription
factor only under conditions of cellular stress. Moreover, we find that the \textit{HAC1b} mRNA level is substantially low compared to \textit{HAC1a} and the Hac1b protein is stabilized in the absence of Duh1 (a member of a proteasome complex). These results suggest that translational silencing of \textit{HAC1b} mRNA could be because of rapid degradation of both mRNA and its translational product. Taken together, we study the role of a previously undefined \textit{HAC1b} transcript in adaptation to cellular stress; these results are further in chapter 4.
2. *HAC1* mRNA is translationally repressed at the initiation stage

These results are published in JBC with the title “Evidence that base-pairing interaction between intron and mRNA leader sequences inhibits initiation of *HAC1* mRNA translation in yeast” ([J Biol Chem.](https://www.jbc.org) 2015 Sep 4;290(36):21821-32).

2.1 Introduction

The regulation of translation of the yeast *HAC1* mRNA involves base-pairing of the 5’-UTR and the intron [73]. The unique intron (spanning nucleotides G661 to G913, with the adenine of AUG start codon assigned as 1) is not spliced in the nucleus by the spliceosome but instead is retained in the mRNA that is exported to the cytoplasm [78]. Previous work established that base-pairing interactions between elements in the intron and the 5’-UTR repress translation of the unspliced *HAC1* mRNA [73]. Their results showed that *HAC1* mRNA translation is repressed at the elongation stage. Under conditions of endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress, the endoribonuclease Ire1 is activated and cleaves both exon-intron boundaries of the *HAC1* mRNA in the cytoplasm (see Fig. 7). The two exons are then ligated by tRNA ligase [79], resulting in an altered ORF with a new codon starting at nucleotide G661 and a UAG stop codon at nucleotide 963 (see Fig. 11A and Fig. 8B). The Hac1 protein is a transcription factor and primary effector of the unfolded protein response (UPR) [80] [81]. It binds to the UPR elements (UPRE) in the promoters of genes and activates expression of a set of genes involved in maintaining protein homeostasis and alleviating ER stress [75][76] [71][82] (see Fig. 12). The Hac1 proteins produced from both the spliced (238 amino acids) and unspliced (230 amino acids) mRNA are functional transcription factors [83]. Understanding the mechanism of the repression of translation of the unspliced *HAC1* mRNA is key to understanding the UPR pathway in yeast.
To gain mechanistic insights into HAC1 mRNA translation, a genetic screen was performed to identify mutation(s) that can release the translational block in a splicing-deficient and translationally inert HAC1 mRNA allele (i.e. HAC1-G661C). In this screen we identified a single base mutation (i.e. G771A) in the intron that is predicted to disrupt the base-pairing interaction with the 5’-UTR [73]. This suggests that the mutation G771A in the intron results in the disruption of the 5’-UTR*intron interaction leading to translation of the splicing deficient HAC1-G661C,G771A mRNA allele. This suggests that the disruption in 5’-UTR*intron base-pairing interaction relieves the translational block. This result is consistent with the previous observation that the 5’-UTR*intron interaction interferes with translation initiation on the HAC1 mRNA [73]. Hence, we introduced an upstream in-frame AUG codon before the 5’-UTR*intron base-pairing region which relieved the translational block on the HAC1 mRNA. These results are discussed in this chapter.

2.2 Single base-pair mutation in the intron derepresses translation of unspliced HAC1 mRNA

To gain mechanistic insights into the 5’-UTR*intron-mediated translational repression, we screened for intragenic suppressor mutations in a splicing-deficient and translationally inert HAC1-G661C allele. We expected that second-site mutation(s) might produce a Hac1 protein from the unspliced mRNA. The suppressor screen was performed using E. coli XL-1 Red cells from Stratagene. This E. coli strain is deficient in efficient DNA repair mechanisms due to mutations in genes mutS, mutD, and mutT. Hence, this strain is suitable for generation of random mutations in the DNA.

The hac1Δ yeast strain carrying an empty vector grew well on synthetic dextrose (SD) medium as the same strain expressing HAC1 from a low copy number plasmid (Fig. 14B, rows 1
and 2) The hac1Δ yeast strain required HAC1 expression to grow on the SD medium containing the ER stress inducer tunicamycin (Fig. 14B, rows 1 and 2). These results are consistent with the previous reports that Hac1 function is essential for the ER stress response [82] [71]. Mutation of the Ire1-cleavage site (i.e. G661C) impaired yeast cell growth on tunicamycin medium (Fig. 14B, row 3). Thus, we assumed that the G661C mutation might reduce the HAC1 mRNA stability, mRNA splicing, and/or translation.

To determine whether the G661C mutation caused a reduction in HAC1 mRNA stability and/or mRNA splicing, total RNA was extracted from those cells and then subjected to reverse transcriptase (RT) PCR to amplify the HAC1 mRNA as well as an endogenous ACT1 mRNA (housekeeping control). As shown in Fig. 14D, amounts of ACT1 mRNA were equal in each RNA sample (lanes 1–3). As expected, no HAC1 mRNA was detected in the hac1Δ strain transformed with an empty vector (Fig. 14D, lane 1), whereas equal amounts of HAC1 mRNA were detected in the strains expressing WT HAC1 (lane 2) or the HAC1-G661C mutant (lane 3). These results suggest that the tunicamycin-sensitive (TmS) phenotype of the strain expressing a HAC1-G661C mutant was unlikely due to reduced HAC1 mRNA levels. To determine whether the G661C mutation impaired mRNA splicing, RT-PCR was performed using primers that distinguish the unspliced (HAC1u) and spliced (HAC1s) transcripts. Again, as expected, no HAC1 mRNA was detected in the RNA sample prepared from the hac1Δ strain carrying an empty vector (Fig. 14E, upper panel, lane 1). Both the HAC1u and HAC1s transcripts were amplified from cells expressing WT HAC1 (Fig. 11E, upper panel, lane 2) as expected. In cells expressing the HAC1-G661C allele, the vast majority of mRNA was unspliced (HAC1u; Fig.14E, lane 3). This result is consistent with the idea that the G661C mutation in the cleavage site impaired HAC1 mRNA splicing by Ire1 under stress conditions. The defective splicing of the HAC1-G661C mRNA is supported by
previous studies that mutation of this guanine nucleotide at the cleavage site impairs Ire1 cleavage of the \( HAC1 \) mRNA both in vivo and in vitro [75] [74].

**Figure 14. Single base-pair mutation in the intron derepresses translation of unspliced \( HAC1 \) mRNA:** (A) Schematic diagram of \( HAC1 \) mRNA. The \( m^7 \)G (7-methylguanosine) cap, 5' and 3'-UTRs (black dotted lines), exons (dark blue boxes), intron (solid orange line) and polyA tail (An) are shown. The intron spans nucleotides G661 to G913 and interacts with the 5' UTR. The nucleotide sequence of the 5' UTR–intron interaction is shown at the top. The adenine of the \( HAC1 \) AUG start codon is assigned as position +1 with positive and negative values for the downstream and upstream nucleotides, respectively. Two inframe \( HAC1 \) UAG stop codons are shown: one located in the intron (nucleotide positions +691 to +693) and the second located in exon 2 (nucleotide positions +967 to +969). (B) Yeast growth assay. Transformants of \( hac1\Delta \) or \( ire1\Delta \) yeast strains carrying an empty vector or expressing the indicated \( HAC1 \) allele were grown in SD medium to saturation, and 5 µl of serial dilutions (of OD\textsubscript{600} = 1.0, 0.1, 0.01, and 0.001) were spotted on SD medium or SD medium containing 0.4 µg/ml tunicamycin and incubated 3 d at 30 °C. (C) Immunoblot analysis of Hac1 protein. Strains described in panel B were grown in SD medium, treated with 5 mM DTT to induce ER stress, and then WCEs were prepared and subjected to SDS-PAGE followed by Western blot analysis using a polyclonal antibody raised against recombinant Hac1 protein. Lanes are numbered according to row numbers in panel B. (D) Analysis of \( HAC1 \) mRNA levels. Total RNA was extracted from the \( hac1\Delta \) strains expressing the indicated \( HAC1 \) alleles and grown under non-stress condition. The RNA was used as a template for reverse transcription (RT) and quantitative (Q)-PCR analyses of \( HAC1 \) and \( ACT1 \) mRNAs as described in Experimental Procedures. The ratio of threshold values (C\textsubscript{T}) for \( HAC1 \) and \( ACT1 \) mRNA are shown. (E) RT-PCR analysis of \( HAC1 \) mRNA splicing. A \( hac1\Delta \) strain carrying an empty vector or expressing WT \( HAC1 \) or the \( HAC1\text{-}G661C \) mutant was grown in SD medium, treated with 5 mM DTT, and then total RNA was extracted and used as a template for RT-PCR analysis of 28S rRNA or of unspliced (\( HAC1^u \)) and spliced (\( HAC1^s \)) \( HAC1 \) transcripts as previously described [25].
To confirm that the HAC1-G661C allele is impaired in Hac1 protein expression, we performed the immunoblot analysis using an antibody raised against the Hac1 protein. The WCEs were prepared from cells exposed to DTT to induce ER stress (as described in materials and methods). The Hac1 protein was detected in the extract obtained from cells expressing the WT HAC1 allele (Fig. 14C, lane 2). In contrast, no Hac1 protein was detected in cells expressing the HAC1-G661C mutant (lane 3). These results are consistent with the model [73] [83] that translation of the unspliced HAC1 mRNA is blocked.

The splicing- and translation-defective HAC1-G661C allele was expressed on plasmid and was subjected to random mutagenesis by amplification in E. coli XL-1 red cells (Stratagene) that are deficient in three DNA repair pathways. The mutagenized pool of plasmids was purified and used to transform a hac1Δ yeast strain. Transformants were screened for the ability to grow on the medium containing tunicamycin. The HAC1 plasmid was isolated from the tunicamycin-resistant (TmR) colonies and re-tested in a hac1Δ strain. The TmR phenotype associated with the plasmid was confirmed, and then plasmid was sequenced to detect the mutation. A single second-site suppressor mutation, G771A, was found to restore the ability of the HAC1-G661C variant to activate the ER stress response (Fig. 14B, row 4).

The nucleotide G771 is in the region of the intron that is predicted to form base-pairing interactions with the 5’-UTR of the HAC1 mRNA. In the alignment shown in Fig. 14A, the G771 base in the intron is base-paired with C-27 in the 5’-UTR. We hypothesized that the G771A mutation disrupted the base-pairing interaction with C-27 and thus, the 5’-UTR*intron interaction is disrupted. This leads to the derepression of HAC1 mRNA translation in the absence of splicing (HAC1-G661C).
We tested the possibility that the G771A mutation enabled translation of unspliced \textit{HAC1} mRNA in the \textit{ire1}\(\Delta\) strain. Plasmids encoding the WT \textit{HAC1}, the splice-site mutant \textit{HAC1-G661C}, and the suppressor mutant \textit{HAC1-G661C, G771A} were introduced into a yeast strain lacking the Ire1 endonuclease. The transformants were then tested for their ability to grow on the medium containing tunicamycin. As shown in the Fig. 14B (rows 5 and 6), the \textit{ire1}\(\Delta\) strain expressing WT \textit{HAC1} or \textit{HAC1-G661C} failed to grow on the medium containing tunicamycin, consistent with the notion that Ire1-mediated splicing of \textit{HAC1} mRNA is essential for the ER stress response [73] [83] [78]. In contrast, the \textit{HAC1-G661C, G771A} mutant conferred a Tm\textsuperscript{R} phenotype when expressed in the \textit{ire1}\(\Delta\) strain (Fig. 14B, row 7). These results suggest that the G771A mutation derepressed \textit{HAC1} mRNA translation in the absence of splicing. Consistent with this interpretation, immunoblot analysis revealed substantial Hac1\textsuperscript{u} protein levels without an increase in the level of the \textit{HAC1} mRNA (Fig. 14D, lane 4) when the \textit{HAC1-G661C, G771A} mutant was expressed in either \textit{hac1}\(\Delta\) (Fig. 14C, lane 4) or \textit{ire1}\(\Delta\) (Fig. 14C, lane 7) cells. These data demonstrate that a single base mutation in the intron is sufficient to eliminate translational control of the \textit{HAC1} mRNA.

2.3 Disruption in the 5'-UTR*intron base-pairing by single base pair mutations release the translational block

Previous results suggest that single base-pair mutation in the intron can release the translational block in \textit{HAC1} mRNA [73]. To identify significant single or double base-pairing interactions important for translational repression, we introduced several mutations in the intron or the 5'-UTR of a human influenza hemagglutinin (HA) epitope-tagged version of \textit{HAC1}. The HA-tag was inserted between codons for Ser-10 and Asn-11 of the \textit{HAC1 ORF}. Importantly, the HA-tagged \textit{HAC1} allele functioned like untagged WT \textit{HAC1} and complemented the Tm\textsuperscript{S}
phenotype of a the hac1Δ strain (Fig. 15A, row 2) in an Ire1-dependent manner (Fig. 15B, row 2). As shown in Fig. 15B, the single C-23G (row 3) and the double C-23G, C-24G (row 4) mutations in the 5'-UTR of the HA-HAC1 mRNA showed TmR phenotype of an ire1Δ hac1Δ, due to disruption of the base-pairing interaction with the intron (Fig. 14A). As no HAC1 mRNA splicing takes place in the ire1Δ hac1Δ strain, these results indicate that, just like the G771A mutation in the intron (Fig. 15B, row 7), the point mutations in the HAC1 5'-UTR can release translational block in HAC1 mRNA. As shown in Fig. 15B, the G767C (row 5) and G767C, G768C (row 6) mutations in other intron residues involved in the base-pairing interactions also led to prominent TmR phenotypes in the ire1Δ hac1Δ strain. This suggests that mutations in either the 5'-UTR or the intron relieved translational block in HAC1 mRNA.

Immunoblot and Northern analyses were used to assess the impact of the 5'-UTR and intron mutations on Hac1 protein and mRNA levels. As the cells lack Ire1 protein and no splicing of the HAC1 mRNA will take place, extracts were prepared from cells grown in the absence of ER stress. Whereas no HA-Hac1 was detected in the extracts from cells expressing WT HA-HAC1 (Fig. 15C, upper panel, lane 2), very low or low levels of HA-Hac1 protein were observed in the extracts prepared from cells expressing the C-23G or C-23G, C-24G mutant alleles, respectively, of HA-HAC1 (Fig. 15C, upper panel, lanes 3 and 4). Thus, low level expression of HA-Hac1 protein from the HA-HAC1-C-23G allele was apparently sufficient to confer a TmR phenotype. Consistent with the more pronounced TmR phenotype, the HA-Hac1 protein was readily detected in extracts prepared from the ire1Δ hac1Δ strain expressing the G767C (Fig. 15C, lane 5) and G767C, G768C (lane 6) mutant form of HA-HAC1. Northern analyses revealed that the levels of HA-HAC1 mRNA were similar in cells expressing the WT or various mutant alleles (Fig. 15C, lower panel, lanes 3– 6 versus 2), suggesting that differences in protein expression were not due
to altered mRNA levels. These results provide further support for the hypothesis that mutations designed to disrupt the 5'-UTR*intron interaction relieve the translational block in HAC1 mRNA.

