
PAX PERMANENT MARTIAN BASE
Space Architecture for the First Human Habitation on Mars

Space Architecture Monograph Series, Vol. 5

Research, Design, and Graphics

Adam L. Demler
Peter J. Froelich
Andrew John Haynes
Renee Marie Jankuski
Scott E. Maner
Janis Huebner-Moths
Kerry Lynn Paruleski
Cory W. Peterson
Patrick John Rebholz
Amy Lynn Schwalbach
Scott Patrick Starks

Teaching and Research Assistants/Authors

Janis Huebner-Moths
Joseph P. Fieber
Patrick J. Rebholz
Kerry L. Paruleski

Instructor/Project Director/Editor

Gary T. Moore

Space Architecture Design Group
Department of Architecture and
Center for Architecture and Urban Planning Research
University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee

June 24, 1992

PAX PERMANENT MARTIAN BASE:

Space Architecture for the First Human Habitation on Mars

Janis Huebner-Moths, Joseph P. Fieber, Patrick J. Rebholz & Kerry L. Paruleski (edited by Gary T. Moore).

ABSTRACT

America at the Threshold: Report of the Synthesis Group on America's Space Exploration Initiative (the "Synthesis Report," sometimes called the Stafford Report after its astronaut chair, published in 1991) recommended that NASA explore what it called four "architectures," i.e., four different scenarios for habitation on Mars. The Advanced Design Program in Space Architecture at the University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee supported this report and two of its scenarios—"Architecture 1" and "Architecture 4"—during the spring of 1992. This report investigates the implications of different mission scenarios, the Martian environment, supporting technologies, and especially human factors and environment-behavior considerations for the design of the first permanent Martian base. The report is comprised of sections on mission analysis, implications of the Martian atmosphere and geologic environment, development of habitability design requirements based on environment-behavior and human factors research, and a full design proposed (concept design and design development) for the first permanent Martian base and habitat. The design is presented in terms of a base site plan, master plan based on a Mars direct scenario phased through IOC, and design development details of a complete Martian habitat for 18 crew members including all laboratory, mission control, and crew support spaces.

**OTHER MONOGRAPHS IN THE
SPACE ARCHITECTURE MONOGRAPH SERIES**

1. *Space Architecture: Lunar Base Scenarios*, by Anthony J. Schnarsky, Edwin G. Cordes, Thomas M. Crabb & Mark K. Jacobs (edited by Edwin G. Cordes, Gary T. Moore & Stephen J. Frahm). ISBN 0-938744-59, R88-1, 1988; pp. vi + 80, illus.; \$10.00.
2. *Genesis Lunar Outpost: Program/Requirements Document for an Early Stage Lunar Outpost*, by Dino J. Baschiera & 12 others (edited by Edwin G. Cordes). ISBN 0-938744-61-5, R89-1, 1989; pp. xix + 89, illus.; \$10.00.
3. *Genesis Lunar Outpost: Criteria and Design*, by Dino J. Baschiera, Joseph P. Fieber, Timothy L. Hansmann, Janis Huebner-Moths & Gary T. Moore (edited by Timothy L. Hansmann & Gary T. Moore). ISBN 0-938744-69-0, R90-1, 1990; pp. xii + 107, illus.; \$10.00.
4. *Genesis II: Advanced Lunar Outpost*, by Joseph P. Fieber, Janis Huebner-Moths & Kerry L. Paruleski (edited by Gary T. Moore). ISBN 0-938744-74-7, R91-2, 1991; pp. xvi + 72, illus.; \$10.00.

**PUBLICATIONS IN ARCHITECTURE AND
URBAN PLANNING**

Center for Architecture and Urban Planning Research
University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee
P.O. Box 413
Milwaukee, WI 53201-0413

Report No. R92-2
ISBN-0-9-38744-79-8

Additional copies of this monograph are available for \$10.00 prepaid by writing to the above address.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

America at the Threshold: Report of the Synthesis Group on America's Space Exploration Initiative (Stafford, 1991; called the "Synthesis Report") recommended that NASA explore what it called four "architectures," i.e., four different scenarios for habitation on Mars.

