

9-13-2009

Why [Ginny Maziarka] is Stupid

Concerned West Bend Citizen

Follow this and additional works at: http://dc.uwm.edu/west_bend_library_challenge



Part of the [Library and Information Science Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Concerned West Bend Citizen, "Why [Ginny Maziarka] is Stupid" (2009). *West Bend Community Memorial Library (Wisconsin)*, 2009. Paper 355.

http://dc.uwm.edu/west_bend_library_challenge/355

This Blog Post is brought to you for free and open access by UWM Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in West Bend Community Memorial Library (Wisconsin), 2009 by an authorized administrator of UWM Digital Commons. For more information, please contact kristinw@uwm.edu.

Sunday, September 13, 2009

[Why \[Ginny Maziarka\] is Stupid](#)

Today, [Ginna Maziarka re-posts](#) some college [kid's rant](#) against his/her philosophy class. [Of course](#), she tags it with references to [Mark Peterson](#), an apparent criticism of the local philosophy prof.

My question is: why did Ginny suddenly decide to re-post something [completely off topic](#) and originally published on a random (and [abandoned](#)) blog in 2004?

Here's my guess:

Perhaps Ginny saw [Mark's recent post about her](#) (which also mentioned this [lovely merchandise for sale](#)). The post isn't hateful or defamatory. Still, Ginny got pissed, and decided to strike back against our local philosopher, thinking to herself, "philosophy is stupid!"

But, of course, Ginny isn't really capable of writing her own blog post explaining why philosophy is stupid, so, [she Googled it](#).

The first result is from [Jaköbische Rants](#). But with its Euro-sounding name and mentions of feminism and "queerth" in the description, I'm sure she quickly clicked the left arrow on her browser to return to the search results.

The second result? Yep, our 2004 post from [The Vented Truth](#).

I just wonder if Ginny read the comments; such foul language! Cuz, [you know](#), if you link to something, then you're [endorsing/sponsoring/responsible for its content](#).

Ginny, please correct me if I'm wrong. Otherwise, you're just being stupid.