The G771 residue is predicted to form a base-pairing interaction with C-27 (Fig. 14A). To test the importance of this predicted base pair, three additional HAC1 mutants were generated. The single mutants HAC1-C-27G and HAC1-G771C were made to destroy the pairing and the double mutant HAC1-C-27G, G771C was constructed to restore the pairing in the opposite orientation.
As shown in Fig. 15D, both HAC1-C-27G (row 3) and HAC1-G771C mutants (row 4) conferred a Tm\(^R\) phenotype in the ire1\(\Delta\) hac1\(\Delta\) strain. In contrast, the HAC1-C-27G, G771C double mutant (Fig. 15D, row 5) functioned like WT HAC1 (row 2) and conferred a Tm\(^S\) phenotype in the ire1\(\Delta\) hac1\(\Delta\) strain. The Hac1 protein was detected only in the extracts obtained from cells expressing the HAC1-C-27G mutant (Fig. 15E, Western, lane 3) and the HAC1-G771C mutant (lane 4). The mutual suppression of the HAC1-C-27G and HAC1-G771C mutants in the HAC1-C-27G, G771C double mutant is consistent with the proposed base-pairing interaction between these residues and further demonstrates that repression of HAC1 expression by the 5\(^\prime\)-UTR*intron interaction is sensitive to loss of a single base pair interaction. These three HAC1 alleles (HAC1-C-27G, HAC1-G771C, and HAC1-C-27G,G771C) when expressed in a hac1\(\Delta\) strain (IRE1 intact in the chromosome) conferred a Tm\(^R\) phenotype (Fig. 15F, rows 2–5) just like the WT. Based on the results of these mutational analyses, we conclude that 5\(^\prime\)-UTR*intron interaction and, in particular, the C-27/G771 base pair plays an important role in repressing HAC1 mRNA translation.

2.4 Insertion of in-frame start codons in the 5\(^\prime\)-UTR of HAC1 mRNA

The secondary structure in the 5\(^\prime\)-UTR could impair Hac1 synthesis by either blocking the scanning of the initiation complex to the start codon or by impairing translation elongation as has been proposed previously [73]. To differentiate between these models, AUG start codons were inserted at three sites in the 5\(^\prime\)-UTR of the HAC1 gene (Fig. 16A). All three of the introduced AUG codons were in-frame with the HAC1 ORF and thus would be predicted to encode Hac1 proteins with N-terminal extensions. The inserted AUG codon was made sole initiation codon by mutating the adenine of the authentic HAC1 start codon to guanine, generating the HAC1-A1G allele. Similarly, the adenine of the AUG codon encoding Met3 was likewise altered to guanine to generate the HAC1-A7G mutation (Fig. 16A). These mutations ensure that Hac1 protein is
translated from the inserted in-frame AUGs. Consistent with this it was shown in Fig. 16B that

\[ \text{HAC1-}A1G,A7G \text{ mutant allele failed to complement the Tm}^S \text{ phenotype of a hac1}^\Delta \text{ strain (row 3).} \]

The A1G,A7G mutation did not reduce \text{HAC1} mRNA levels (Fig. 16D, lane 3) consistent with the notion that, even following splicing, scanning ribosomes fail to initiate at the \text{HAC1} start site (a GUG codon in the A1G mutant), and no Hac1 protein was produced (Fig. 16C, lane 2).

We first characterized the effect of insertion of the AUG immediately upstream of the 5’-UTR*intron interaction (see Figs. 14Aand 16A) at the position -42UAA. This insertion with the mutations of authentic AUG (as described above) generated \text{HAC1-AUG-42, A1G, A7G} allele. As shown in Fig. 16B, the \text{HAC1-AUG-42, A1G, A7G} allele conferred a Tm\(^R\) phenotype when expressed in a \text{hac1}^\Delta \text{ strain (row 4), suggesting that a functional Hac1 protein with an extra 14 amino acids at the N terminus was expressed from the AUG-42 codon. Western analyses were performed on WCEs prepared from ER-stressed cells using an antibody prepared against recombinant Hac1 protein. Consistent with the introduction of 14 extra N-terminal residues, the Hac1 protein in the \text{HAC1-AUG-42, A1G, A7G} cells was of higher molecular weight than the WT Hac1 protein (Fig. 16C, lanes 1 and 3). The above results were obtained using a \text{hac1}^\Delta \text{ strain in which the chromosomal IRE1 gene was intact. Thus, it is likely that the \text{HAC1-AUG-42, A1G, A7G} mRNA was spliced in these cells, and we conclude the AUG insertion does not impair Hac1 production or the ability of Hac1 to promote yeast cell growth on medium containing tunicamycin.}

We further expressed the \text{HAC1- AUG-42, A1G, A7G} allele in an \text{ire1}^\Delta \text{ strain where the mRNA will not be spliced. As shown in Fig. 16B, the \text{HAC1-AUG-42, A1G, A7G} allele failed to complement the Tm\(^R\) phenotype of the \text{ire1}^\Delta \text{ strain (Fig. 16B, row 5). Western analyses showed that the Hac1 protein was not produced from the inserted AUG without Ire1 mediated splicing (Fig. 16D, lower panel, lane 2). These results indicate that the unspliced \text{HAC1-AUG-42, A1G,}
A7G mRNA is translationally repressed, and we propose that the presence of the adjacent secondary

**Figure 16. Insertion of an in-frame start codons in the 5′-UTR of HAC1 mRNA:** (A) Schematic diagrams showing the 5′-UTRs of HAC1, HAC1-AUG₃₃A₇G, HAC1-AUG₄₂A₇G and HAC1-AUG₆₀A₇G alleles. Color schemes are the same as in Fig. 11A. The brown box represents the 9-nucleotide sequence 5′-A₃CAACAACC-3′. The A₇G mutation converts the HAC1 start codon to GUG and includes a second mutation A₃G that alters the Met3 codon. The nucleotides C₃₃C₃₂U₃₁ or U₄₂A₄₁A₄₀ were replaced by an AUG codon in the HAC1-AUG₃₆A₇G or HAC1-AUG₄₂ allele, respectively. In the HAC1-AUG₆₀ allele, a 21-nucleotide sequence consisting of an AUG codon plus two repeats of the 9 nucleotides represented by the brown box was inserted upstream of position -39. (B) Yeast growth assay. Transformants of hac1Δ or ire1Δ yeast strains carrying an empty vector or expressing the indicated HAC1 or IRE1 allele were grown in SD medium to saturation, and 5 µl of serial dilutions (of OD₆₀₀ = 1.0, 0.1, 0.01, and 0.001) were spotted on SD medium or SD medium containing 0.4 g/ml tunicamycin and incubated 3 d at 30 °C. (C) Immunoblot analysis of Hac1 protein. Strains described in panel B were grown in SD medium, treated with 5 mM DTT to induce ER stress, and then WCEs were prepared and subjected to SDS-PAGE followed by Western analysis using a polyclonal antibody raised against recombinant Hac1 protein. (D) RT-PCR and Western analysis of HAC1 expression. (Upper panel) Total RNA was extracted from the hac1Δ strains expressing the indicated HAC1 alleles following growth under non-stress conditions. The RNA was used as a template for RT-PCR and Q-PCR analysis of HAC1 and ACT1 mRNAs as described in Experimental Procedures. The ratio of threshold values (Cₜ) from Q-PCR analysis of HAC1 and ACT1 mRNAs are shown. (Lower panel) hac1Δ and ire1Δ strains expressing HAC1-AUG₄₂A₇G were grown in SD medium, treated with 5 mM DTT to induce ER stress, and then WCEs were prepared and subjected to SDS-PAGE followed by Western analysis using polyclonal anti-Hac1 antibodies.
structure in the 5'-UTR prevents the scanning ribosomes from accessing the AUG-42 start codon or may impede formation of a productive 80S ribosome on the AUG-42 codon.

Two additional mutants were constructed by inserting AUG at different positions. First, the nucleotides C_{-33}C_{-32}U_{-31} in the HAC1-A_{I}G, A_{7}G allele were substituted by an AUG triplet to generate the HAC1-AUG_{-33}, A_{I}G, A_{7}G allele (Figs. 14A and 16A). This mutation is predicted to disrupt two base-pair interactions between the 5'-UTR and the intron (Fig. 14A). We previously showed that disruption of a single base-pair interaction was sufficient to relieve the HAC1 mRNA translational block (Figs. 14-15). Hence, likewise AUG_{-33} mutation was expected to relieve the translational block. As shown in Figure 17A (row 3), and in contrast to WT HAC1 (row 1), the HAC1-AUG_{-33}, A_{I}G, A_{7}G allele conferred a Tm^R phenotype in the ire1Δ hac1Δ strain, and Hac1 protein was detected in extracts from cells expressing the mutant, but not the WT protein (Fig. 17B, lane 3 versus 1). These experiments with the HAC1-AUG_{-33}, A_{I}G, A_{7}G mutant provide further support for the hypothesis that disruption of the secondary structure formed by the base-pairing interaction between the 5'-UTR and intron is sufficient to derepress translation of the unspliced HAC1 mRNA.

Because the AUG-42 insertion may have failed to derepress HAC1 mRNA translation due to the close proximity of AUG-42 to the 5'-UTR*intron secondary structure, the third mutant was constructed by inserting the AUG codon further upstream from the secondary structure. The HAC1-AUG_{-60}, A_{I}G, A_{7}G allele was generated by inserting an AUG codon followed by 18 additional nucleotides at position -39 (Figs. 14A and 16A) to increase the distance between the AUG and the secondary structure. The inserted nucleotides consist of two 9-nucleotide repeats of the sequence normally found between positions -15 and -7 (5'-'A_{-15}CAACAAACC-G-3') of the HAC1 mRNA which does not form a secondary structure. In this new HAC1-AUG_{-60}, A_{I}G, A_{7}G allele, the
inserted AUG\textsubscript{60} start codon is located \textasciitilde 26-nts from the 5’ cap and \textasciitilde 22-nts upstream of the secondary structure.

Interestingly, when introduced into the ire\(1\Delta\) hac\(1\Delta\) strain, the HAC1-AUG\textsubscript{60}, A\(_7\)G,A\(_7\)G allele conferred a Tm\textsuperscript{R} phenotype (Fig. 17A, row 5). The western analysis of WCEs from the strain grown under non-ER stress conditions revealed a prominent Hac1 protein signal consistent with the growth (Fig. 17B, lane 5). Importantly, the Hac1 protein in the HAC1-AUG\textsubscript{60}, A\(_7\)G,A\(_7\)G strain migrated more slowly in SDS-PAGE than the Hac1 protein produced in the HAC1-AUG\textsubscript{33},A\(_7\)G,A\(_7\)G strain (Fig. 17B, lane 3), consistent with the longer N-terminal extension for the protein initiating at AUG\textsubscript{60}. The HAC1-AUG\textsubscript{60}, A\(_7\)G,A\(_7\)G mRNA allele is unspliced in the ire\(1\Delta\) hac\(1\Delta\) strain and the 5'-UTR*intron interaction is also intact hence, the ability of AUG\textsubscript{60} codon insertion to relieve the translational block in HAC1 leads to two important conclusions. First, in contrast to
the previously proposed model of a translation elongation block on the unspliced \emph{HAC1} mRNA, elongating ribosomes are able to translate through the 5'-UTR*intron interaction. Second, the data are consistent with a model in which the 5'-UTR*intron interaction impedes translation initiation by blocking ribosomes scanning to the native AUG start codon.

\textit{2.4 Unspliced HAC1 mRNA is associated with monosomes}

The genetic suppressor and AUG insertion mutant studies support the model that ribosomal scanning on the WT unspliced \emph{HAC1} mRNA is paused at the 5'-UTR*intron interaction site. Accordingly, the point mutations that weaken the 5'-UTR*intron interaction allow scanning ribosomes to traverse the 5'-UTR and initiate translation at the AUG start codon of \emph{HAC1}. If this model is correct, few ribosomes should associate with the unspliced WT \emph{HAC1} mRNA, whereas the \emph{HAC1} mRNA containing the point mutations that disrupt the 5' UTR–intron interaction should be readily translated and thus show a greater association with polysomes.

To test this possibility, the WT chromosomal \emph{HAC1} allele (translationally repressed) in the \textit{ire1Δ} strain J751 was replaced with the \emph{HAC1-GG767,768CC} mutant (translationally active; see Fig. 12B-C, lane 6) to create strain J1167. Next, WCEs from strains J751 and J1167 were subjected to velocity sedimentation in 7 to 47% sucrose gradients, and then the gradients were fractionated while being scanned at 254 nm (see Experimental Procedures) to visualize the free 40S and 60S ribosomal subunits, 80S monosomes, and polyribosomes (Fig. 18).

To monitor the sedimentation of mRNAs in the gradients, RNA was isolated from the gradient fractions and analyzed by Northern blot using probes to detect the \emph{HAC1} mRNA and the \emph{ADH1} mRNA. As shown in Figure 15A, the WT \emph{HAC1} mRNA in unstressed \textit{ire1Δ} cells showed strong association with 40S subunits (lane 2) and only \textasciitilde53\% of the \emph{HAC1} mRNA associated with polysomes. In contrast, the \emph{ADH1} mRNA was primarily (\textasciitilde80\%) associated with the polysome
fractions. Disruption of the 5' UTR–intron base-pairing interaction in the *HAC1-GG*<sup>767,768CC</sup> mutant resulted in more (~70%) of the *HAC1* mRNA associating with polysomes (Fig. 15B, lanes 5-8).

These data provide independent support for the idea that the 5'-UTR–*intron interaction blocks ribosomal scanning, resulting in a substantial fraction of the *HAC1* mRNA associating with a single 40S subunit. Accordingly, disruption of the secondary structure releases the initiation block and enables more ribosomes to translate the *HAC1* mRNA, resulting in more of the mRNA associating with polyribosomes.