The Advanced Design Program in Space Architecture at the University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee supported this report and two of its scenarios—"Architecture 1" and "Architecture 4"—during the spring of 1992. This Space Architecture Design Group investigated the implications of different mission scenarios, the Martian environment, supporting technologies, and especially human factors and environment-behavior considerations for the design of the first permanent Martian base.

The following report is comprised of sections on mission analysis, implications of the Martian atmosphere and geologic environment, development of habitability design requirements based on environment-behavior and human factors research, and a full design (concept design and design development) for a first permanent Martian base and habitat. The design is presented in terms of a base site plan, master plan based on the Zubrin "Mars direct" scenario phased through IOC, and design development details of a complete Martian habitat for 18 crew members including all laboratory, mission control, and crew support spaces.

Our thinking, based on an integration of the Synthesis Report and a document from the Exploration Program Office (Wheeler, 1992), suggested the likelihood of the following four mission scenarios: (1) *precursor telerobotic missions* around 1998, (2) *expeditionary landings* around 2005 to 2014 on the order of 500 days total trip time with a stay of 30 to 100 days, (3) longer duration missions on the order of 1,000 days with a typical stay time of 500 to 600 days between 2007 and 2016 to establish *human-tended outposts*, and (4) long-duration missions to establish the initial operating configuration of the first *permanent base* (IOC) between 2009 and 2022. There are significant environment-behavior issues of habitation to be explored and solved in a long-duration permanent Martian base. The focus, therefore, of our current research and design work—and this report, the fifth in the Space Architecture Monograph Series—has been on the environment-behavior determinants of a long-duration *permanent* base.

Our work built off what the Synthesis Report referred to as the Mars "Waypoint" (by which is meant Mars planetary activities for human exploration of Mars and the Solar System, i.e., as a waypoint to later exploration into the Solar System). We accepted the Synthesis Report recommendations of a crew size of 6 crew members for the initial human-tended outpost and the ExPO recommendation of a crew size of 18 for the permanent IOC base. The base is designed assuming a mostly closed-loop life support system (closed except for food, which will be produced on an experimental basis in a pair of biotrons or Martian greenhouses) and remote automatic emplacement, checkout, and verification of the habitat and life support system.

The Mars waypoint assumes significant transfer of learning from orbital and lunar facilities including evaluation of lunar habitats. Our previous work in the USRA Advanced Design Program was instructive. An early phase of our Martian work was an analysis and critique of the five lunar habitats¹ designed by the Space Architecture Design Group since 1989—especially the two habitats taken into design development—for positive lessons to be transferred to the design of the first Martian habitat. Additional issues considered in this report include the following: mission scenario analysis; implications of the Martian atmosphere and geologic environment; changeability, replaceability, and expandability; supporting technologies; and especially human factors and environment-behavior considerations and design requirements for permanent Martian bases and habitats.

Until recently, human and environment-behavior considerations were not viewed as significantly important elements for successful extraterrestrial exploration. Instead, science and engineering were paramount in the eyes of the designers. "There is now an increased awareness on the part of planners that design does affect behavior" (Fisher, Bell, & Baum, 1978). By studying the effects of human

¹ This critique was presented at the American Institute for Aeronautics and Astronautics Aerospace Design Conference, Irvine, California, February 1992 (Moore & Rebholz, 1992) and at the Environmental Design Research Association 22nd Annual Conference, Boulder, Colorado (by the student TAs). A set of resulting design requirements for human habitation of extraterrestrial planets was presented at the American Society of Civil Engineers' Space 92 Conference, Denver, Colorado, May 1992, and published in their proceedings (Moore, Paruleski, Huebner-Mohts, Fieber, & Rebholz, 1992).

behavior in isolated and confined environments and then creating design requirements, it is expected that human factors can have a profound impact on the success of extraterrestrial space exploration.