---

**Figure 18. Unspliced HAC1 mRNA is associated with monosomes:** WCEs from strains J751 (*HAC1 ire1Δ*, panel A) and J1167 (*HAC1-GG*<sup>767,768CC ire1Δ*, panel B) were resolved by velocity sedimentation in 7 to 47% sucrose gradients. Gradients were fractionated while scanning at A<sub>254</sub> (upper tracings), and the positions of the 40S and 60S subunits, 80S ribosomes and polysomes are indicated. Total RNA was extracted from each fraction and subjected to Northern analysis using probes for *HAC1* and *ADH1*, as indicated. The amounts of *HAC1* mRNA in the gradient fractions were quantified, and the percentage of *HAC1* mRNA associating with polysomes (fractions 4-8) was calculated.
2.6 Overexpression of helicase eIF4A relieves the translational block in the HAC1-AUG-42,A1G,A7G allele

The insertion of an AUG codon at position -60 far upstream of the secondary structure in the HAC1 mRNA led to constitutive derepression of Hac1 synthesis (Fig. 17) and the insertion of an AUG codon at position -42 immediately before the secondary structure failed to derepress Hac1 synthesis in the absence of splicing (Fig. 16). As our data indicate that the secondary structure formed by the base-pairing interactions between the intron and 5'-UTR in the HAC1 mRNA interferes with translation initiation, we reasoned that scanning ribosomes lack sufficient ability to unwind the secondary structure and access the AUG-42 start codon.

Translation initiation factor eIF4A is an RNA helicase which melts the secondary structures present in the 5'-UTR specifically cap-proximal secondary structures [84] [34]. Hence, we reasoned that overexpression of the translation initiation factors forming a cap-binding complex (eIF4F) along with eIF4A will melt the secondary structure and facilitate the Hac1 production on the HAC1-AUG-42,A1G,A7G mRNA. Consistent with this hypothesis, overexpression of helicase eIF4A conferred a tunicamycin-resistant phenotype in an ire1Δ hac1Δ strain expressing the HAC1-AUG-42,A1G,A7G allele (Fig. 19B, right panels, row 2). This derepression of HAC1 synthesis was dependent on eIF4A helicase activity as overexpression of the eIF4A-D170E mutant that lacks helicase activity failed to support growth on tunicamycin medium (Fig. 19B, right panels, row 5). Moreover, overexpression of eIF4E, the mRNA cap-binding protein, or eIF4B, a factor that promotes eIF4A activity in mRNA remodeling and scanning, failed to promote growth of the strain expressing the HAC1-AUG-42, A1GA7G allele on tunicamycin medium (Fig. 19B, right panels, rows 2 and 5).
3 and 4). Consistent with these growth phenotypes, Western blot analyses demonstrated that overexpression of eIF4A, but not eIF4E, eIF4B or non-functional eIF4A-D170E, promoted synthesis of Hac1 in from the \textit{HAC1-AUG-42}, \textit{A1G,A7G} mRNA (Fig. 19C).

These data support the model that increasing the cellular amount of eIF4A enables the scanning ribosome to melt secondary structure on the \textit{HAC1-AUG-42}, \textit{A1G,A7G} mRNA and gain access to the AUG-42 start codon. In contrast to the ability of eIF4A overexpression to derepress translation of the \textit{HAC1-AUG-42}, \textit{A1G,A7G} mRNA, overexpression of eIF4A failed to confer a tunicamycin-resistant phenotype in cells expressing the wild type HAC1 mRNA (Fig. 19B, left panel, row 2). Thus, we propose that overexpression of eIF4A can promote the modest level of secondary structure melting required for the scanning ribosome to access AUG-42 but is not
sufficient for the ribosome to traverse through the entire base-paired region and access the wild-type HAC1 start codon.

2.6 Conclusion and future perspectives

Taken together, these results suggest that intact base-pairing of the 5’-UTR and intron is essential for translational suppression in HAC1 mRNA which is consistent with previously published results [73]. The previously proposed model predicts that, [73] (see Fig. 9B) translating ribosomes are trapped on the mRNA and spliced HAC1 mRNA can produce Hac1 protein once the translational block is removed by Ire1 mediated splicing. However, we showed that spliced HAC1 mRNA with the mutations of the authentic AUGs is unable to translate Hac1 protein, contradicting the previously proposed model.

Our results suggest that HAC1 mRNA with an insertion of in-frame AUG codon upstream of the base-pairing interaction is able to translate and produce Hac1 protein independent of splicing suggests that base-pairing interaction is blocking the translation initiation in HAC1 mRNA. This result is further supported by the observation that, the majority of the un spliced HAC1 mRNA is associated with monosomes (40S ribosomes). Collectively, our results propose a new model where the base-pairing interaction of 5’-UTR and intron inhibits translation initiation and that over expression of the RNA helicase eIF4A relieves the translational block by unwinding of the base-pairing.

With these results, the future studies are targeted at dissecting the translation initiation block. As shown in Fig. 1 and as listed in table1, there are multiple initiation factors that contribute in the translation initiation. Translation initiation can be seen in three steps 1) loading of the Pre-initiation complex (small ribosomal subunit (40S), a ternary complex (TC) made of eIF2, GTP and
initiator met-tRNA$_{i}^{\text{met}}$ and other initiation factors, (eIF1, eIF1A and eIF3, eIF5) on the 5’ end of the mRNA. 2) Scanning of PIC on the mRNA and 3) Identification of the start codon by codon-anticodon base-pairing interaction. In the next chapter, we study what step of translation initiation is blocked by the base-pairing interactions between 5’-UTR and intron of the $HAC1$ mRNA.
3. **HAC1 mRNA is translationally repressed due to insufficient loading of Initiation complex or helicase**

3.1 Introduction

Our previous results suggest that the translation of HAC1 mRNA is blocked at the initiation stage [77]. Further we wanted to dissect the inner workings of the initiation block. Translation initiation can be seen in three steps: 1) loading of the Pre-initiation complex (PIC); 43S PIC {small ribosomal subunit (40S), a ternary complex (TC) made of eIF2, GTP and initiator met-tRNA$_i^{\text{met}}$ and other initiation factors, eIF1, eIF1A and eIF3, eIF5} on the 5’ end of the mRNA; 2) Scanning of 43S PIC on the mRNA and 3) Identification of the start codon by codon-anticodon base-pairing interaction (see Fig. 1).

Translation initiation requires more than 10 initiation factors (see Table 1). Defects in the recruitment of any of these initiation factors may result in the initiation block. We performed over expression studies with an intent to identify the defect in the translation initiation. Next, we differentiated between the 43S PIC loading block and ribosomal scanning block by modulating the length of the 5’-UTR of the HAC1 mRNA.

We propose a model to explain the translation initiation block in HAC1 mRNA. We propose that the 5’-UTR and intron interaction is inhibits loading of either 43S PIC or helicase, (eIF4A) on the HAC1 mRNA. In this chapter we present results supporting our proposed models.
3.2 Overexpression of the cap-binding complex proteins or RNA helicases does not relieve the translation block

In order to begin translation, mRNA is activated by binding of the cap-binding complex (eIF4F) which is composed of eIF4A, eIF4B, eIF4E, and eIF4G to the mRNA cap [5][14][67]. The eIF4G acts as scaffold protein on which other cap-binding proteins (eIF4A, eIF4B, and eIF4E) are assembled. The eIF4E binds to the mRNA cap. Association of the eIF4G with the Poly A tail binding protein (Pab1 in yeast) circularizes the mRNA which increases the translational efficiency of the mRNA (see Fig. 10). Also, most mRNAs need eIF4G for the recruitment of the 43S PIC. This suggests that impaired recruitment of any of the cap-binding complex components might result in the block of translation on HAC1 mRNA. We performed overexpression studies to identify if there is any defect in the recruitment of cap-binding complex proteins on the HAC1 mRNA.

As shown in Fig. 20B, an ire1Δ strain was transformed with a high copy plasmid expressing eIF4A, eIF4B, eIF4E or eIF4G. Transformants were then tested for growth on medium containing tunicamycin. As expected, the ire1Δ strain containing empty vector showed TmS phenotype (Fig. 20B, row 1) and showed TmR phenotype (row 2) when the same vector expressed WT IRE1 gene. Overexpression of any of the cap-binding complex proteins (eIF4A, eIF4B, eIF4E, and eIF4G) failed to show the TmR phenotype in the ire1Δ strain (Fig. 20B). If recruitment of either eIF4A, eIF4B, eIF4E or eIF4G is defective, then expression of these proteins on a high copy vector in ire1Δ strain is expected to overcome the TmS phenotype. These results indicated that over expression of the cap-binding proteins could not overcome the translation initiation block.
RNA helicase plays an important role in translation of mRNAs with structured 5′-UTRs [38] [85] [34]. As explained in the introduction, two major RNA helicases, eIF4A and Ded1 are involved in the unwinding of secondary structures located near the cap (cap-proximal) or away from the cap (cap-distal) in yeast, *S. cerevisiae*. We previously have shown that over expression of eIF4A activates *HAC1* expression in *HAC1* -42AUG A1G, A7G allele (see Fig. 19) [77]. Consistent with this notion we expect that overexpression of the RNA helicases (eIF4A and Ded1) might melt the base-pairing structure and consequently, the ribosome will be able to access the AUG codon and produce Hac1 protein (Fig. 20C). However, we observed that an *ire1Δ* null strain expressing eIF4A, and Ded1 failed to show TmR phenotype (Fig. 20D). This result indicates that, over expression of RNA helicase is not sufficient to relieve the translational block in *HAC1* mRNA.

Figure 20. Over expression of the cap-binding complex proteins or RNA helicases does not relieve the translation block: (A) Schematic diagram of translationally silent *HAC1* mRNA without eIF4A bound to the mRNA cap. The m7G (7-methylguanosine) cap, 5′- and 3′-UTRs (black lines), exons (white box), base-pairing interaction is shown the 5′-UTR (blue horizontal lines) and polyA tail (An) are shown. (B) and (D) Yeast growth assay. Transformants of *ire1Δ* yeast strains carrying an empty vector or expressing the indicated plasmids were grown in SD medium to saturation, and 5 µl of serial dilutions (of OD600 = 1.0, 0.1, 0.01, and 0.001) were spotted on SD medium or SD medium containing 0.4 µg/ml tunicamycin and incubated 3 d at 30 °C. (C) Schematic diagram of translationally active *HAC1* mRNA with eIF4A recruitment. The color schemes are the same as (A).
3.3 Overexpression of translation initiation factors and ribosomal proteins does not relieve the translation block

As indicated by previous results, overexpression of the proteins eIF4A, eIF4B, eIF4E, eIF4G, and the RNA helicase Ded1 were not sufficient to relieve the translational block in *HAC1* mRNA. We also over expressed several initiation factors associated with the 43S PIC (see Fig 1 and table 1). These initiation factors are eIF1, eIF1A, eIF3a, eIF5, eIF5B, and Ternary complex; TC (eIF2, GTP and initiator met-tRNA<sub>met</sub>). These initiation factors together with the 40S ribosome form the pre-initiation complex (PIC). We purposed that defective loading of any of those initiation factors might be the reason for translational suppression in *HAC1* mRNA. Consequently, over expression of those factors might relieve the translational block. An *ire1Δ* strain was transformed with a high copy plasmids expressing eIF1, eIF1A, eIF3a, eIF5, eIF5B, and Ternary complex. The transformants were then tested for their growth on medium containing tunicamycin. The over expression of the initiation factors eIF1, eIF1A, eIF3a, eIF5, eIF5B, and Ternary complex in *ire1Δ* strain failed to exhibit Tm<sup>R</sup> phenotype (Fig. 21B).

The *S. cerevisiae* 40S ribosomal subunit is composed of the 18S rRNA and 33 ribosomal proteins [86]. The crystal structure of the 40S ribosome is shown in Fig. 5 and the list of ribosomal proteins is provided in Table 3. The ribosome filter hypothesis suggests that the small ribosomal subunit (40S) has a regulatory role in addition to translation initiation [87] [88] [89]. Thus, we explored the possibility of involvement of 40S ribosomal proteins in regulating the *HAC1* mRNA translation. We over expressed 40S ribosomal proteins Rps3, Rps4, Rps7A, Rps14, Rps15, Rps19, Rps28, Rps18 and Rps16 in the *ire1Δ* yeast strain. These proteins were chosen because they are present near the mRNA entry channel on the 40S subunit and have been implicated in the helicase activity exhibited by the 40S ribosome [90]. We tested the growth of *ire1Δ* transformants on
medium containing tunicamycin. None of the transformed yeast showed Tm<sup>R</sup> phenotype (Fig. 21C). This result suggests that 40S ribosomal proteins might play a role in regulation of translation in HAC1 mRNA however, the overexpression had no effect.

3.4 Translation of HAC1 mRNA shows no defect in ribosomal scanning

We focused on understanding how the base-pairing interaction inhibits the translation initiation in HAC1 mRNA. The base-pairing interaction can either inhibit translation initiation by impeding the loading of 43S PIC on the mRNA or by inhibiting the ribosomal scanning for the AUG start codon. The secondary structure in the 5’-UTR, and the length of the 5’-UTR together might regulate the translation of mRNA. As explained in the introduction, a long 5’-UTR enhances
the rate of translation initiation by allowing multiple Pre-initiation (43S PIC) complexes to load on the mRNA [37].

We wanted to understand how the length of the 5’-UTR affects translational silencing in HAC1 mRNA. The length of a 5’-UTR in HAC1 mRNA is 69 nucleotides (see Fig.23A), as reported by the yeast transcriptome analyses [91]. 17 nucleotides of the 5’-UTR are involved in the base-pairing with the intron [73]. The secondary structure lies 30 nucleotides away from the mRNA cap (see Fig 23A). As explained in the Introduction, the base-pairing structures present within the first 45 nucleotides from the mRNA cap are considered as cap-proximal secondary structures [34]. According to this definition, the secondary structure in the HAC1 mRNA qualifies as a cap-proximal secondary structure.

To understand how the cap-proximal base-pairing in HAC1 mRNA regulates the translation initiation, we asked two questions: 1) what is the median length of the 5’-UTR in yeast transcriptome? 2) How many nucleotides are occupied by the 43S PIC on the mRNA? To answer the first question we analyzed yeast transcriptome and found that, the length of the 5’-UTR ranges from 5 nucleotides to 450 nucleotides with a median of 55 nucleotides. The frequency distribution is shown in Fig 22. To answer the second question we searched the literature and we found that the 43S PIC occupies ~30 nucleotides on the mRNA [37] [36]. In the context of HAC1 mRNA the length of 5’-UTR upstream of the base-pairing interaction is 30 nucleotides (See Fig. 23A). This is less than the median 5’-UTR length and is not likely sufficient for the loading of the 43S PIC on the mRNA. Thus, we hypothesized that the 5’-UTR length of the HAC1 mRNA is insufficient for the loading of the 43S PIC. To test our hypothesis we increased the 5’-UTR length upstream of the base-pairing interaction in the HAC1 -42 AUG A1G A7G allele.
The HAC1 -42 AUG A1G, A7G allele when expressed in the ire1Δ strain shows tunciamycin sensitive phenotype (see Fig. 16, row 5) [77]. We reasoned that as the inserted AUG lies very close to the secondary structure and the ribosome is unable to access and initiate translation from that AUG. However, we also showed that upon overexpression of the RNA helicase (eIF4A), the Hac1 protein is generated from the inserted AUG (see Fig. 19, right panel, row 2) [77]. The over expression of eIF4A likely helped in unwinding the secondary structure and hence the AUG codon was accessible to initiate the translation. The 5’-UTR length upstream of the inserted AUG in the HAC1 -42 AUG A1G, A7G allele is only 27 nucleotides (see Fig. 23 A). We hypothesized that, if we increase the 5’-UTR length upstream of the inserted AUG, it might provide sufficient extra room for the 43S PIC loading and hence the Hac1 protein would be generated from the inserted AUG. To test our hypothesis, we inserted unstructured nucleotides (5’- ACGACAAACAACCACGACAAACCACC -3’) at position -41 in the 5’-UTR of HAC1 mRNA.

Figure 22. Median length of yeast 5’-UTR is 55 nucleotides: The frequency distribution of the length of the yeast 5’-UTRs. The X-axis shows the length of the 5’-UTRs and Y-axis shows the frequency of the occurrence. The box plot on the right show that the median length of the yeast 5’-UTR is 55 nucleotides.
This 24 nucleotide sequence is obtained from the repeat of the 12 nucleotides (5’ -15-ACGACAAACAACC -7 3’) present in the 5’-UTR of HAC1 mRNA. Insertion of the 24 nucleotides increased the 5’-UTR length to 50 nucleotides which should provide sufficient space for the loading of the 43S PIC on the mRNA.

As shown in Fig. 23B (right panel) the WT HAC1 and HAC1 -42 AUG A1G, A7G alleles when expressed in hac1Δ strain showed TmR phenotype consistent with our previous results (Fig. 13) [77]. The Hac1 protein was detected on the Western blot analyses (Fig. 23 B, right panel, Western analyses, lane 2 and 3). The HAC1 24–nt RNA -42 AUG A1G, A7G allele when expressed in the hac1Δ strain showed TmR phenotype (Fig. 23B, right panel, yeast growth test, lane 4) and Hac1 protein was detected on the Western blot analyses (Fig. 23 B, right panel, Western analyses, lane 4). Both of these results suggest that the insertion of 24 nucleotides in the 5’-UTR did not affect the stability of the HAC1 mRNA, and Hac1 protein was generated from the inserted AUG codon.

As expected, the WT HAC1 and HAC1 -42 AUG A1G, A7G alleles showed TmS phenotype in the hac1Δ ire1Δ strain (Fig. 23B, left panel, yeast growth test, lanes 2 and 3). However, we observed that, the HAC1 24–nt RNA -42 AUG A1G, A7G allele showed TmR phenotype when expressed hac1Δ ire1Δ strain (Fig. 23B, left panel, yeast growth test, lane 4). We observed no Hac1 protein from WT HAC1 and HAC1 -42 AUG A1G, A7G alleles on the Western blot (Fig. 23B, left panel, Western blot analyses, lane 2 and 3). However, Hac1 protein was produced form the HAC1 24–nt RNA -42 AUG A1G, A7G allele (Fig. 23B, left panel, Western blot analyses, lane 4). These results are consistent with our hypothesis that the 5’-UTR length of 50 nucleotides
upstream of the base-pairing region is relieved the translational block possibly by providing sufficient room for the loading of the 43S PIC.

We further tested our hypothesis in the context of the WT HAC1 mRNA. We generated multiple HAC1 alleles by inserting 12 nucleotides (5’- ACGACAACAACC- 3’), 24 nucleotides (5’- ACGACAACAACCACGACAACAACC -3’) and 36 nucleotides (5’-ACGACAACAACCACGACAACAACCACGACAACAACC -3’) at the position of -42 in the 5’-UTR of the HAC1 mRNA (see Fig. 24A). The 24 nucleotides sequence is identical to the spacer sequence upstream of the base-pairing region is relieved the translational block possibly by providing sufficient room for the loading of the 43S PIC.

Figure 23. Increasing 5’-UTR length upstream of HAC1-42AUG relieves the initiation block: (A) Schematic representation of the nucleotide sequence of the 5’-UTR of HAC1 mRNA. In the WT HAC1 allele the mRNA cap is labeled in blue, the 26 nucleotide sequence upstream of the base-pairing region is shown in black color, the -42UAA is indicated followed by the nucleotide sequence of the base-pairing region from the 5’-UTR shown in blue color and nucleotide sequence of the base-pairing region of intron is shown in orange color. The authentic start codon is labeled as 1 and shown in green color. The color scheme is identical for the HAC1 AUG -42 A1G and HAC1 24nt- RN, AUG -42 A1G allele. The inserted AUG at the position -42 is shown in green and the authentic AUG is mutated to GUG shown in red in the sequence of HAC1 AUG -42 A1G allele. The spacer sequence (24 nucleotides) is highlighted in yellow, the inserted AUG at the position -42 is shown in green and the authentic AUG is mutated to GUG shown in red in the sequence of HAC1 24nt- RN, AUG -42 A1G allele. (B) Yeast growth assay and Western blot analyses. Yeast growth assay (top panel) The transformants of hac1A ire1A yeast strains carrying an empty vector or expressing the indicated plasmids were grown in SD medium to saturation, and 5 µl of serial dilutions (of OD600 = 1.0, 0.1, 0.01, and 0.001) were spotted on SD medium or SD medium containing 0.4 µg/ml tunicamycin and incubated 3 d at 30 °C. Western blot analyses (bottom panel) hac1A ire1A and hac1A strains expressing indicated HAC1 alleles were grown in SD medium, treated with 5 mM DTT to induce ER stress, and then WCEs were prepared and subjected to SDS-PAGE followed by Western analysis using polyclonal anti-Hac1 antibodies.
inserted in 24–nt RNA -42 AUG A1G, A7G allele in the previous experiment which is two consecutive repeats of the 12 nucleotide sequence (5’ -15-ACGACAACAACC -7 3’) inherent to the 5’-UTR of HAC1 mRNA. The 12 nucleotide spacer sequence is obtained by single repeat of the nucleotide sequence mentioned above which is inherent to the 5’-UTR of HAC1 mRNA. And the 36 nucleotide spacer was obtained by three consecutive repeat of the 12 nucleotide spacer (5’ -15-ACGACAACAACC -7 3’) (see Fig. 2A).

Insertion of the 12, 24 and 36 nucleotides spacer increased the 5’-UTR length to 38, 54 and 66 nucleotides upstream of the base-pairing region. Based on the previous result we proposed that increasing the 5’-UTR to at least 50 nucleotides should provide sufficient room for the loading of the 43S PIC on the mRNA. Once the 43S PIC is loaded there are two alternate possible outcomes: 1) the 43S PIC would start scanning the mRNA in search of start codon AUG or unwind base-pairing region, reach the AUG codon and begin translation 2) 43S PIC would be defective in scanning due to the base-pairing region and fail to reach the AUG codon.

To dissect above possibilities, we introduced plasmids expressing HAC1- 12nt, HAC1-24nt and HAC1-36nt alleles in an ire1Δ yeast strain. Transformants were then tested for growth on the tunicamycin containing medium. Our results showed gradual increase in the TmR phenotype in HAC1- 12nt, HAC1-24nt and HAC1-36nt alleles respectively (Fig. 2B, lane 3, 4 ad 5). As expected the WT HAC1 showed TmS phenotype in the absence of IRE1 gene (Fig. 2B, lane 2) The transformants were then subjected to Western blot analyses. We observed HAC1-24nt and HAC1-36nt alleles showing Hac1 protein on Western blot analyses (Fig 24C, lane 4 and 5) however no Hac1 protein was obtained from the WT HAC1 and HAC1- 12nt alleles (Fig. 24C, lane 2 and 3).
These results showed that; 1) 38 nucleotide long 5’-UTR of HAC1-12nt allele was not sufficient for the loading of 43S PIC on the mRNA. 2) 54 nucleotide long 5’-UTR provided sufficient room for the loading of 43S PIC on the HAC1-24nt allele. Hence, we interpret that, once loaded the 43S PIC shows no scanning defect in HAC1-24nt allele (as Hac1 protein is generated from the authentic start codon). Taken together our results provide support for the proposed model where the base-pairing interaction of the 5’-UTR and intron prevents translation initiation by precluding loading of the 43S PIC or eIF4A on the HAC1 mRNA (see Fig. 25).

Figure 24. HAC1 mRNA is translationally silent due to insufficient loading of 43S Pre-initiation complex: (A) Schematic represetation of the nucleotide sequence of the 5’-UTR of HAC1 mRNA. The color scheme is identical to the Fig 20A. The spacer sequence of 12, 24 and 36 nucleotides is highlighted in yellow. (B) Yeast growth assay. The transformants of hac1Δ ire1Δ yeast strains carrying an empty vector or expressing the indicated plasmids were grown in SD medium to saturation, and 5 µl of serial dilutions (of OD600 = 1.0, 0.1, 0.01, and 0.001) were spotted on SD medium or SD medium containing 0.4 µg/ml tunicamycin and incubated 3 d at 30 °C. (C) Western blot analyses. hac1Δ ire1Δ strain expressing indicated HAC1 alleles were treated with 5 mM DTT to induce ER stress, and then WCEs were prepared and subjected to SDS-PAGE followed by Western analysis using polyclonal anti-Hac1 antibodies.
3.5 Conclusion and future perspectives

The above results suggest that the \textit{HAC1} mRNA is translationally inert due to insufficient loading of the 43S PIC or eIF4A on the mRNA. More experiments such as \textit{in vitro} transcription and translation of \textit{HAC1} mRNA are needed to specifically dissect the 43S PIC or eIF4A loading problem.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure25.png}
\caption{Proposed model showing that the base-pairing interaction (RD) inhibits either loading of 43S PIC (initiation complex) or eIF4A (helicase) on the \textit{HAC1} mRNA.}
\end{figure}
4. Adaptation to endoplasmic stress through distinct isoform-specific expression of \textit{HAC1} mRNA

4.1 Introduction

The transcription factor Hac1 in yeast \textit{S. cerevisiae} activates a plethora of genes under conditions of cellular stress. Recent genomic and transcriptomic studies suggest that Hac1 protein is produced from two overlapping mRNA isoforms. One isoform (dubbed here “\textit{HAC1a}”) is the canonical mRNA, containing a short 5’-untranslated region (5’-UTR), two exons (exon1 and exon2) interrupted by an intron and a long 3’-UTR. The second isoform (dubbed here “\textit{HAC1b}”) is a newly discovered mRNA, containing a 5’-UTR, exon1 and a 3’-UTR. As discussed in previous chapters, intron of \textit{HAC1a} binds to its 5’-UTR and keeps mRNA translationally silent. Like \textit{HAC1a}, \textit{HAC1b}, we found, remains translationally silent by an unknown mechanism. We also found that \textit{HAC1b} can produce an active transcription factor only under conditions of cellular stress. Moreover, we found that the \textit{HAC1b} mRNA level is substantially low compared to \textit{HAC1a} and the Hac1b protein is stabilized in the absence of Duh1, a member of proteasome complex. These results suggest that translational silencing of \textit{HAC1b} mRNA is because of rapid degradation of both mRNA and its translational product. Taken together, we characterized the role of the previously undefined \textit{HAC1b} transcript in adaptation to cellular stress.

4.2 Two isoforms of \textit{HAC1} mRNA are transcribed from the \textit{HAC1} gene locus

We observed that YFL032W gene overlaps with the \textit{HAC1} (YFL031W) gene on chromosome VI. Analyses of previously published yeast transcriptome data showed that two distinct but overlapping transcripts are produced from \textit{HAC1} gene and the overlapping upstream gene [92] [93]. One of the transcripts is the well-studied \textit{HAC1} mRNA having 5’-UTR, exon 1,
cytoplasmic intron, exon 2, and 3'-UTR. This transcript is henceforth referred as “HAC1a” (see Fig. 26 A). As reported by previously published transcriptome data, the second transcript has 5'-UTR, exon 1, a 3'-UTR where the nucleotides encoding the exon 1 and the 3'-UTR are shared with HAC1a transcript (see Fig. 26). We reviewed literature to look for other evidence supporting this transcriptome analyses.

We identified a microarray analyses studying differential expression of genes under non-stress and ER stress conditions [82]. Microarray data reported the fold change in gene expression under stress conditions as compared to non-stress conditions. We further analyzed the microarray data to identify the genes expressed under ER stress conditions. The data analysis is represented as a volcano plot having transcript length (in Kilo base pairs; kb) on X-axis and fold change in gene expression on Y-axis. The data showed that two distinct transcripts having different lengths were produced from the HAC1 (YFL031W) and YFL032W gene locus. This result showed that a second transcript, in addition to the “HAC1a” transcript was produced. We referred to the second transcript as “HAC1b”.

To further confirm the presence of HAC1b transcript, we performed reverse transcriptase (RT) PCR using wild type yeast S. cerevisiae strain. Total RNA was isolated from the yeast strain and RT-PCR was performed as explained in the materials and methods. The primers binding site on the HAC1 gene locus are shown in Fig. 26. The RT-PCR primers are designed so that primers F1 and R1 bind to only HAC1b transcript; primers F2 and R2 bind to both the un spliced and spliced HAC1a transcript; and primers F3 and R2 bind to only the spliced HAC1a mRNA transcript (Fig. 1C). Amplicons corresponding to the
un spliced $HAC1a$ transcript ($HAC1a^u$) of 1Kb and a spliced $HAC1a$ transcript ($HAC1a^s$) of 0.75 kb were observed on agarose gel (Fig.26D, lane 2). An amplicon corresponding to $HAC1b$ transcript (~ 0.2 Kbp) was observed upon PCR amplification with primers F1 and R1 (Fig. 26D, lane 3). We also observed PCR amplification of ~0.25 Kbp corresponding to the spliced $HAC1a$. 