A permanent Martian base will provide for a multi-national, multi-racial, mixed-gender crew for stay times as long as two years. The base will include mission related facilities such as research labs, mission operations workstations, airlock and dust-off chamber, storage for logistics, and life-support system. It will also contain crew-support facilities such as crew quarters, individual and group passive recreation areas, an active exercise facility, wardroom for eating or teleconferencing and meetings, hygiene facilities, health maintenance facility, as well as special places for privacy and psychological retreat.

Emphasis in our work and in this report is placed, therefore, on human factors and environment-behavior requirements that impact on habitability for long-duration habitation. A full range of issues must be investigated, from pragmatic issues of productivity and functionality to more abstract issues of imagery and symbolism. Considerations included but were not limited to anthropometric effects of 1/3rd gravity, safety, astronaut satisfaction and productivity, minimizing or alleviating stress, social interaction and privacy, orientation and wayfinding, perceptual variety, efficiency, functional convenience, and place and identity--the quality of "home."

A modular space frame construction system will provide the protective shelter for the habitat itself, called Pax (for the international Peace Settlement, opposite of the Latin name of the planet, Mars, the God of War), situated at the middle of a north-south axis to the base as a whole. This framing system will combine open square and triangular geometries to produce a roof and column support system. The proposed frame system is a kit of components, redundant in size and shape, that will allow the astronauts relative ease of construction. The system will consist of a structural space frame, column support system, textile regolith containment and radiation shielding system, and Martian regolith.

The habitat, or central portion of Pax, will be constructed in several stages. Construction can commence when two rigid modules and six crew members are on site, and their equipment, rovers, and logistics are in place. Additional modules and their crew will arrive, bringing the full compliment of rigid modules to four, and the number of crew members to twelve.

It is proposed that the final habitat, at IOC, will be comprised of five operational modules, each two floors in height: a 9-m hard-module entry module for dust-off, suit stowage and maintenance, and full recreation and exercise center in the lower level; two 12-m inflatable modules, one for laboratories and mission command and the other for crew quarters and the crew support facility; and two additional 9-m hard modules serving as two Martian greenhouses. The last hard module, part of the initial deployment, will be transferred elsewhere on the Martian surface as a hazardous laboratory.

Design development of all the interior habitat spaces--laboratories, mission control spaces, greenhouses, and all crew quarters and support spaces--makes up the majority of this report.

In conclusion, several critical design features of Pax are summarized, together with major strengths and limitations of this design and directions for future research and design development.

PREFACE AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Faculty and students of the University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee School of Architecture and Urban Planning (UW-Milwaukee) have been actively involved in the research, analysis, and design of extraterrestrial environments since 1987. In 1987 the School began working with the Astronautics Corporation of America, a worldwide aeronautics and aerospace company headquartered in Milwaukee, to define space design issues and criteria. In the fall of 1987, the Department of Architecture offered its first studio in "Space Architecture: Lunar Base Scenarios." The studio resulted in the first of our Space Architecture Monograph Series (Schnarsky, Cordes, Crabb & Jacobs, 1988). The School's Center for Architecture and Urban Planning Research (CAUPR) hosted a series of lectures and workshops by leading members of the aerospace industry and nationally recognized experts, made slide and video presentations at national meetings including the 3rd through the 8th Annual Summer Conferences of the Universities Space Research Association and wrote a series of articles about space research and design (e.g., Schnarsky, 1988).

In 1989 CAUPR was awarded a \$115,000, three-year grant from NASA/Universities Space Research Association (NASA/USRA) to conduct an Advanced Design Program in Space Architecture. Created as a result of that grant, the Space Architecture Design Group has been responsible for research and technical papers, lectures, talks, and exhibits at local, state, and national conferences, and has received six research and design awards (for a complete listing of available publications, please see Appendix B). We have subsequently been awarded a minimum three-year sustaining grant from USRA for the 1992-95 period.

A selected group of 29 environment-behavior and human factors issues formed the basis for the Martian base design for 1991-1992. The issues selected have their origins in previous design work and research of lunar bases completed by the Space Architecture Design Group. This report summarizes those issues, discusses the design criteria, and presents a design proposal, named *Pax*, based upon accumulated research and design trade studies.