Figure 26. Evidence of two isoforms of $HAC1$ mRNA: (A) Schematic representation of $HAC1$ gene locus and the two mRNA isoforms produced from the gene locus. The upstream ORF; YFL032W is represented with a white box overlapping with the exon 1 of $HAC1$ mRNA shown in blue box, the intron is shown with black line and a shape representing Exon2 labeled as E2. Schematics of $HAC1a$ mRNA is shown on right; 5’-end $m^7G$ cap followed by 5’-UTR shown in black line, exon 1 shown in blue box, intron is shown in black dotted line, Exon2 is shown small blue box and 3’-UTR in black line with poly A tail. Schematics of $HAC1b$ mRNA is shown on left; 5’-end $m^7G$ cap followed by 5’-UTR shown in black line, uORF shown in white box overlapping with the exon 1 shown in blue box followed by 3’-UTR in black line with a poly A tail. (B) The volcano plot shows metadata analysis of the microarray data from Travers et al. The volcano plot has transcript length on X-co-ordinate and log2 fold change in gene expression on Y-co-ordinate. The two HAC1 mRNA isoforms; $HAC1a$ and $HAC1b$ are highlighted in red and blue respectively. (C) Schematic representation of $HAC1$ gene locus is as described in (A). The primer binding sites for F1, R1, F2, R2, and F3, R3 are shown. (D) The results Reverse Transcriptase (RT) PCR experiment shown in the agarose gel. The lane 1 has DNA marker with the band corresponding to 0.25kb, 0.5kb and 1.0kb are labeled on left. The primer pairs are shown on top of lane 2,3 and 4. Amplification of $HAC1$ mRNA isoforms observed on gel are labeled on right.
transcript (Fig. 26D, lane 4). These results demonstrate the presence of two isoforms, \(HAC1a\) and \(HAC1b\) in the wild type cells.

4.3 Both the \(HAC1\) mRNA isoforms: \(HAC1a\) and \(HAC1b\) are translationally repressed

The \(HAC1b\) transcript consists of a 5’-UTR, a dubious ORF of 321 nucleotides, and 797 nucleotides long 3’-UTR. It is reported that the dubious ORF is unlikely to encode for any functional protein [93]. However, the 797 nucleotide long 3’-UTR encompasses the exon 1 of \(HAC1a\) transcript, which if translated would produce 230 amino acid long Hac1 protein [94]. The 230 amino acid long protein is called as Hac1\(u\) (“\(u\)” stands for un-stress conditions) which is nonetheless an active transcription factor [94]. If \(HAC1b\) transcript is able to produce Hac1\(u\) protein, it is possible that translation of \(HAC1b\) transcript is also regulated post transcriptionally like \(HAC1a\). To understand the post transcriptional regulation of \(HAC1b\) transcript, we performed the following experiments.

We generated three Hac1 constructs in single copy number plasmids, which are (1) Hac1a: expressing \(HAC1a\) mRNA, (2) Hac1b: expressing \(HAC1b\) transcript and (3) Hac1a-b: expressing both \(HAC1a\) and \(HAC1b\) transcripts (see Fig. 26A). The Hac1a construct encodes for the \(HAC1a\) mRNA consisting of 5’-UTR (69 nucleotides nt), exon 1 (661 nt), intron (252 nt) exon 2 (57 nt). The Hac1b encodes for the \(HAC1b\) transcript bearing 5’-UTR (341 nt), the dubious ORF (321 nt), and 3’-UTR (797 nt) (see Fig. 26A). The Hac1a-b construct mimics the \(HAC1\) gene locus encoding both the \(HAC1a\) and \(HAC1b\) transcripts. We further transformed these plasmids in \(hac1\Delta\) and \(ire1\Delta hac1\Delta\) yeast strains. The transformants were further tested for their growth on tunicamycin containing media.
The *hac1Δ* yeast strain expressing Hac1a construct showed tunicamycin resistant (Tm<sup>R</sup>) phenotype (Fig. 26B, left panel, lane 3) and the *ire1Δ hac1Δ* yeast strain expressing the same construct showed tunicamycin sensitive (Tm<sup>S</sup>) phenotype (Fig. 26B, right panel, lane 3).

**Figure 26. Both HAC1a and HAC1b isoforms are translationally repressed:** (A) Schematic representation of Hac1 constructs: Hac1a, Hac1b and Hac1a-b. The 5'-UTR is represented in black line, uORF represented with a gray box, exon 1 with a blue box intron with orange dotted line and 3'-UTR with black line. (B) Yeast growth test on Sc-Uracil and Sc-Uracil medium containing tunicamycin. *hac1Δ* and *hac1Δ ire1Δ* yeast is transformed with constructs with vector, Hac1a, Hac1b and Hac1a-b plasmids. The transformed yeast cells having of *A<sub>600</sub> = 0.4* were serially diluted and spotted on Sc-Uracil and Sc-Uracil medium containing tunicamycin. (C) The results Reverse Transcriptase (RT) PCR experiment shown in the agarose gel. The lane 1 has DNA marker with the band corresponding to 0.25kb, 0.5kb and 1.0kb are labeled on left. The presence of Reverse transcriptase enzyme is labeled on top of the gel. Amplification for ACT1 and HAC1b mRNA is labeled on right. (D) Immunoblot analysis of Hac1 protein: *hac1Δ* yeast strain containing Hac1a and Hac1b were grown in SD medium and treated with 5mM DTT for 2 hours to induce ER stress. The WCEs are prepared and Western blot analysis was performed as explained in materials and methods. The presence and absence of DTT treatment is indicated on top of the gel. (E) Yeast growth test on Sc-Uracil and Sc-Uracil medium containing tunicamycin. *hac1Δ ire1Δ* yeast is transformed with vector, low copy Hac1b and high copy Hac1b construct. The transformed yeast cells having of *A<sub>600</sub> = 0.4* were serially diluted and spotted on Sc-Uracil and Sc-Uracil medium containing tunicamycin.
To confirm the Hac1 protein expression from the Hac1a construct, the hac1Δ transformants expressing Hac1a construct were grown in the absence and presence of DTT (ER stress inducer) and the WCE was subjected to the immunoblot analysis using an antibody against Hac1 protein (see Materials and methods). As expected, the Hac1 protein was detected only in the DTT treated cell extract (Fig. 26D, lane 1) and was absent in the untreated cell extract (row 2). These results are consistent with the previous reports showing that expression of both Hac1 and Ire1 proteins is required for yeast to survive under the ER stress conditions [94] [71].

Unlike the Hac1a construct, the hac1Δ yeast strain expressing Hac1b construct showed TmS phenotype (Fig 26B, left panel, lane 2). This phenotype can be attributed to the lack of production of either HAC1b mRNA or Hac1u protein. To determine if HAC1b mRNA is synthesized from the Hac1b construct, we performed RT-PCR experiment. The hac1Δ yeast cells expressing Hac1b construct was treated with 5mM DTT for two hours and total RNA was isolated as described in the materials and methods. cDNAs were prepared with and without reverse transcriptase enzyme. The HAC1b transcript was PCR amplified using the transcript specific primers F1 and R1 as described previously (see Fig. 25). ACT1 gene specific primers were used to amplify the ACT1 transcript.

No ACT1 or HAC1b mRNA were detected in the RT-PCR reaction lacking reverse transcriptase enzyme (Fig. 26C, lane 1) confirming the absence of DNA contamination. Very low amount of HAC1b mRNA was detected in the RT-PCR reaction with reverse transcriptase enzyme and robust amount of ACT1 mRNA (Fig. 26C, lane 2). These results showed that very low amount of HAC1b mRNA was detected from Hac1b construct and the TmS phenotype is not due to the absence of HAC1b mRNA. Next, we performed Western blot analysis to test if the HAC1b mRNA was translated to produce Hac1u protein. The hac1Δ transformants expressing the Hac1b construct
were grown in absence and presence of DTT (ER stress inducer) and the WCE was subjected to the immunoblot analysis using an antibody against Hac1 protein (see Materials and methods). No Hac1 protein was detected from in both DTT-treated and untreated cells (Fig. 26D, lane 3 and 4). These results were consistent with the growth test (Fig 26B, left panel, lane 2). Collectively, these results suggested that in \textit{hac1}\textsuperscript{Δ} yeast strain, very low amount of \textit{HAC1b} mRNA is detected from the Hac1b construct which (I) might not produce Hac1\textsuperscript{u} protein (II) might produce Hac1\textsuperscript{u} protein which is not sufficient to activate UPR and produce Tm\textsuperscript{R} phenotype (III) might produce Hac1\textsuperscript{u} protein which is subjected to degradation to prevent activation of UPR genes.

Both the \textit{HAC1a} and \textit{HAC1b} transcripts were generated from the Hac1a-b construct. Like Hac1a construct, Hac1a-b showed Tm\textsuperscript{R} phenotype in \textit{hac1}\textsuperscript{Δ} yeast strain and Tm\textsuperscript{S} phenotype in the \textit{ire1}\textsuperscript{Δ} \textit{hac1}\textsuperscript{Δ} yeast strain (see Fig 26B, lane 4). This phenotype was attributed to the expression of \textit{HAC1a} transcript from the Hac1a-b construct, consistent with the above results. These results suggested that both \textit{HAC1a} and \textit{HAC1b} are translationally silent. The translation of \textit{HAC1a} is regulated in Ire1 dependent manner however, presence of Ire1 protein has no effect on translational regulation of \textit{HAC1b} mRNA.

It is reported that expression of \textit{HAC1a} transcript from a high copy number plasmid resulted in a Tm\textsuperscript{R} phenotype in an \textit{ire1}\textsuperscript{Δ} strain [76]. To determine the tunicamycin phenotype upon expression the \textit{HAC1b} from a high copy number plasmid in an \textit{ire1}\textsuperscript{Δ} \textit{hac1}\textsuperscript{Δ} yeast strain we performed the following experiment. We generated a high copy number construct expressing \textit{HAC1b}. This construct was transformed into the in \textit{ire1}\textsuperscript{Δ} \textit{hac1}\textsuperscript{Δ} yeast strain and the transformants were subjected to the growth test on the tunicamycin containing media. We observed that expression of \textit{HAC1b} from high copy number plasmid resulted in Tm\textsuperscript{R} phenotype in \textit{ire1}\textsuperscript{Δ} \textit{hac1}\textsuperscript{Δ} yeast strain. (Fig 26E, lane 3). The Tm\textsuperscript{R} phenotype confirms the production of Hac1\textsuperscript{u} protein from
the $HAC1b$ mRNA. Thus, $HAC1b$ mRNA is translated to produce the Hac1\textsuperscript{u} protein but when $HAC1b$ is expressed from a single copy number plasmid, Hac1\textsuperscript{u} protein produced from the low amount of the $HAC1b$ mRNA is not sufficient to show Tm\textsuperscript{R} phenotype. When expressed on a high copy number plasmid, increased amount of $HAC1b$ transcript accumulates enough Hac1\textsuperscript{u} protein which results in the Tm\textsuperscript{R} phenotype. Taken together our results suggest that $HAC1b$ mRNA is translationally silent and might be regulated by maintaining the transcript abundance.

4.4 $HAC1b$ can activate unfolded protein response (UPR) in the absence of Duh1 protein mediated degradation

Hac1\textsuperscript{u} protein is produced by leaky translation of $HAC1a$ mRNA under rare conditions [73] [77]. The Hac1\textsuperscript{u} protein is an active transcription factor and thus might activate the target genes in the absence of ER stress conditions. To prevent this aberrant activation of genes by Hac1\textsuperscript{u} under non-stress conditions, a fail-safe mechanism depletes the Hac1\textsuperscript{u} protein by targeting it for degradation [95]. According to a recent report, Hac1\textsuperscript{u} is targeted for ubiquitination by Duh1 (Duh1: degrader of un-spliced Hac1) protein and is further degraded by proteasome mediated degradation [95]. Duh1 mediated Hac1\textsuperscript{u} degradation requires a degron sequence (AVITMTRKLQ) encoded by the intronic region of the $HAC1a$ mRNA [95]. Sequence analysis of the $HAC1b$ mRNA showed that Hac1\textsuperscript{u} produced from $HAC1b$ also contains this degron sequence. To determine if Hac1\textsuperscript{u} protein produced by $HAC1b$ is a target of Duh1 we performed the following experiments.

We generated a $hac1\Delta ire1\Delta duh1\Delta$ yeast strain as described in the materials and methods. We transformed the $hac1\Delta ire1\Delta duh1\Delta$ yeast with single copy plasmid expressing $HAC1b$. The transformants were tested for the growth on tunicamycin medium. We observed that, $HAC1b$ showed Tm\textsuperscript{R} phenotype in the $hac1\Delta ire1\Delta duh1\Delta$ yeast strain (Fig 28A, lane 2) and as expected the $hac1\Delta ire1\Delta duh1\Delta$ yeast strain expressing empty vector showed Tm\textsuperscript{S} phenotype. These results
suggested that in the absence of Duh1 protein, enough Hac1\textsuperscript{u} protein is present to produce Tm\textsuperscript{R} phenotype. Hence, Duh1 protein might have a role in degradation of Hac1\textsuperscript{u} protein produced from HAC1\textit{b} transcript.

To confirm that the above Tm\textsuperscript{R} phenotype is indeed due to accumulation of the Hac1\textsuperscript{u} protein produced from HAC1\textit{b} mRNA, we performed the following experiment. We generated HAC1\textit{b} A1G A7G construct where the adenine of the authentic start codon of exon1 is mutated to guanine and adenine of the AUG codon encoding the third amino acid is also mutated to guanine. The plasmid was transformed in hac1\textsuperscript{Δ} ire1\textsuperscript{Δ} duh1\textsuperscript{Δ} yeast strain and the transformants were tested for growth on tunicamycin medium. The HAC1\textit{b} A1G A7G construct showed Tm\textsuperscript{S} phenotype in hac1\textsuperscript{Δ} ire1\textsuperscript{Δ} duh1\textsuperscript{Δ} yeast strain (Fig 28A, lane 3). These results confirmed that the Hac1\textsuperscript{u} protein produced from HAC1\textit{b} mRNA is encoded by the exon1 and might be targeted for degradation by Duh1 protein.

The Hac1\textsuperscript{u} protein accumulated due to absence of Duh1 protein in hac1\textsuperscript{Δ} ire1\textsuperscript{Δ} duh1\textsuperscript{Δ} yeast strain could be detected on Western blot analyses. The hac1\textsuperscript{Δ} ire1\textsuperscript{Δ} duh1\textsuperscript{Δ} yeast strains expressing a vector, HAC1\textit{b}, and HAC1\textit{b} A1G A7G allele were grown in the presence and absence of DTT. The WCEs were subjected to the immunoblot analyses using an antibody against Hac1 protein (see Materials and methods). Very low levels of Hac1\textsuperscript{u} protein was detected in the DTT-treated hac1\textsuperscript{Δ} ire1\textsuperscript{Δ} duh1\textsuperscript{Δ} yeast cells expressing the HAC1\textit{b} allele (Fig 28B, right panel, lane 2) and no Hac1\textsuperscript{u} protein was detected from the untreated cells (Fig 28B, left panel, lane 2). No Hac1\textsuperscript{u} protein was detected in hac1\textsuperscript{Δ} ire1\textsuperscript{Δ} duh1\textsuperscript{Δ} yeast cells expressing the HAC1\textit{b} A1G A7G allele in both untreated and treated samples (Fig 28B, right panel and right panel, lane 3). Our western blot analyses suggested that (I) In hac1\textsuperscript{Δ} ire1\textsuperscript{Δ} duh1\textsuperscript{Δ} yeast cells Hac1\textsuperscript{u} protein is detected only under
ER stress conditions mimicked by DTT treatment. (II) The low levels of Hac1\textsuperscript{u} protein detected on Western blot in hac1Δ ire1Δ duh1Δ yeast cells is enough to activate UPR and exhibit Tm\textsuperscript{R} phenotype. Even in the absence of Duh1 mediated protein degradation, very low amount of Hac1\textsuperscript{u}...
was detected on the Western blot in \textit{hac1}\textDelta \textit{ire1}\textDelta \textit{duh1}\textDelta yeast cells suggesting the involvement of an additional post-transcriptional regulatory mechanism that might operate by destabilizing the \textit{HAC1b} transcript.

The Hac1\textsuperscript{u} protein produced by \textit{HAC1b} has an active DNA binding domain at the N-terminus. However, it lacks the 18 amino acid tail encoded by exon 2 of the \textit{HAC1a} mRNA, which enables the robust transcriptional activation of UPR elements (UPRE) \cite{76}. Previous reports showed that Hac1\textsuperscript{u} protein expressed on the plasmid is able to activate the transcription of UPRE-driven \textit{LacZ} reporter gene \cite{76}. To determine if the endogenously produced Hac1\textsuperscript{u} protein encoded on \textit{HAC1b} mRNA can activate UPRE-driven \textit{LacZ} genes we used \textit{ire1}\textDelta \textit{duh1}\textDelta yeast strain.

We first tested the growth of the WT, \textit{ire1}\textDelta, \textit{duh1}\textDelta, and \textit{ire1}\textDelta \textit{duh1}\textDelta yeast strains on media containing tunicamycin. Consistent with the previous results the WT yeast strain showed Tm\textsuperscript{R} phenotype whereas the \textit{ire1}\textDelta yeast showed Tm\textsuperscript{S} phenotype (Fig. 28C, lane 1 and 2) \cite{94}. The \textit{duh1}\textDelta yeast strain exhibited Tm\textsuperscript{R} phenotype just like WT yeast strain and the \textit{ire1}\textDelta \textit{duh1}\textDelta yeast strain showed weak Tm\textsuperscript{R} phenotype as expected (Fig. 28C, lane 4) \cite{95}. Previous paper showed that the Tm\textsuperscript{R} of the \textit{ire1}\textDelta \textit{duh1}\textDelta yeast strain which was due to production of Hac1\textsuperscript{u} protein from \textit{HAC1a} transcript under rare conditions. Here we suggest that the \textit{ire1}\textDelta \textit{duh1}\textDelta yeast strain would express both \textit{HAC1a} and \textit{HAC1b} transcripts where, \textit{HAC1a} transcript will not produce in Hac1 protein due to absence of \textit{IRE1} gene and absence of \textit{DUH1} gene would accumulate Hac1\textsuperscript{u} protein produced from \textit{HAC1b} transcript. Thus, we propose that Tm\textsuperscript{R} phenotype of \textit{ire1}\textDelta \textit{duh1}\textDelta yeast is due to endogenous Hac1\textsuperscript{u} protein produced from \textit{HAC1b} transcript which can activate the UPR genes.
Next to quantify the activation of UPRE by endogenous Hac1\textsuperscript{u} protein we performed the UPRE-LacZ assay. For the assay, the LacZ gene is expressed under the promoter with UPR element. The \textit{ire1}\textDelta and \textit{ire1}\textDelta \textit{duh1}\textDelta yeast strains expressing the UPRE-LacZ construct were grown in the presence and absence of DTT and the total proteins were subjected to LacZ assay as described before and in the materials and methods [96]. We observed 0.75 times more activation of UPRE- driven LacZ gene in the \textit{ire1}\textDelta \textit{duh1}\textDelta yeast strain as compared to the \textit{ire1}\textDelta strain (Fig 28D). These results suggested that endogenously produced Hac1\textsuperscript{u} protein from \textit{HAC1b} transcript can activate the UPR genes under ER stress conditions.

4.5 \textit{HAC1b} bearing long 3’-UTR of \textit{HAC1a} activates UPR in the presence of Duh1

Our previous result showed that very low amount of \textit{HAC1b} transcript is detected in \textit{hac1}\textDelta yeast strain expressing Hac1b construct. Our next result showed even in the absence of Duh1 mediated Hac1\textsuperscript{u} degradation, Western blot analyses failed to detect robust Hac1\textsuperscript{u} protein in \textit{hac1}\textDelta \textit{ire1}\textDelta \textit{duh1}\textDelta yeast cells expressing the \textit{HAC1b} allele. These results suggested that \textit{HAC1b} transcript might be unstable. We wanted to investigate the mechanism resulting in instability of the \textit{HAC1b} transcript. The 3’-UTR of \textit{HAC1b} mRNA has the exon1 of \textit{HAC1a} followed by a short 130 nucleotide intronic sequence. \textit{HAC1a} transcript has a long 3’-UTR of 521 nucleotides which is lacking in \textit{HAC1b} transcript. To determine the role of short intronic region in the instability of \textit{HAC1b} transcript we performed the following experiments.

We generated a construct Hac1b-TER\textsubscript{Hac1a} in which we replaced the 130 nucleotide intronic tail of \textit{HAC1b} with the 521 nucleotides long 3’-UTR of \textit{HAC1a} (Fig 29A). We transformed \textit{ire1}\textDelta \textit{hac1}\textDelta yeast strain with this construct and the transformants were tested for the growth on tunicamycin containing media. We observed that the \textit{ire1}\textDelta \textit{hac1}\textDelta yeast strain expressing Hac1b-
TER_{Hac1a} showed Tm^R phenotype (Fig 29B, lane 3) in contrast to the Tm^S phenotype exhibited by \textit{ire1\Delta hac1\Delta} yeast expressing the Hac1b construct (Fig 29B, lane 2). We performed Western blot analyses to detect the Hac1\textsuperscript{u} protein. Consistent with the growth test, robust Hac1 protein was detected in the \textit{ire1\Delta hac1\Delta} yeast cells expressing Hac1b-TER_{Hac1a} Western blot analyses (Fig 29C, lane 3) and no Hac1 protein was detected in the \textit{ire1\Delta hac1\Delta} yeast cells expressing Hac1b construct (Fig 29C, lane 2). These results suggested that long 3'-UTR of \textit{HAC1a} transcript may serve two purposes. (I) It might improve the stability of \textit{HAC1b} transcript; (II) It does not have the degron sequence (AVITMTRKQLQ) encoded by the intron region which targets the Hac1\textsuperscript{u}
protein for degradation by Duh1. Thus, long 3’-UTR of \( HAC1a \) transcript also stabilizes with Hac1\(^{u}\) protein as observed on Western blot analysis. These results indicate that the 3’-UTR intronic sequence might be responsible for instability of the \( HAC1b \) transcript.

To determine the role of 5’-UTR region in stability of the \( HAC1b \) transcript, we performed following experiment. We generated two constructs: (I) \( P_{HAC1a} \text{HAC1bTER}_{HAC1a} \); where both the promoter and 3’-UTR sequence of \( HAC1b \) is replaced by that of \( HAC1a \), (II) \( P_{HAC1a} \text{HAC1b} \); where only the 5’-UTR of \( HAC1b \) is replaced by the short promoter sequence of \( HAC1a \) gene. We transformed both these plasmids in \( ire1\Delta \text{hac1}\Delta \) yeast cells. The transformants were tested for
growth on tunicamycin containing media. We observed that the \( \text{ire1}^{\Delta} \text{hac1}^{\Delta} \) yeast expressing \( P_{\text{HAC1a}} \text{HAC1bTER}_{\text{HAC1a}} \) showed \( \text{Tm}^R \) phenotype (Fig 29B, lane 5) whereas \( \text{ire1}^{\Delta} \text{hac1}^{\Delta} \) yeast expressing \( P_{\text{HAC1a}} \text{HAC1b} \) showed \( \text{Tm}^S \) phenotype (Fig 29B, lane 4) much like \( \text{HAC1b} \) construct. (Fig 29B, lane 2). Consistent with the growth test Hac1 protein was detected on the Western blot for \( P_{\text{HAC1a}} \text{HAC1bTER}_{\text{HAC1a}} \) construct and was not detected for \( P_{\text{HAC1a}} \text{HAC1b} \) construct (Fig 29C, lane 4 and 5 respectively). Taken together, these results suggested that the long 3’-UTR of \( \text{HAC1a} \) rescues the instability of transcript and protein independent of the 5’-UTR sequence and dubious ORF sequence of \( \text{HAC1b} \) transcript. Promoter of \( \text{HAC1a} \) fails to rescue the instability of \( \text{HAC1b} \) transcript suggesting that 130 nucleotide intronic sequence imparts instability in the transcript as well as Hac1\(^u\) protein.

4.6 \( \text{HAC1b} \) activates UPRE-1 driven \( \text{LacZ} \) gene expression

Hac1 is a bZip transcription factor that binds to the unfolded protein response element (UPRE) in the promoters to activate gene expression under conditions of ER stress [76] [70]. Detailed promoter analysis of the UPR responsive genes identified three prominent UPRE sequences named as UPRE-1, UPRE-2 and UPRE-3 [82]. We wanted to know if \( \text{HAC1b} \) can activate UPR genes driven by activating any specific UPRE sequence? We performed the UPRE-LacZ assay to answer this question.

Three plasmids expressing \( \text{LacZ} \) gene driven by UPRE-1, UPRE-2 and UPRE-3 sequences were transformed in \( \text{hac1}^{\Delta} \) and WT yeast cells. The transformants were tested for growth on the tunicamycin containing media (Fig 30A). As expected all the \( \text{hac1}^{\Delta} \) transformants showed \( \text{Tm}^S \) phenotype and WT transformants showed \( \text{Tm}^R \) phenotype (Fig. 30A left panel). The transformed \( \text{hac1}^{\Delta} \) and WT yeast were grown in presence and absence of DTT. The total proteins were isolated and \( \text{LacZ} \) assay was performed as described in the materials and methods [97]. Our results show
that in the WT yeast stain, the Hac1a protein showed highest LacZ activity in UPRE-1-driven LacZ followed by UPRE-2-driven LacZ and lowest LacZ activity was obtained in UPRE-3-driven LacZ (Fig 30B, WT bars). As compared to the hac1Δ strain where no significant LacZ activity was observed (Fig. 30B, hac1Δ bars). Our results are inconsistent with the previously published results where UPRE-2-driven LacZ shows maximum activity [82]. After sequencing the UPRE-1 plasmid we observe an additional UPRE-1 sequence element which was absent in the previous studies [82]. The discrepancy in the results is attributed to the presence of the additional UPRE-1 sequence.

Next, we co-transformed the ire1Δ hac1Δ duh1Δ strain with vector expressing HAC1b and UPRE-1, UPRE-2 and UPRE-3 plasmid respectively. Similarly, we also co-transformed UPRE-1, UPRE-2 and UPRE-3 plasmids with empty vector as control. The transformed yeast cells were subjected to the growth test on medium containing tunicamycin. As expected the ire1Δ hac1Δ duh1Δ yeast strain expressing HAC1b co-transformed with UPRE-1, UPRE-2 and UPRE-3 plasmids showed TmR phenotype (Fig 30C, lane 4, 5 and 6) and ire1Δ hac1Δ duh1Δ yeast strain expressing empty vector co-transformed with UPRE-1, UPRE-2 and UPRE-3 plasmids showed TmS phenotype (Fig 30C, lane 1, 2 and 3). The transformed yeast strains were grown in the presence and absence of DTT. The total proteins were isolated and LacZ assay was performed as described in the materials and methods [97]. Our LacZ assay results showed that HAC1b can activate UPRE-1-driven LacZ expression (Fig 30D). LacZ activation driven by UPRE-2 and UPRE-3 is comparable to that of the vector control (Fig 30D). Thus, our results suggest that HAC1b can produce an active transcription factor that is able to activate UPRE-1 driven LacZ gene expression.
Taken together our results suggest that two $HAC1$ mRNA isoforms; $HAC1a$ and $HAC1b$ are expressed in yeast cells under endoplasmic reticulum stress conditions. Both these transcripts are translationally silent under normal physiological conditions. $HAC1b$ transcript produces Hac1$u$ protein only in the absence of Duh1 protein which targets Hac1$u$ protein for degradation. The endogenous Hac1$u$ protein activates UPR, preferentially by activating UPRE1 driven gene expression. The instability of $HAC1b$ transcript is attributed to the short intronic sequence. Based on these results we propose a model shown in Fig. 31 Our model suggests that most of the $HAC1b$ transcript is degraded by one or more mRNA decay pathways and the Hac1$U$ protein produced is rapidly degraded by Duh1 mediated proteasome dependent degradation.