The Space Architecture Design Group would like to express appreciation for the continued support, encouragement, and opportunities the Advanced Design Program (ADP) has provided. We thank NASA and USRA for sponsoring the project, and Vicki Johnson, ADP Director, Barbara Rumbaugh, ADP Administrator, and their staff, for their contin-

ued support, and our growing array of Mentors and colleagues at NASA Johnson Space Center, including John Connolly, Kriss Kennedy, Wendell Mendell, Deborah Neubek, and Michael Roberts, for their advice and insights. Our thanks also to Brent Hellickson, Mark Galertner, and Cheri Morrow of the University of Colorado, Michael Pogue of Johnson Engineering, and Marc Cohen of NASA-Ames Research Center, whose critiques of our lunar work very much influenced the current work. We would like to thank the following for critical feedback and suggestions during the project:

Edward Beimborn, UW-Milwaukee Department of Civil Engineering
Uriel Cohen, UW-Milwaukee Center for Architecture and Urban Planning Research
John Connolly, Planet Surface Systems Office, NASA Johnson Space Center
Vincent A. Cronin, UW-Milwaukee Department of Geosciences
Robert Greenstreet, UW-Milwaukee School of Architecture and Urban Planning
Mary Guzowski, UW-Milwaukee Department of Architecture
Thomas Hubka, UW-Milwaukee Department of Architecture
Calvin Huber, UW-Milwaukee Department of Chemistry
Robert A. Jones, UW-Milwaukee Graduate School Office of Research and Sponsored Programs
Thomas A. Kaminski, Astronautics Technology Center, Astronautics Corporation of America
Michael Roberts, Design Systems Office, NASA Johnson Space Center
Douglas C. Ryhn, UW-Milwaukee Department of Architecture
Irvin Ross, UW-Milwaukee Office of Industrial Research and Technology Transfer
Kathryn Scott, Design Resource Center
Mark Sothmann, UW-Milwaukee Department of Human Kinetics
Dale Thomas, UW-Milwaukee Office of Industrial Research and Technology Transfer

We would like to acknowledge the continuing support of local television, news media, and publications personnel. With their efforts, the Advanced Design Program has continued to generate enthusiasm for the space program and its benefits within academic and public audiences. The NASA/USRA Teaching Assistants and Faculty Advisor for 1991-1992 would like to express appreciation to the Spring Space Architecture Design Studio for their diligence in the pursuit of research, creativity, and design, toward the final product, *Pax*.

Janis Huebner-Moths, Joseph P. Fieber, Patrick J. Rebholz, Kerry L. Paruleski
NASA/USRA Teaching Assistants