4.7 Conclusion and future perspectives
We characterized the role of a previously identified HAC1 mRNA isoform; HAC1b in ER stress conditions. Here we show that the expression of HAC1b transcript is tightly regulated by low transcript levels, rapid degradation of the transcript, and proteasome mediated Hac1\(^u\) protein degradation. Further, our results show that, Hac1\(^u\) protein obtained from the HAC1b transcript is an active transcription factor and able to activate the UPRE-driven gene expression. Collectively, our data shows that HAC1 gene locus produces two transcripts, both encoding active Hac1 transcription factor however, different mechanisms are exerted to regulate the transcript expression.
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MATERIAL AND METHODS

A. Yeast strains

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stock No</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X1</td>
<td>F862- ire1Δ (ire1::KanMX)</td>
<td>Research genetics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X2</td>
<td>F1764- hac1Δ (hac1::KanMX)</td>
<td>Research genetics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X3</td>
<td>F1772- ire1Δ hac1Δ (ire1::kanMX hac1::NATMX)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X1511</td>
<td>ire1Δ duh1Δ (ire1::KanMX duh1::hpt I)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X1289</td>
<td>ire1Δ hac1Δduh1Δ (ire1::KanMX hac1Δ::NatMX duh1::hpt I)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. Plasmids

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glycerol stock no</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D1</td>
<td>lc-pRS313-His3</td>
<td>p700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2</td>
<td>lc-pRS314-Trp1</td>
<td>p701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3</td>
<td>lc-pRS315-Leu2</td>
<td>p702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D4</td>
<td>lc-pRS316-Ura3</td>
<td>p703</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D5</td>
<td>hc-pRS423, 2 u</td>
<td>p1376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D6</td>
<td>hc-pRS424, 2 u</td>
<td>p1377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D7</td>
<td>hc-pRS425, 2 u</td>
<td>p1378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D8</td>
<td>hc-pRS426, 2 u</td>
<td>p1379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1038</td>
<td>TIF-2 (eIF4A) B3349-hc-Ura3</td>
<td>Alan Hinnebusch collection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1039</td>
<td>TIF-11 (eIF1A) B3603-hc-Ura3</td>
<td>Alan Hinnebusch collection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1040</td>
<td>SUI-1 (eIF1) B4387-hc-Ura3</td>
<td>Alan Hinnebusch collection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1045</td>
<td>TIF-2 (eIF4A) B3349-hc-Ura3</td>
<td>Alan Hinnebusch collection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1116</td>
<td>Hac1(A1G, A7G)-D4</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1117</td>
<td>Hac1(-33AUG)-A1G,A7G-D4</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1118</td>
<td>Hac1(-42AUG)-A1G,A7G-D4</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1119</td>
<td>Hac1(-60AUG)-A1G,A7G-D4</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1193</td>
<td>B3898, HC Leu2, elf3a</td>
<td>Alan Hinnebusch collection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1194</td>
<td>B4629, HC Leu2, TC</td>
<td>Alan Hinnebusch collection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1195</td>
<td>B3262, HC Leu2, elf2</td>
<td>Alan Hinnebusch collection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1196</td>
<td>B1873, HC Leu2, GCDG/11 (8,E)</td>
<td>Alan Hinnebusch collection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1197</td>
<td>B3355, HC Leu2, 4E</td>
<td>Alan Hinnebusch collection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1198</td>
<td>B3356, HC Leu2, 4G</td>
<td>Alan Hinnebusch collection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1199</td>
<td>PC 111, HC, α, β, 8 (elf 2)</td>
<td>Alan Hinnebusch collection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1200</td>
<td>B3994, HC, Leu2, elf4A</td>
<td>Alan Hinnebusch collection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1201</td>
<td>PC478, HC, Leu2, TIF5</td>
<td>Alan Hinnebusch collection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1202</td>
<td>B5300, HC, Leu2, TIF5</td>
<td>Alan Hinnebusch collection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1203</td>
<td>B3995, HC, Leu2, elf4A-D170E</td>
<td>Alan Hinnebusch collection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1204</td>
<td>B3998, HC, Leu2, elf4A-R347I</td>
<td>Alan Hinnebusch collection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1205</td>
<td>B4277, LC, Leu2, Ded1</td>
<td>Tom E. Dever collection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1278</td>
<td>HAC-1 C-27G in D4</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1279</td>
<td>HAC-1 G771C in D4</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1280</td>
<td>HAC-1 C-27G, G771C in D4</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1296</td>
<td>RPS3 in D7</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1297</td>
<td>RPS4 in D7</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1298</td>
<td>RPS7A in D7</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1299</td>
<td>DPS14 in D7</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1300</td>
<td>RPS15A in D7</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1301</td>
<td>RPS15 in D7</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1302</td>
<td>RPS18 in D7</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1303</td>
<td>RPS19 in D7</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1304</td>
<td>RPS28 in D7</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1390</td>
<td>Hac1 WT spacer (24 nt) in D4</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1435</td>
<td>Hac1 spacer (36nt) WT in D4 (Ura3)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1436</td>
<td>Hac1 spacer (24nt) biotin WT in D4 (Ura3)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1825</td>
<td>Hac1b clone in D4 (KpnI/HindIII)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1911</td>
<td>Hac1 promoter with AUG mutation (A1G, A7G)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[Kpn1- Hac1 promoter - AUG mutations -Spe1]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1911</td>
<td>Hac1β- long 5’ UTR- (281nt) long 3’ UTR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1951</td>
<td>(52nt)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1997</td>
<td>pPW344 (pJC104) UPRE1-LacZ</td>
<td>Peter walter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1998</td>
<td>pPW666 UPRE2-LacZ</td>
<td>Peter walter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1999</td>
<td>pPW667 UPRE3-LacZ</td>
<td>Peter walter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2022</td>
<td>Hac1a-WT clone in p702 (leu2)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1988</td>
<td>Hac1b- in Leu2 vector (p702)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
C. Media, Buffers and Stocks

C1. Media

Solid media is prepared by adding 2% agar in all the media. All the media is autoclaved at 15psi for 20 minutes.

Luria broth

1 % (W/V) Bactopeptone
0.5 % (W/V) Yeast extract
1 % (W/V) NaCl

Yeast Extract Peptone Dextrose (YEPD)

2 % (W/V) Bactopeptone
1 % (W/V) Yeast extract
2 % (W/V) Dextrose

Yeast minimal media (SD)

0.142 % (W/V) Yeast Nitrogen Base
0.5 % (W/V) Ammonium Sulphate
0.2 % (W/V) Amino acid mixture
2% (W/V) Dextrose

Super Optimal Broth (SOC)

2 % (W/V) Bactopeptone
0.5 % (W/V) Yeast extract
0.05 % (W/V) NaCl
2% (W/V) Dextrose
250 nM KCl = 10 ml
2 M MgCl$_2$ = 5 ml
C2. Buffers

10X Tris-Glycine

Tris-Cl 30.0 g
Glycine 144 g
Water 1000 ml

10X Tris-Glycine SDS

Tris-Cl 30.0 g
Glycine 144 g
Sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS) 10 g
Water 1000 ml

50X Tris-Borate EDTA

Tris base 540 g
Boric acid 275 g
0.5 M EDTA (pH=8.0) 200 ml
Water 1000 ml

1M Tris-Cl (pH= 6.8)

The pH= 6.8 is adjusted by using concentrate HCl

Tris base 121.1 g
Water 1000 ml

1M Tris-Cl (pH= 8.8)

The pH= 8.8 is adjusted by using concentrate HCl

Tris base 121.1 g
Water 1000 ml

1M Tris-Cl (pH= 7.0)
The pH= 7.0 is adjusted by using concentrate HCl

Tris base  

121.1 g

Water  

1000 ml

0.5M EDTA (pH= 8.0)

The pH= 8.0 is adjusted using NaOH pallets

EDTA  

186.1 g

Water  

1000 ml

5X SDS loading dye

5 % β-Mercaptoethanol

0.02 % Bromophenol Blue

30% Glycerol

10 % Sodium Dodecyl Sulphate (SDS)

250nM Tris-cl (pH= 6.8)

5X native loading dye

0.02 % Bromophenol Blue

30% Glycerol

250nM Tris-cl (pH= 6.8)

Ponceau dye

0.1 % (W/V) Ponceau S dye

1 % Glacial acetic acid

10X TBS (pH= 7.6)

Adjust the pH with 1 M HCl to 7.6.

Tris-Cl  

6.05 g
NaCl 8.76 g
Water 1000 ml

Add 0.001 % of Tween 20 in the buffer after preparation.

Polyacrylamide gel composition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Running gel</th>
<th>Stacking gel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>15.2 ml</td>
<td>16.8 ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tris-Cl (1.5M) pH= 8.8</td>
<td>10.4 ml</td>
<td>2.4 ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acrylamide (30%)</td>
<td>13.6 ml</td>
<td>4 ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10% SDS</td>
<td>400 µl</td>
<td>240 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEMED</td>
<td>20 µl</td>
<td>20 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10% APS</td>
<td>400 µl</td>
<td>240 µl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5 % Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA)

1) Dissolve 5 g of BSA in 100 ml of 1X TBS.
2) Filter sterile and store at 4 °C.

10X MOPS (pH = 7.0)

Adjust the pH = 7.0 using 10M NaOH.

3-(N-morpholino) propanesulfonic acid (MOPS) 41.9 g
Sodium acetate 8.2 g
EDTA 3.72 g
Water 1000 ml

C3. Stocks

Ampicillin: 100mg/ ml
Kanamycin: 50mg/ml
Tunicamycin: 1g/ml
Dithreothreitol (DTT): 1M (0.154 g in 1 ml water)
Neurothreocine:
Hygromycine:
Kanamycin:

D. Lab protocols

D1. Plasmid DNA isolation

The Biobasic plasmid DNA isolation kit was used for plasmid DNA preparation.

1) Grow single bacterial colony bearing the plasmid in 10 ml of Luria broth overnight.

2) Centrifuge the tube containing overnight culture at 2,900 rpm for 10 minutes. Drain the liquid media by inverting tube on a paper towel for 5-10 minutes.

3) Add 100 μl of Solution I (containing RNaseI) to the pellet, mix well, and keep for 1 minute. Transfer the solution in an Eppendorf tube.

4) Add 200 μl of Solution II to the mixture and mix gently by inverting the tube 4-6 times and keep at room temperature for 1 minute.

5) Add 350 μl of Solution III and mix gently. Incubate at room temperature for 1 minute.

6) Centrifuge at 12,000 rpm for 7 minutes.

7) Transfer the supernatant to the EZ-10 column. Centrifuge at 10,000rpm for 2 minutes.

8) Discard the flow-through in the tube. Add 500 μl of Wash Solution to the column, and centrifuge at 10,000 rpm for 2 minutes.

9) Spin the column at 12,000 rpm for 5 minutes. Discard the flow-through in the collection tube.

10) Transfer the column to a clean 1.5ml microfuge tube. Add 100 μl of TE into the center part of the column and incubate at room temperature for 2 minutes. Centrifuge at 10,000 rpm for 2 minutes.

11) Store purified DNA at -20°C

D2. Yeast genomic DNA isolation
Reagents:

1) Yeast breaking buffer
2) Tris saturated Phenol
3) Chloroform: Isoamyl alcohol (24:1)

Protocol:

1) Take 200 μl of yeast breaking buffer in an Eppendorf tube and resuspend one yeast colony in the buffer.
2) Add about 100 μl of zirconium beads to the tube. Break the cells at 4 °C by vortexing for 10 minutes.
3) Add 200 μl of Tris saturated phenol and invert the tube 2-3 times.
4) Spin the tube at 12,000 rpm for 10 minutes at 4 °C. Transfer the aqueous layer into a new tube.
5) Add 200 μl of chloroform: isoamyl alcohol and spin at 12,000 rpm for 5 minutes.
6) Transfer the aqueous layer in a tube with 500 μl of binding buffer. Mix it and transfer it to the EZ- spin column. Spin at 10,000 rpm for 2 minutes.
7) Discard the flow through. Add 500 μl of wash buffer. Spin at 10,000 rpm for 2 minutes. Discard the flow through and spin at 10,000 rpm for 5 minutes.
8) Transfer the column in a 1.5 ml Eppendorf tube. Add 35 μl of TE and incubate it in column for 2 minutes.
9) Spin at 10,000 rpm for 2 minutes. Store the genomic DNA at -20 °C.

D3. Chemical competent cells preparation

Reagents:

1) Autoclaved distilled water
2) 10 mM Calcium chloride
3) 10 % Glycerol

Protocol:

Day1:

1) Streak *E.coli* DH5 alpha cells on LB agar plate with and without Ampicillin.

Day2:
1) Autoclave 6 flasks containing 750 ml of Luria broth and one flask with 50 ml of Luria broth. Autoclave 10% Glycerol and centrifuge bottles.

2) Inoculate single colony of *E.coli* DH5 alpha from LB plate without ampicillin in 50 ml of Luria broth. Grow it overnight.

**Day 3:**

1) Record $A_{600}$ and inoculate $A_{600}=0.05$ cells in 750 ml of broth.

2) Grow till $A_{600}$ reaches 0.3-0.5. Centrifuge the culture at 4,500 rpm for 5 minutes. Collect the cell pallet in one centrifuge bottle.

3) Wash the pellet with cold autoclaved water twice.

4) Resuspend the pallet in 5-8 ml of calcium chloride and glycerol (10nM calcium chloride in 10% glycerol).

5) Aliquot 50 μl of cells in each eppendorf tube in dry ice. Store at -80 °C. Use the cells for chemical transformation.

**D4. Electro competent cells preparation**

Reagents:

1) Autoclaved distilled water

2) 10 % Glycerol

**Day1:**

1) Streak *E.coli* DH5 alpha electrocompetent cells on LB agar plate with and without Ampicillin.

**Day2:**

1) Autoclave 6 flasks containing 750 ml of Luria broth and one flask with 50 ml of Luria broth. Autoclave 10% Glycerol and centrifuge bottles.

2) Inoculate single colony of *E.coli* DH5 alpha from LB plate without ampicillin in 50 ml of Luria broth. Grow it overnight.

**Day3:**

1) Record $A_{600}$ and inoculate $A_{600}=0.05$ cells in 750 ml of broth.

2) Grow till $A_{600}$ reaches 0.3-0.5. Centrifuge the culture at 4,500 rpm for 5 minutes. Collect the cell pallet in one centrifuge bottle.
3) Wash the pellet with cold autoclaved water twice.
4) Resuspend the pellet in 5-8 ml of 10% Glycerol.
5) Aliquot 50 μl of cells in each eppendorf tube in dry ice. Store at -80 °C. Use the cells for electro transformation.

D5. Bacterial transformation

Reagents:

1) SOC medium
2) Dry bath

Protocol:

1) Thaw 1 vial of 50 μl chemical competent cells on ice.
2) Add 50 ng to 100 ng of Plasmid DNA to the cells.
3) Incubate it on ice for 2 minutes.
4) Set up the dry bath at 42 °C and incubate the tube on dry bath for 90 seconds.
5) Put the tube on ice for 2 minutes.
6) Add 500 μl of SOC in the tube and incubate it on shaker at 37 °C for 1 hour.
7) Transfer the mixture on LB plate with appropriate antibiotic (Ampicillin/ kanamycin).
8) Incubate the plate at 37 °C overnight.

D6. Yeast transformation

Reagents:

1) TE
2) 0.1 M Lithium acetate in TE
3) PEG
4) Calf thymus DNA (CT DNA)

Preparation of reagents:

1) Prepare 1 M Lithium acetate stock solution by dissolving 6.6 g of Lithium acetate in water and filter sterilize. Add 1 ml of 1M lithium acetate in 9 ml of TE to make 0.1 M Lithium acetate in TE.
2) Dissolve 225 g of PEG-3250 from Sigma in 250 ml of TE. Autoclave it and add 25 ml of 1M Lithium acetate.

Protocol:

Day1:

1) Inoculate yeast colony in 5 ml of YEPD or in appropriate minimal medium. Grow overnight.

Day2:

1) Inoculate 1 ml primary culture in 50 ml YEPD.

2) Grow cells at 30 °C for 3.5 – 4 hours.

3) Spin the yeast cells at 2,900 rpm for 10 minutes. Wash the cell pellet with TE and transfer the suspension in an eppendorf tube.

4) Wash the pellet with 0.1 M Lithium acetate in TE.

5) Resuspend the pellet in 750 μl of 0.1 M Lithium acetate in TE. Incubate the tube on shaker at 30 °C for one hour.

6) In an eppendorf add 5 μl of CT DNA and 1 milligram of plasmid DNA with 100 μl of yeast cells from the incubator. Incubate it for 45 minutes.

7) Add 750 μl of PEG in Lithium acetate in each tube. Incubate for 45 minutes more at 30 °C.

8) Incubate the tube at 42 °C for 8 minutes. And spin the tube at 10,000 rpm for 2 minutes.

9) Throw the liquid and resuspend the cells in 400 μl of sterile TE.

10) Spread the supernatant on appropriate plate. Incubate the plate at 30 °C for 48 hours.

D7. Total protein isolation from yeast

Reagents:

1) 100% TCA (Sigma)

2) 20% TCA (diluted in distill water from 100% TCA)

3) 5% TCA (diluted in distill water from 100% TCA)

4) 0.5 mm zirconium beads

5) 1 M Tris-Cl (pH not adjusted)

Protocol:
Day1:

1) Inoculate one yeast colony in 5-10 ml of appropriate liquid medium. Grow it overnight.

Day2:

1) Inoculate the 0.5 ml of overnight culture in 25 ml of liquid medium. Grow cells till the $A_{600}$ reaches 0.6-0.8.

2) Treat the cells with 5 mM DTT for 2 hours for the detection of Hac1 protein expression on Western blot.

3) Harvest the cells. Throw away all the media and transfer the pellet in an eppendorf tube. Wash the pellet with TE and throw away all the supernatant.

4) Add 200 μl of 100 % TCA and incubate overnight at 4 °C.

Day3:

1) Centrifuge for 4 mins at 4000rpm at RT. Pellets contain protein sample.

2) Discard the supernatant and re-suspend in 200 μl 20% TCA.

3) Add zirconium beads and break the cells in cold room with a bead-beater: 2 cycles of 1min 30seconds each.

4) Collect 200 μl of cell suspension in a fresh tube.

5) Add another 200 μl 5% TCA and vortex.

6) Again add 200μl 5% TCA and vortex. Total collection is now 600μl.

7) Centrifuge at 3000 rpm for 10 mins at RT.

8) Throw the supernatant. Proteins are in the pellet.

9) For western blot, add 50 μl 2X LSB. The color is supposed to turn yellow from the acid.

10) Titrate with 50μl 1M Trizma-base (pH not adjusted). Make sure the color changes to a steady blue.

11) Incubate at 95°C and tap in-between to dissolve the cell pellets.

12) Centrifuge at 3000rpm for 10 mins at RT.

13) Load the protein sample on protein gel.

---

**D8. Western blot analysis**

Reagents:

Transfer buffer
10X Tris Glycine 50 ml
Ethanol 150 ml
Cold water 350 ml

Day1:
Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE)
1) Load 15 µl of protein sample isolated using TCA method in the 10 % PAGE gel. Run for 65 minutes on 150 Volts. Use 4µl protein ladder.

Transfer
1) Set up transfer using PVDF membrane.
2) Set up overnight transfer at 4 °C using transfer buffer.

Day2:
1) Remove the transfer and stain membrane with Ponceau dye.
2) Wash off the ponceau dye with 1X TBS.
3) Block membrane with 5% BSA for 1 hour at Room temperature.
4) Add primary antibody. Leave overnight at 4 °C.

Day3:
1) Wash the membrane with 1X TBS 5 times (each wash should be for 10 mins).
2) Incubate the membrane with secondary antibody (0.5µl in 5ml BSA).
3) Wash again with 1X TBS 5 times (each wash should be for 10 mins).

D9. Total RNA isolation from yeast

Reagents:

1) Elution buffer
   TE 300 µl
   RNase free water 700 µl
2) 0.1% DEPC water

Take one-liter MiliQ water in a bottle. Add 0.5 ml of DEPC (from Sigma), shake it gently and keep on

table o/n (DEPC is carcinogenic handle with care, wear gloves, open DEPC bottle in fume hood.)

3) Formaldehyde RNA running gel

Dissolve agarose in the TBE then add formaldehyde in the fume hood followed by EtBr.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agarose</td>
<td>0.7 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10X MOPS</td>
<td>5 ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formaldehyde</td>
<td>750 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethidium bromide</td>
<td>1.0 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBE</td>
<td>45 ml</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Protocol:

1) Add 10 µl of β-ME (Sigma) in 1.0 ml lysis buffer keep it in ice.

2) Add 600 µl of lysis buffer in all the eppendorf tube containing yeast cells and allow cells to thaw on

   ice.

3) Add Zirconium beads approximate equivalent volume of 100 µl (Sigma or Zirconium).

4) Transfer 600 µl solution in glass bead tubes.

5) Break the cells by vortex in the cold room for 10 minutes (Disruptor genie from Scientific Industries)

6) Centrifuge for 12K for 5 minutes.

7) Collect supernatant 600 µl in a tube containing 600 µl of 70% ethanol, thus total volume 1200 µl.

8) Add 600 µl on a column from Ambion kit, centrifuge (10 K for 2 min), throw liquid from collector.

9) Reload the remaining 600 µl on the same column repeat step 8.

10) Add 500 µl of wash buffer on the column, wash twice.

11) Dry spin for 12K for 5 minutes.

12) Elute RNA with 70 µl of elution buffer. Store at -80 °C.

13) Examine RNA quality by RNA gel electrophoresis. Check the concentration of RNA using a

    Nanodrop.
D10. Reverse Transcriptase PCR

Reagents:

1) 10mM dNTP – NEB Biolabs dNTP mix- #N0447S (make aliquots of 20 µl and store)
2) 100uM random primer – Biolabs #1254S (make aliquots of 10 µM and store)
3) DEPC-treated water – prepared on your own
4) 5X first strand buffer – Invitrogen- P/N y02321 (make aliquots of 20 µl and store)
5) 0.1M DTT – Invitrogen -- P/N y00147 (make aliquots of 20 µl and store)
6) RNAse out – Invitrogen- P/N 100000840
7) Reverse Transcriptase III - Invitrogen 18080-093

Protocol:

1) First strand cDNA synthesis reaction. Make a primer-dNTP mixture

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>For 1 reaction</th>
<th>For 5 reaction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10 mM dNTP</td>
<td>1.0 µl</td>
<td>5.0 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 µM Random primer</td>
<td>0.5 µl</td>
<td>2.5 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEPC water</td>
<td>8.5 µl</td>
<td>32.5 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>9.5 µl</td>
<td>47 µl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2) Dispense 9.5 µl in 5 eppendorf tubes

3) Add 2000ng of RNA, keep the volume 5 µl

4) Heat at 70 ºC for 3 minutes and transfer to ice immediately (this is to break secondary structures of RNA)

5) Make a reaction mixture as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>For 1 reaction</th>
<th>For 5 reaction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5X First strand buffer</td>
<td>4.0 µl</td>
<td>20 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1 M DTT</td>
<td>1 µl</td>
<td>5 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNase out</td>
<td>0.2 µl</td>
<td>1 µl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Reverse transcriptase  0.2 µl  1 µl

6) Add 5.4ul in each tube containing RNA mix.

7) Incubate the reaction mixture in a PCR tube with a program for cDNA synthesis:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50 °C</td>
<td>45 minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 °C</td>
<td>10 minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hold on 10 °C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8) Store the synthetic cDNA in -20°C.

**D11. Detection of HAC1 mRNA splice variants**

1) PCR for testing the HAC1 mRNA splicing

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PCR super mix (Invitrogen)</td>
<td>44 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10uM Primer omd 1225</td>
<td>2 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10uM Primer omd 1226</td>
<td>2 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synthetic cDNA</td>
<td>2 µl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Run program as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>94 °C</td>
<td>4 minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94 °C</td>
<td>1 minute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 °C</td>
<td>45 Sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72 °C</td>
<td>30 Sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Go to step 2 repeat</td>
<td>20 times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72 °C</td>
<td>5 minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 °C</td>
<td>hold</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2) Cast a 1.5% agarose gel to run the amplified PCR product

Load 10 µl of PCR product
D12. β-galactose assay

Reagents:

1) 1x Z-buffer

Adjust the pH to 7.0 with NaOH or HCl. Prepare aliquots and Store at -20 °C.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Na}_2\text{HPO}_4.7\text{H}_2\text{O} & \quad 16.1 \text{ g} \\
\text{KCl} & \quad 0.75 \text{ g} \\
\text{MgSO}_4.7\text{H}_2\text{O} & \quad 0.246 \text{ g} \\
\text{NaH}_2\text{PO}_4.\text{H}_2\text{O} & \quad 5.5 \text{ g} \\
12 \text{ M } \beta-\text{Mercaptoethanol} & \quad 2.7 \text{ ml} \\
\text{Water} & \quad 1000 \text{ ml}
\end{align*}
\]

2) ONPG (o-nitrophenyl-β-D-galactoside) stock solution.

Prepare aliquots and Store at -20 °C.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{ONPG} & \quad 4 \text{ g} \\
\text{Z- buffer} & \quad 1000 \text{ ml}
\end{align*}
\]

3) β-galactosidase breaking buffer

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Tris-Cl (pH= 8.0)} & \quad 100 \text{ mM} \\
\text{DTT} & \quad 1 \text{ mM} \\
\text{Glycerol} & \quad 10 \% \\
\end{align*}
\]

4) 1M sodium carbonate

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Sodium carbonate} & \quad 5.3 \text{ g} \\
\text{Water} & \quad 50 \text{ ml}
\end{align*}
\]

Protocol:
1) Grow desired yeast cells till $A_{600}$ reaches 0.6. Treat cells with 5mM DTT for 30 minutes to 2 hours as per required.

2) Spin down the DTT treated cells. Throw the media. Wash the pellet with TE and transfer the pellet in eppendorf tubes. Store the pellet at -20°C.

3) Without thawing the pellet add 200 μl of β-galactosidase breaking buffer in cell pellet (add 5 μl β-Mercaptoethanol in 10 ml lysis buffer) on ice.

4) Add about 100 μl of zirconium beads, vortex in cold room for 10 min, spun down at 4 °C for 10000 rpm 5 min.

5) Transfer all supernatant in another clean tube.

6) Thaw ONPG and Z-buffer aliquots at 28°C for at least 1 hour.

7) Add 2 μl of protein sample in 1 ml of Bradford reagent. Record the $A_{600}$ for each sample.

8) Place 1ml Z-buffer in 5 ml glass test tube and equilibrate at 28°C chamber. (Three tubes per sample)

9) Then add 25μl protein supernatant, briefly vortex to mix.

10) Add 200 μl ONPG and vortex keep difference of about 20 sec in each of samples. Record the time.

11) Wait for 5-30 minutes for the development of yellow color. Stop the reaction after yellow color saturates by adding 500μl of sodium carbonate.

12) Record the $A_{405}$.

13) The beta galactosidase units are calculated by using the following formula.

$$\text{Beta galactosidase Units} = \frac{(\text{OD}_{420} \times 1000)}{\text{Concentration} \times 1.7}$$

$$\text{OD}_{420} = \text{Take 1 ml of assay reaction mixture to read the OD}_{420}$$

$$\text{Concentration} = 1 \text{ μl /protein concentration, μg/ml}$$

$$\text{Time (min) = Incubation time (20 min)}$$

$$\text{Volume (μl) = 25 μl}$$
D15. Flag tagged protein purification

Reagents:

1) Flag purification buffer

- 1M Tris-Cl (pH= 8.0) 400 μl
- 5M NaCl 1 ml
- Triton X100 (25 %) 40 μl
- 1M PMSF 34 mg (dissolve in ethanol)
- NaF Pinch
- β- Glycerol phosphate Pinch
- Protease tablet 1 mini tablet

Protocol:

1) Total protein isolation: Put the cell pallet on the ice. Add 750μl of buffer. Thaw cells on ice
2) Add glass beads 200μl. Break the cells in cold room for 10 minutes. Spin at 12K for 10 minutes.
3) Take supernatant in fresh tube. Add 500μl of buffer. Break for 10 minutes in cold room
4) Spin at 12K for 10 minutes. Total supernatant 1250μl
5) Bradford assay for protein quantification: Dilute Bradford reagent- 5X to 1X (2ml reagent + 8ml H₂O).
   Dispense 1ml in 8 tubes. Add 2 μl to Bradford reagent. Take OD₆₀₀. OD₆₀₀ = 0.1 is equivalent to 1μg of protein. Take around 100mg of protein (too much protein is bad for beads)
6) Protein Isolation: Slurry 100μl, add 500μl buffer. Spin 4⁰C 3K for 1 minute. Throw supernatant. Wash once more. Mutator shaker 4⁰C for 1 hour 30 minutes. Spin at 3k for 1 minute 4⁰C . Throw supernatant
7) wash before elution- to remove unbound protein: Add 750μl flag purification buffer in each tube. Minimum 5 wash. Do Bradford of 5μl wash buffer to check unbound protein concentration
8) Elution peptide preparation- preparation of flag peptide: Elution buffer (50mM Tris pH= 8.00, 10% glycerol) is already prepared ]. Weigh 0.5mg of Flag peptide. Prepare 1 M DTT in elution buffer
9) Elution: 45µl of elution buffer with Flag peptide+ 50µl DTT. Add 100-150 µl of Flag peptide in each tube. Tap the tube and wait for 10 minutes. Spin at 10K for 1 minute at 4 ºC. Using 5µl of sample do Bradford

10) PAGE gel: Load around 0.5 µg protein on gel. Use SDS sample buffer (pink color - Biolabs)

- 3X loading dye - 30µl
- 1M DTT - 5µl

Around 10µl sample + 5µl dye

Heat it

Load on gel
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