Gary T. Moore
Faculty Advisor and Project Director

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY iii

PREFACE AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS v

LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES ix

1. OBJECTIVES 1

1.1 Mars Mission Scenarios 1

1.2 Mars Environment 1

1.3 Human Factors and Environment-
Behavior Considerations 1

1.4 Changeability, Replaceability, and Expandability 2

2. PROCEDURE 3

2.1 Organization/Mission Scenario 3

2.2 Base Design Research 3

2.3 Concept Design Exploration 4

2.4 Habitat Design Research and Requirements 4

2.5 Habitat Schematic Design 4

2.6 Interior Design Development 4

2.7 Design Integration and Presentation 4

2.8 Presentations and Reports 6

3. DESIGN ISSUES AND REQUIREMENTS 7

3.1 Mars Mission Scenario 7

3.1.1 Published Scenarios 7

3.1.2 Mission Scenario Integration 9

3.2 Character of the Martian Environment 10

3.2.1 Surface and Atmospheric Analysis 10

3.2.2 Radiation and Radiation Shielding 12

3.2.3 Martian Gravity and Reduced Gravity Effects ... 13

3.2.4 Site Planning Considerations 13

3.3 Human Factors and Environment-
Behavior Considerations 14

3.3.1 Anthropometrics 14

3.3.2 Personalization and Productivity 15

3.3.3 Environmentally-Induced Stress 16

3.3.4 Interpersonal Space and Territoriality 16

3.3.5 Optimal Circulation 17

3.3.6 Efficiency, Functionality, and Spatial Variety 18

3.3.7 Zoning 21

3.3.9 Sense of Arrival, Sense of Place 25

3.4 Changeability, Replaceability, and Expandability 25

3.5 Special Considerations 26

3.5.1 Color 26

3.5.2 Lighting 27

3.5.3 Materials 27

4. PAX: PERMANENT MARTIAN BASE 29

4.1 Site Selection 29

4.2 Site Planning 29

4.3 Master Plan and Construction Sequence
Including Expansion Capabilities 30

4.4 Permanent Base Initial Operating Configuration 33

4.4.1 Habitat Design Organization 33

4.5 Habitat Components 40

4.5.1 Entry Module 41

4.5.1.1 Central Entry, Dust-off, Suit Stowage,
and Maintenance 41

4.5.1.2 Active Group Recreation Area 42

4.5.1.3 Exercise Facility 42

4.5.2 Laboratory/Mission Operations Module 43

4.5.2.1 Mission Control 43

4.5.2.2 Research Laboratories 45

4.5.2.3 Health Maintenance Facility 46

4.5.3 Crew Support Module 47

4.5.3.1 Wardroom 47

4.5.3.2 Galley 47

4.5.3.3 Group Recreation Area 48

4.5.3.4 Laundry 48

4.5.3.5 Crew Quarters	49
4.5.3.6 Personal Hygiene Facilities	50
4.5.4 Greenhouse Modules	52
4.5.4.1 Carbon Dioxide Greenhouse	53
4.5.4.2 Oxygen Greenhouse	53
4.5.4.3 The Chapel	53
4.5.4.4 The Library	54
5. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	57
5.1 Critical Design Features of Pax	57
5.1.1 Site Selection	57
5.1.2 Base Layout	57
5.1.3 Habitat Basic Concept	57
5.1.4 Construction Sequencing	57
5.1.5 Overall Design Organization of the Habitat	58
5.1.6 Interior Design including Considerations of Color, Lighting, and Materials	59
5.2 Major Strengths and Limitations of the Design	59
5.3 Areas for Future Research and Design Development	61
APPENDICES	65
Appendix A: Space Architecture III Design Studio Description	65
Appendix B: Monographs, Reports, and Papers on Aerospace Architecture	69
REFERENCES	73

LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES

Figure 2-1	The 1992 timeline.	Figure 3.3.5-2	The principle of clear circulation.
Figure 3.1.1-1	A summary of three published Mars scenarios.	Figure 3.3.5-3	Circulating through a continuous pathway will allow a crewmember the ability to take a walk through the Martian habitat.
Figure 3.1.2-1	A recommended integration of previously published Mars scenarios.	Figure 3.3.6-1	Efficiency will allow the base all needed functions, but with reduced space and mass.
Table 3.2.1-1	Martian Atmospheric Composition.	Table 3.3.6-1	Square Meterage of Lunar Base Proposals.
Table 3.2.1-2	Comparative Facts between Earth and Mars	Figure 3.3.6-2.	Convenience and functional proximity should lead to efficiency in space and functional performance.
Figure 3.2.1-1	Diagram of Martian wind convections.	Figure 3.3.6-3	Spatial variety can create different feelings within spaces, and help avoid monotony throughout the habitat.
Figure 3.2.1-2	Martian surface temperatures.	Figure 3.3.7-1	Quiet and noisy spaces should be separated to ensure crew satisfaction.
Figure 3.2.1-3	Distinctive streaks on the martian surface. The dark areas represent wind erosion, light centers where bright, fine particles deposit and collect (assumptions based on wind tunnel simulations).	Figure 3.3.7-2	The overlay of the noisy to quiet and public to private gradients. The proposed spaces and functions necessary for human habitation support are placed upon the gradients.
Figure 3.2.1-4	Channel network on Martian surface.	Figure 3.3.7-3	Bubble diagrams emerge when two functional spatial zoning gradients are overlapped.
Figure 3.2.1-5	Poona Crater. One of several types of craters found on the Martian surface.	Figure 3.3.7-4	Adjacency matrix for required Martian habitat spaces.
Figure 3.3.1-1	Projected body size of a 40-year old Japanese female in the year 2000.	Figure 3.3.9-1	A sense of arrival is important in distinguishing between circulation and functional space.
Table 3.3.1-1	Anthropometric Changes Between 0-G and 1-G.	Figure 3.3.9-2	A sense of place is critical in portraying a particular atmosphere.
Figure 3.3.1-2	Projected body size of a 40-year old American male in the year 2000.	Figure 3.4-1	The use of modular partitons and racks increases the flexibility of a martian habitat.
Figure 3.3.3-1	An example of architecturally induced stress is excessive noise and vibration.	Figure 4 .1-1	Viking 2 mission location at 45° north latitude, 251° west longitude.
Figure 3.3.5-1	Dual egress points are necessary safety precautions in the event of operational failure or environmental atmospheric threat to human safety.	Figure 4.2-1	<i>Pax</i> base site plan.

Pax Permanent Martian Base

Figure 4.3-1	Phase one of the Martian base construction. Six astronauts will prepare the habitat site.	Figure 4.4.1-8	Bringing a sense of "home" to Mars could be considered an important factor for the crew.
Figure 4.3-2	The footprint of the Martian habitat is excavated.	Figure 4.4.1-9	A sense of place is important in identifying and reacting accordingly to spaces.
Figure 4.3-3	The space frame and regolith habitat shelter is assembled.	Figure 4.4.1-10	The habitat is comprised of three 9 m hard modules and two 12.6 m inflatables. Each module contains two levels.
Figure 4.3-4	Laboratory and crew support modules are emplaced and inflated.	Figure 4.4.1-11	Axonometric drawing of main floor (entry level) of <i>Pax</i> , illustrating the embracing entry (center) and separation of laboratories from crew support facility (lower-left to upper right). The greenhouse modules are on the upper left.
Figure 4.3-5	Rigid entry module is emplaced and the primary entrance airlock for the habitat is attached.	Figure 4.4.1-12	Axonometric drawing of the upper floor of <i>Pax</i> , illustrating the central focus and group interaction space in each module and the creation of a sense of place and homelike environment in all spaces.
Figure 4.3-6	Dedicated greenhouse facilities will be placed underneath the shelter system.	Figure 4.4.1-13	Axonometric of the lower level of the entry module (one level down from the entry level). Contained within is the active group recreation area and exercise facility.
Figure 4.3-7	Final components of the habitat are emplaced--logistics support module and lab airlock.	Figure 4.5.1.1-1	Floor plan of the habitat, level one. Three smaller modules contain the entry space and the greenhouse facilities. The two larger modules support the crew and laboratory functions. A logistics module and two airlocks complete the habitat plan.
Figure 4.3-8	Perspective view to the southeast of the completed habitat and shelter system.	Figure 4.5.1.1-2	Entrance and transition point for circulation and EVA functions; the main entry and airlock are to the right, translation platforms to the lower recreation level to the left, and suit stowage around and behind the half-spiral staircase.
Figure 4.4-1	Model representation of <i>Pax</i> .	Figure 4.5.1.2-1	Floor plan of the lowest level of the habitat--the active recreation area and exercise facility of the entry module. Note: While each module has two levels, only the entry module is set lower in the Martian surface so that entry is on the second level of this module, with the astronauts going down into the exercise and recreation center in the "basement" of the habitat.
Figure 4.4.1-1	Diagram of module emplacement to create a focal, embracing entry.		
Figure 4.4.1-2	Massing model of <i>Pax</i> showing set-back feature of module emplacement.		
Figure 4.4.1-3	In elevation, a model of <i>Pax</i> shows the concept of an embracing entry.		
Figure 4.4.1-4	By separating the work space from the living space, a sense of going to "work" and returning "home" may be afforded.		
Figure 4.4.1-5	Variety and efficiency of circulation paths are suggested.		
Figure 4.4.1-6	For efficiency and safety, dual egress should be incorporated into extraterrestrial habitats.		
Figure 4.4.1-7	Focal points are created at a central location within the habitat volumes.		

Figure 4.5.1.2-2	View of the active recreation area and juice bar with half-wall partitioning separating functions yet allowing visual contact with other crewmembers. Level changes demarcate the recreation area from the exercise reduced gravity countermeasure facility. Various methods of lighting allow the crew to control the spatial ambiance.	Figure 4.5.3.1-1	The wardroom is a gathering space of the entire crew for formal, communication, and social occasions. It seats 18, but the tables can separate for smaller groups for meals, cards or games, or individual pursuits.
Figure 4.5.1.3-1	The exercise facility will provide a range of exercise countermeasure stations. Individually controlled ventilation systems will provide cooling during workouts.	Figure 4.5.3.2-1	The galley is designed for ease of food preparation and maintenance., ample stowage, three separate workstations and mobile islands.
Figure 4.5.2.1-1	Floor plan of the upper level of the laboratory/mission operations module. The conference room and three mission control workstations are in the bottom segments of the plan.	Figure 4.5.3.3-1	View from the recreation area across the vegetation planter toward the wardroom.
Figure 4.5.2.1-2	Mission operations as viewed from the vertical translation area. The individual workstations are to the left, the briefing room to the right. The workstation areas combine general lighting and task lighting.	Figure 4.5.3.3-2	Casual recreation area will promote social interaction in a comfortable setting.
Figure 4.5.2.1-3	Mission control conference and briefing area. Monitoring screens allow visual access to several habitat areas as well as base functioning and surface operations. A large table allows half the crew at a time (one shift of 9) to review and coordinate mission activities.	Figure 4.5.3.5-1	Upper level floor plan of the crew support module--the crew quarters and PHFs.
Figure 4.5.2.2-1	Floor plan of the main level of the laboratory module showing (from lower center, clock-wise) the general, chemistry, and biology labs, the HMF, and the microbiology labs.	Figure 4.5.3.5-2	Overhead view of a single crew quarter. The components can be easily moved to allow for personalization of the space by the crewmember.
Figure 4.5.2.2-2	View into the laboratories: common work surfaces visually divide the spaces; ample stowage is provided for equipment and within easy access to the crewmember. General lighting in the ceiling will be augmented by specialized task lighting at various workstations.	Figure 4.5.3.5-3	A shared crew quarter as viewed from the entrance to the space. Beds are lofted to provide additional floor space. Stowage compartments, on a modular system, are flexible and allow for room rearrangement.
Figure 4.5.3-1	Main level floor plan of the crew support module. This level consists of a wardroom, galley, and group recreation space.	Figure 4.5.3.6-1	One of the pair of personal hygiene facilities.
Figure 4.5.3-2	Primary circulation pathway through the main level of the crew support module. On the left is the entrance to the group recreation area (first) and the galley and on the right is the wardroom with capacity to seat the entire crew.	Figure 4.5.3.6-2	The transition space between the lower level and the private level of the crew module is accomplished by the use of a curved staircase. The entry to this zone is highlighted by a two-level-high ceiling.
		Figure 4.5.3.6-3	Circulation space alongside the crew quarters and visual access is permitted into the green space the recreation level below.
		Figure 4.5.4-1	Floor plan of the upper level of the two greenhouse modules. Greenhouse accessibility is from the primary circulation space of the crew support module (upper level) and the botany labs of the laboratory module (upper level).

- Figure 4.5.4-2 The plant growth racks provide high density plant growth.
- Figure 4.5.4.3-1 The chapel in the greenhouse allows for meditation and reflection for crew members from a variety of religious beliefs.
- Figure 4.5.4.4-1 The library will provide a space to study, relax, and enjoy the growing environment.
- Figure 4.5.4.4-2 Floor plan of the lower floor of the two greenhouse modules, showing the carbon dioxide greenhouse with central work area on the left, and the oxygen greenhouse with central library on the right.